



Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2025

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE
In Commerce (4CM1) Paper 01

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2025

Publications Code 4CM1_01_2506_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2025

GENERAL COMMENTS

As reported in previous series, candidates are finding the paper more accessible and can complete the paper in the given time. For most of the questions candidates were well prepared and were able to apply their knowledge, application, analysis and evaluation to answer the questions. However, again as mentioned previously there are still quite a number of candidates who struggle, with the 'State' questions.

Many candidates had gained a great deal of commercial understanding from studying the course and this was apparent in the answers given. However, there are some candidates who are answering the questions detailing their knowledge of the topic without giving any application, analysis, or evaluative comments when the question is analyse, justify, or evaluate. This does restrict the candidates' access to the full range of marks available. The various scenarios throughout the paper are of real-life commercial operations, which perhaps interested some candidates, and this enables them to relate their comments more easily to the questions.

The mark scheme includes the Assessment Objectives to help in the preparation of candidates for future examinations. Just to reiterate, that all four of the Assessment Objectives (AO's) are covered throughout the paper and the percentage breakdown of each AO can be seen on page 31 in the Specification. Also, on page 44 of the Specification, are examples of the command words used. For example, in question 2 (g) and 3 (f) the command word is 'Justify' and candidates are asked to write an extended answer, using information provided in order to recommend one of the two options to a commercial operation.

It is important that candidates take into account the marks allocated for each question, giving them guidance on the amount of detail they need to answer the question.

If candidates do need additional sheets of paper they must indicate if they have continued their answer somewhere else on the paper or have added additional sheets. Unfortunately, there are still a few who did not, and they could have missed some valuable marks. For those candidates that used additional paper, their response to the questions must be clearly identified on the paper. It is also important that candidates clearly label the additional pages to show which question they are continuing with. Examiners are unable to guess if a candidate has continued the answer somewhere else. Candidates should indicate by using, 'continued', 'contd page' or 'see separate sheet' or something else along those lines.

There are also some candidates who do not follow the instructions of the examination paper, for the multiple choice questions candidates are given the instruction to mark an 'X' in the box. There are some candidates who are using 'ticks' which may not be picked up as these questions are marked by computer.

Questions can be taken from any part of the specification; therefore, centres should ensure that all sections are covered so that they do not disadvantage any candidates. From the work seen it appeared that some candidates had difficulty answering certain topics.

COMMENTS ON INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONS

SECTION A

Question 1

In part 1 a – most candidates gained the mark for identifying that hypermarkets allow one-stop shopping. Candidates should be reminded that if they do make a mistake when answering a multiple choice question, they should put a line through the wrong answer and an 'X' through the correct response. A candidate that marks two answers will not gain any marks.

In part 1 b – again well answered by the majority of candidates gaining the mark available on indicating that they understood retailers purchased goods from a cash and carry.

In part 1 c – a define question where candidates had to define the term 'bricks and clicks'. This was not well answered by candidates. Quite a number of the candidates understood that clicks is purchasing goods online but often bricks was described as physical with no further development.

In part 1 d – another define question, where candidates had to define the term 'trading bloc'. Most of the cohort understood the term that a group of countries come together to trade thus gaining the mark available.

In part 1 e (i) – a calculation question, where candidates had to work out the cost of the sweets. Candidates should be reminded that there are two decimal places to all currency questions. It is also worth reiterating to candidates to show their workings within the box, as they may have the correct formula and figures, but the actual calculation was incorrect. Also, candidates should be encouraged to ensure that the figures written are clear and easily readable, thus avoiding any confusion.

In part 1 e (ii) - this is the first of the 'State' questions where candidates must relate their response to the scenario. As in previous series very few candidates gain the mark available. Although from the responses seen candidates understood the effect of the change in the exchange rate but then did not put it in context and therefore did not gain the mark. Just putting cheaper price in the response does not guarantee the mark, an example needs to be included such as sweets or jellies which relates back to the scenario of Candy Wonderland, to gain the mark.

In part 1 e (iii) – another state question. Candidates had to give an advantage of Candy Wonderland making its own sweets. As sweets is in the question candidates should avoid using sweets on its own in the response. To gain the mark candidates could have referred to jellies. It is important to read the question through at least twice to ensure that it is fully understood.

In part 1 f – candidates were asked to give a reason why clauses are included in most insurance policies. Questions on insurance does seem to cause some candidates difficulty and therefore the questions are not as well answered. Those candidates that understood what a clause was were able to give a detailed explanation of the purpose of them.

In part 1 g – another question where candidates could have developed their answers more to gain the full 3 marks available. Another question on insurance on a topic of why insurance companies would give compensation to a customer. Perhaps candidates should be encouraged to ensure that all sections of the topic are revised. It is also important that candidates read the question carefully before answering. The question asked for one reason as opposed to two, and on this occasion as it is an explain question, the response did not have to be in context and candidates could demonstrate their knowledge of the topic. Most responses seen gave valid reasons as to why compensation would be given to customers.

In part 1 h – this is the first question in the paper which is marked by levels. Candidates were asked to analyse the benefit for Garden of Ridvan of using Electronic Point of Sale. Most candidates understood the benefits of using EPOS, however there were several candidates that confused it with purchasing goods online. To gain the marks available candidates needed to apply their knowledge and analyse it to the scenario. Candidates should be encouraged to ensure that they apply the knowledge given and then analyse it to gain the higher level.

Question 2

In part 2 a – a multiple choice question where candidates had to identify which one of the options was the percentage increase in supermarkets. Most of the cohort were able to gain the mark available.

In part 2 b – a multiple choice question where candidates had to identify which one of the options describes the role of an insurance broker. Again, a topic on insurance which is not always well answered.

