

# **Examiners' Report**

Principal Examiner Feedback

June 2017

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level in History (WHI04) Paper 1D

# **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

# Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

June 2017
Publications Code
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

**Unit4: International Study with Historical Interpretations** 

WHI04 1D: The Cold War and Hot War in Asia, 1945-90

#### Introduction

June 1706 was the first time that WHI04 was taken by candidates entered for the IAL History qualification, and was the first time that candidates encountered AO3-focused questions relating to Historical Interpretations. It was pleasing to see that most candidates were well prepared in terms of knowledge and that many responses were both well-informed and well-written. However, the approach of some responses to both Section A and Section B limited the ability of candidates to be rewarded at the higher Levels of the mark scheme.

The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory question relating to the historical issue outlined in Key Topic 1 – Historical Interpretations. The question requires candidates to make a judgement on a stated viewpoint, through the analysis of two extracts from historical works which address the historical issue and their own knowledge of the historical issues. The question assesses AO3 skills – candidate ability to analyse and evaluate, in relation to the historical context, different ways in which aspects of the past have been interpreted – in combination with AO1 skills – candidate ability to demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts.

Section B also targets AO1 skills. Section B provides a choice of essays relating to Key Topics 2-4. Questions set may address more than one topic or relate to a single topic. The essays assess knowledge and understanding of the period in depth – questions may relate to a single event or a longer period – by targeting five possible second order concepts – cause, consequence, change/continuity, similarity/difference and significance. Questions may combine second order concepts, for example, consequence and change. Candidates answer one question from a choice of three. The most common weakness in Section B essays was the lack of a sharp focus on the precise terms of the question and/or the second order concept that was being targeted.

Candidates are, in general, clearly aware of both the structure and the timing of the examination paper; there was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B.

Candidate performance on each section and individual questions for Paper 2E is considered in the next section. Please note that it is recommended that centres look at a selection of Principal Examiner Reports from across the different routes of the paper to get an a overall sense of examiner feedback, centre approaches and candidate achievement. It is also useful to take note of the indicative content in the mark schemes.

Centres may also wish to refer to the *Getting Started* guide that is to be found on the IAL History Pearson Edexcel website.

#### Section A

Unit 4 is the first time that candidates have encountered the AO3 skills requirement and most were aware of the need to address the viewpoint given in the question by analysing the interpretations presented in the extracts and drawing on their own knowledge. The viewpoint stated in the question will be represented clearly within one extract with counter-evidence being presented in the other. However, both extracts may include material which can be both compared as well as contrasted. Higher Level responses came to a judgement about how far they agreed with the viewpoint by analysing both extracts and integrating their own knowledge into the overall discussion. These responses often addressed the extracts from the beginning using them to discuss differing arguments in relation to the viewpoint and deploying own knowledge to expand the discussion and where appropriate indicate other areas of debate not covered. Higher Level responses also met the descriptor requirement to reach a judgement based on the views given in both extracts and did not just refer to the general lines of debate within the overall Key Topic area.

The generic mark scheme clearly indicates the three bullet-pointed strands which are the focus for awarding marks and centres should note their progression:

- interpretation and analysis of extracts
- deployment of knowledge of issues related to the debate
- evaluation of and judgement about the interpretations

Some approaches by candidates matched the descriptors in the lower Levels of the mark scheme. Candidates who wrote a Section B AO1 style answer without any explicit reference to the sources found it difficult to achieve a mark beyond low-Level 2 as all the bullet point descriptors require some engagement with the extracts. Also candidates who wrote responses almost entirely based on comprehension and understanding of the extracts rather than discussing the views presented in the extracts were unlikely to achieve beyond Level 2. Some responses wrote very briefly about each extract and then wrote extensively on aspects not included in the extracts. Again these responses were not using the extracts to develop the debate and so could at best reach low Level 3. A few candidates seemed to have a prepared response to a generalised debate with regard to the Key Topic which ignored the viewpoint given in the question altogether and so were not able to focus on the question set.

Some candidates viewed this as an AO2 source analysis and evaluated the extracts with regard to aspects of provenance which is not an appropriate approach. There may be some occasions when the title of the book from which the extract is taken furthers the discussion of the viewpoint but it is not intended that the candidates use the information provided to help forward the debate and no instruction is given with regard to this (unlike the AO2 requirements). Candidates should be encouraged to refer to the extracts and to discuss the interpretations to be found within the extracts. Here it is worth noting the guidance given in the *Getting Started* document. *Students are not expected to be familiar with the writing of the selected historians but they should be familiar* 

with the issues that make the question controversial. Reference to the works of name historians, other than the material in the extracts provided is not expected but students may consider historians' viewpoints in framing their arguments.

Also many candidates appeared to create their discussion by reference to only the first few lines of each extract and so lost an opportunity to develop key points made later in the extracts. Candidates have sufficient time to consider the extracts carefully and to draw out a variety of different key points in order to compare and contrast the interpretations presented.

Overall, candidates for this Option were very well prepared and there were some interesting responses that were a pleasure to read.

# Question 1

There were some excellent responses to this question. Most candidates were aware of the need to address the view stated in the question and to analyse the extracts in relation to this statement. The best responses were able to integrate own knowledge with key points raised in the extract and to come to a judgement in which both extracts were acknowledged. These responses also met the requirement to demonstrate understanding of the nature of historical debate. Most candidates were able to contrast the view in Extract 1 that China's entry into the war was triggered by the US invasion for the north with the view in Extract 2 that China's entry was as the result of a longer period of consideration timed from the decision of President Truman to send soldiers to Korea in July 1950. Candidates were able to use their own knowledge to discuss this and to develop key points within the extracts. Knowledge of Chinese diplomacy with the USSR during this period was used to good effect.

