



Examiners' Report
Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2023

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level
In French (WFR03)
Paper 01: Understanding and Spoken Response

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2023

Publications Code WFR03_01_2306_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2023

PE's Report to Centres - WFR03/01 Summer 2306

In this unit, candidates are tested on their ability to use the spoken word.

The test is comprised of two parts, Section A (5 minutes) and Section B (6-7 minutes).

The first part of the test takes the form of a debate. Candidates are required to take a clear stance on an issue of their choice which they present for one minute, and then defend when questioned by the teacher-examiner. The choice of subject is entirely up to the candidate and does not need to relate to the general topic areas studied. Candidates are required to conduct their own research when investigating the subject of their choice and need to refer to written Francophone sources during the discussion. They are expected to use the language of debate and argument to discuss the issue and to defend their point of view.

In the second part of the test (6-8 minutes), the areas chosen for discussion should be unpredictable elements of the test. It is up to the teacher-examiner to choose these two further topics for discussion; the focus should be on two different areas which must be taken from within the general topic areas. Candidates are expected to interact effectively with the examiner and to sustain a fluent discourse. They are assessed on their ability to respond to the spoken language and should have the opportunity to show the breadth and depth of their knowledge and linguistic competence; the questions should also challenge conceptually.

In the 2023 series, examiners reported that, in many Centres, there were excellent debates with the teacher-examiner robustly opposing the candidate's point of view thus giving the candidate the opportunity to offer a range of language used for argument and debate. The choice of debate topics continues to become more varied and more debates seem to genuinely reflect candidates' own personal interests. However, there are still a large number of debates that focus on moral issues, such as euthanasia, abortion and the death penalty; however, it is often the case that these topics do not produce a good performance from the candidates who appear to have little genuine interest in such topics.

This year, topics included for or against *l'intelligence artificielle*, the legalisation of cannabis, vegetarianism or veganism, the use of chemical pesticides, the dangers of social media, *la chasse*, animal experimentation and *la tauromachie*. It is pleasing to see candidates branching out to look for more original topics and this is to be encouraged. While the Specification does not prevent more than one candidate in a Centre from offering the same topic, it is refreshing to see Centres where every candidate is focusing on a different subject and so doing their own in-depth research rather than relying too heavily on topics that may have been touched upon in class.

It is important that, at the beginning of the test, candidates should set out their stance on the topic in their one-minute presentation. Candidates should be reminded by Centres that the presentation needs to be clear and concise and delivered at a moderate speed. Unfortunately, too many candidates still attempt to deliver a pre-learnt speech at high speed with the result that clarity is compromised.

The most important aspect of Section A is that it must take the form of a debate, not a discussion. If the teacher-examiner simply asks the candidate questions about their topic then this cannot be seen to be a debate and the candidate will be unable to access marks in the top bands for spontaneity and development and critical analysis. For this reason, it is the Centre's responsibility to ensure that the choice of topic for each candidate is an appropriate one that can be debated and on which the teacher-examiner can find counter-arguments. It is difficult to see how a teacher-examiner can offer an opposing view on topics such as *Je suis contre la violence sexiste* or questions such as *Est-ce que la technologie résoudra les problèmes d'aujourd'hui?* because questions tend to lead to a discussion rather than a debate. Centres should agree the candidate's topic and stance before the independent research takes place.

The role of the teacher-examiner is a crucial one; the teacher-examiner should facilitate the debate by challenging the candidate's viewpoint and by offering opposing points of view. This year, unfortunately, there were some tests in which the teacher-examiner incorrectly managed this section as a discussion meaning that the candidate was not able to access the highest marks. The teacher-examiner should challenge the candidate in such a way as to give the candidate the opportunity to develop and extend their ideas and points of view while using the language of debate and argument. It is crucial that the teacher-examiner understands their role.

In addition, the debate must be genuinely spontaneous; each speaker must respond to the other's remarks, in order to produce a genuine discourse. If the debate is not spontaneous, once again the candidate cannot access the top mark band for spontaneity and development. Centres are reminded that a question-and-answer format does not produce a genuine discourse. The test requires a genuine debate which shows an individual response and so there is no place for pre-learnt material. The teacher-examiner has the responsibility to ensure that this part of the examination is correctly conducted.