In part 2 c (i) – candidates had to look at figure 2 and then identify which insurance provider had the lowest excess. Again, many of candidates were able to choose the correct provider gaining the mark available.

In part 2 c (ii) – again candidates had to refer to figure 2 and identify the lowest insurance premium, this was not as well answered, a number of candidates may have misread the question and gave a provider as opposed to the premium. As mentioned earlier it is worth reading the questions at least twice before answering to ensure that it is fully understood.

In part 2 d – a define question where candidates were asked to define 'fidelity guarantee'. Some candidates were confused with this question or did not give a full definition, by just mentioning compensation would not gain the mark. As mentioned, candidates are encouraged not to use the words in the question when giving a definition as it does not always show a true definition of the term/word/phrase.

In part 2 e – this question was an 'explain' hence candidates did not need to put their response into context. Only the use of knowledge is required to answer the question. The question asked for one reason why an insurance company would require a completed claim form. Most responses understood the question and were able to give valid reason.

In part 2 f – Another 'explain' question, so candidates do not have to put it in a context, most candidates were able to give one way a business would deal with complaints. Most responses gained the marks available, perhaps because they are aware of what a business should do, if a customer makes a complaint.

In part 2 g – this is a question that is marked by levels. Candidates had to choose between the two options of either supplying directly to European retailers or supplying through European wholesalers. Most candidates understood that they had to justify why they had chosen which option with some responses showing clear understanding of the difference between supply to retailers as opposed to wholesalers. However, a large number of candidates gave very detailed descriptions of the two options, which was knowledge and therefore they did not gain any marks. As mentioned earlier on option questions candidates do not have to make comments on both options, their response can solely be on one option. This was also a question where a number of candidates continued on an additional sheet. Perhaps if they had not included detailed descriptions of the two options, they would probably have answered the question in the space provided. Some candidates made some very valid comments, but then did not develop them to gain the higher level.

SECTION B

Question 3

In part 3 a – another multiple choice, question asking which one is a temporary document issued while the insurance policy is being prepared.

In part 3 b – on this multiple choice, question candidates had to calculate the cost of a discount at WinMart. From the responses seen most candidates were able to work the calculation out.

In part 3 c – another ‘state’ question where candidates had to give an advantage for WinMart of taking out plate glass insurance. As mentioned earlier, from the responses seen, several candidates gave a valid answer but did not relate it back to WinMart and therefore did not gain the mark available. Again, even if the candidate mentioned plate glass and/or WinMart, but did not put the response in context, they would not gain the mark. If WinMart appears in the question and the candidate uses the name in the response, then they are not answering the question in context.

In part 3 d – this is an ‘outline’ question which is very similar to a state question where candidates must put their response in the context of the question. Candidates were asked one reason why customers use their WinMart loyalty cards. Again, from the responses seen a number of candidates gave more than one reason, but did not put the response in context, and therefore did not gain the marks available.

In part 3 e – candidates were asked to analyse the importance to WinMart of locating its supermarkets near to transport links. Candidates appeared to understand the question with a variety of reasons for locating near to transport links which were valid. Candidates should be encouraged to develop their answers with interconnected points to move them into the higher level.

In part 3 f – again another option question where candidates had to justify which one of the two options would be more suitable for WinMart, selling more branded goods or selling more of its own label goods. As in question 2 many candidates described both methods giving the advantages and disadvantages. Again, there are no knowledge marks available for this type of question. Most candidates were able to gain marks on this question. Candidates should be encouraged to analyse and evaluate either of the two options to enable them to gain the higher marks. There is no right or wrong answer on this type of question, the candidates can justify either one. Candidates should also be encouraged to draw conclusions and make judgements or evaluative comments to enable them to gain the higher level marks.

SECTION C

Question 4

In part 4 a - candidates should be encouraged to show their workings as advised in this question, as they could gain a mark for the formula, even if the actual answer is incorrect. Also as mentioned earlier candidates need to take care when writing their figures in the box, for some candidates it is difficult for the examiner to read, for example, if they have written a 4 or 7 or 9. It is worth reiterating that if the question asks for a calculation to two decimal places if a response only has one decimal place, with the correct answer they would not get one mark, for not following what the question asked. Also, any question that is in the context of monetary value there are normally two figures after the decimal place. Again, if only one is given then no mark would be awarded, unless part of the calculation is correct.

In part 4 b – as previously mentioned, this is an analyse question, which is looking for application of knowledge and analysis of the impact of why Cadbury would want to take out insurance on its factories. From some of the responses seen a number of candidates gave very detailed response as to why insurance is needed on its factories, showing sound knowledge and information.

In part 4 c – It is worth pointing out to candidates that this question does carry the most marks and they should allow sufficient time to answer it, with all four of the Assessment Objectives being covered. A number of candidates only completed a few lines and therefore did not gain many of the marks available. Some candidates are using bullet points or numbered format; this will not allow them to gain the higher level marks because they may not have analysed or evaluated the points made.

Candidates were asked to evaluate why Cadbury would follow consumer protection legislation. Some candidates' responses did not clearly understand what the legislation is and its purpose. Whereas some candidates understood the main purpose of the legislation, but they then failed to develop these points and justify their reasoning or give evaluative comments to enable them to gain the higher level marks. Candidates should ensure that they given detailed comments about the question with some application of knowledge, analysis and evaluative comments.

Some candidates continued on additional paper, which is acceptable, but if there is no indication on the examination paper that they have done so, valuable marks could be lost. Candidates must let the examiner know that they have continued their answer, simply by adding the word 'continued', or 'contd' or something similar is acceptable and as mentioned earlier, together with which question they are continuing with.