It is also important to remember that, in Section A, candidates are required to provide evidenced research to support their arguments in order to reach the higher bands of the reading and research grid. These marks are awarded for AO2 (understanding and responding in speech to written language) and so candidates must research in the target language, using written sources. Candidates should cite their sources clearly as well as giving facts and figures as, without referring to specific sources, candidates cannot achieve more than a mark of 3 in this part of the mark scheme. There is still room for improvement in this area; too many Centres continue to ignore this requirement to the detriment of their candidates who are unable to access the highest marks for reading and research. Candidates are welcome to also back up their arguments by referring to video or spoken material but this must be as well, and not instead, of written sources as AO2 marks cannot be awarded for references to spoken material.

Examiners reported that the most confident candidates in this Series were able to produce really convincing stances, rejecting the teacher-examiner's opposing views robustly, using evidence from their research to reinforce an argument or rebuff an opposing point of view. The best candidates engaged in a spontaneous debate and offered facts and figures to support

their arguments and points of view, citing a range of named Francophone sources to show where they had researched their material.

Section B requires teacher-examiner to introduce *at least two further issues for discussion*; these issues should be taken from the general topic areas and, within a Centre, the teacher-examiner should aim to cover a wide range of subjects; it is not appropriate to focus on the same subjects, or a narrow range of topics, for all candidates. There should be no or minimal overlap between the topics offered to candidates. The general topic areas are wide-ranging and it should be possible for teacher-examiners to vary the choice of topics for every candidate. It is also recommended that teacher-examiners should not focus on one “type” of topic throughout the entire test; for example, if the candidate’s stance for Section A is a moral issue, good practice would be to choose a different focus for Section B. Candidates may have more to say on some topics than others and so it is fairer to vary the subject material. Centres are also reminded that candidates must not be aware of the topics to be discussed in Section B before the test begins.

It is important to remember that, in Section B, the candidate is being asked to discuss a topic, not to defend a point of view. Too often examiners hear tests where the candidate is still being challenged to defend an opinion in Section B and this is not appropriate.

For a candidate to access the higher mark bands in the critical analysis part of the mark scheme, they need to be given the opportunity to analyse and evaluate. For this reason, it is recommended that two (or possibly three) topics are adequate as a candidate needs time to develop their ideas on a topic in order to offer a full evaluation of the issues.

Centres are reminded once again that, if the theme is taken from one of the three A2 topic areas, the candidate must root the discussion firmly in the context of the French-speaking world. It is not enough for the teacher-examiner to introduce the context; if the candidate does not discuss the issue within a Francophone context, marks will be lost. In this Series, there were still Centres where candidates seemed unaware of this requirement.

As in Section A, the discussion should be spontaneous with both the teacher-examiner and the candidate addressing the points made by the other in order to create a genuine discourse. It is not appropriate for candidates to answer with pre-learnt material; it is the teacher-examiner’s responsibility to prevent the candidate from delivering pre-rehearsed language by interrupting the flow. The teacher-examiner should respond to what the candidate says so that the interaction remains genuine, with an element of unpredictability. It is also important that there is an appropriate level of challenge, both conceptually and linguistically, so that the candidate has the chance to show their true potential. There is no place for personal questions at this level.

Once again this year, many candidates offered a good level of spoken French and this was very pleasing. Many candidates were able to offer a range of lexis and complex structures such as the use of pronouns, subordination, more complex tenses such as the future perfect and the conditional perfect and the subjunctive mood as well as idiomatic language. Occasionally,

inaccurate language or pronunciation made it difficult for the message to be successfully communicated but these tests were in the minority. It is important that candidates ensure that they have the knowledge of an appropriate range of vocabulary to allow them to discuss their stance topic fully.

Timings were an issue in some Centres this year; it is important to follow the timings given in the Specification. The maximum length for a test is thirteen minutes and examiners will not consider material after this length of time.

Once again, Centres are reminded of the importance of clear, audible recordings; a suitable venue for the tests should be chosen (away from areas which are likely to be busy or noisy) and a sound check should be run to ensure that the candidate is clearly audible. This year, there were some tests where the teacher-examiner was clear but the candidate less so. Examiners can only mark what they hear so it is in the candidates' interest for the tests to be as clear as possible. In addition, audio tests must be submitted by the deadline given by Pearson in order for them to be marked in good time. Finally, Centres should ensure that they understand clearly the requirements of the test given in the Specification and that they comply with the requirements in order to give their candidates the best chance of a good mark.

In 2023, there were many Centres in which the candidates fulfilled all the requirements of this test and were therefore able to access marks at the top of each one of the mark bands. These candidates had been well-prepared by their Centre and sympathetically examined by their teacher-examiner, who gave them the opportunity to showcase their spoken language, to use the language of argument and debate and to engage in a genuinely spontaneous discussion. We are grateful to these Centres for their hard work and for the effort that they have put in to preparing their candidates for this Unit.