This is an example of a Level 5 response engaging with the extracts with an awareness of nature of historical debate.

Please insert Doc ID QC0429003910789

The just fixation for Chara's entry who the Korean war is for any under Ender in Broguis interpretation some schools er change in China into the Of House which drew self orfine and soquely. Alternatively, Frank's interpretation aired to the already preparedness of China for eventual involvement as early as V.S troops were in Kones Overell, is can be assumed had multiple reasons for entry largely owing from the prosures difense but aso boyanty and domestic Korean Thus Chinas entrance both Lommunus define and oportunion-consolidating the already precising need to Join the wor and instead of "triggening of the way interpretation highlight Warned invasion of

Wident within " endreaded plainty". The. is justified by the knowledge that Mas repeatedly warred Triman of that if too U.S/UNI ROK forus were to be used on the Chinese bonder they would subsequently be formed to to netahate as the interpretation letty deals made of the fear of U.S impenals in evident within "self-confident aggresson" Symbory track south Thus, became fearful J only when U.S wa aims began to inspection we Change from containment to roll MAH Frank is more convincing in suggesting that China was aways prepared evident within the ordered the PLA to begin troop deployments". This is supported by the evidence that was sent offered Kim troops in early 1950 yet Kin declined. This of is more justified to suggest that the crossing of the No 38th parallel did not create the dissort for Chanise involvement, but consolidated it, a response from an accumulation of factors and Amenian Another heason to suggest their chine's entry into the Korean wor was

not "Inggered" by U.S invasion of North Korea which both extracts allude to is Ohna's cut diferere interests. Brogan nightights that there was fe-ful they had to fine "thouse andrate else where" China who had just writer aft yes of we Day hard instructs to prevents Wish activity in china aft military momentum that the confidence to advance into North Konea in the first place after the Crehon Landing. Simmonly Frank highlights China's fe It is our that chinas Dos "truggered" by the possibility to involve in the wo before neir entry into North Konea, and the invasion merely consolidated tooks Ross fear and this gave Mao who was taking difficulty gaining comers from the Polithons to become involved in the ior of what further combhalated the need for all defence was the remobilisation of Japan was bordered Chinas industrial heartland of Menching-This, aft W world wir 11 and the Sino- Japanese we for China to have overlossed the newly formed alliene of Japan and VS as

significant The threat would have been highly is they. Thus, the crossing of the 38th parallel infact 'Ingger" the entry of the inscripted atron implies but consoledates he searly necessary of doing so. And China also would have been triggered to entr the Konean of w Loyalty movement greate community entry as " Chinise sums to overlook of "losing face" sumply" a problem Vital reason front Frank's interpretati was one alludes to this by the fact it process with much deeper roots" commenting on the great cold environment. It is known that influenced by the USSR Send assistance Konea and He both Chinese fones and Rose on provided Fight with This it must be recognised that once the U.S entered technically be came Korea anna conflict april great and we change in what

the world on Capitalist Thu, suggestions in enterpretation alledes to "melitry readiness August 1950" and be furt supports The on Communism Das & theat to the strength of the box rise of Communism both in Asia and in Europe This, the crossing of the 38" parallel into North Konea did not "thegay" Chinas entry but consolidated the need to protect Nor Korea as a member of the great "Communist Monstitue Bloc", On behalf of the 1988, and for Chinse addogical protection Attornation, it can be recognised that the searty threats posed by the USA also predated the crossing of the 28th parallel and this expansion of we consolidated fews and he necessity to enso rest non created trem Although Brogan's anterpretation suggests that the U.S invasion of North Kosea was "intotrable" other actions by the U.S parts while by Macarth demonstrated the necessity to ent the wer. This nets back to the "deeps Frank alledos to. North Korean agosing of the 38h parallel responded by sending the 7th fleet

the Tawas states and Machth publicly preused Juany fushi, This demonstrated in inggisted to the a reinjection und atte Chinise cirl Wr and Dalles suchas Bretain also entimed this pory as provacative. This serves to fruith give creat to tranks intropretestion into alludy to China's priliting preparedness which predated the Crossing of the 3th penallel. Tim although as Brogan's interpretation suggests that the Uis advance into Konea demonstrated potential issues for China trese were already fors that China wan andare of an trus tre U.S advance did not "Inggr" choise enty but consolidated its ne cessity. truthermore, it must be recognised that Chane's enty into the No Do als potentially example of opportunion for the newly formers Communist state . Brogon's interpretation highlight the role China thought it could play!" moment to avest a Third World Wr" This demonstraty the way thing was also seeking to gain prestige by challenging the great. This is a proopect which the Bi crossing something which so have been overlo have been overlooked.

By the time as well there and USSP we already facing tensions due to the so ideological amfirst sarounding the insupretestion of Marx and this USSR were world North Knea would turn to there intend of Status for Communist influence. Moreover, Chine of expenency years of we and turnoil parkages saw The Korea We as nethod to infy the country and some motomors go as for to say is allowed Mes to consoldate his oppresse regime. They This furth supports Frank's interpretation that China did not overlook the U.S threat in the Rosean ar and were only traggered aft and advance but infact suggests that the mossing and operation on the churce bonds gave that a justification and reasoning to ternet there on the "world steege" and gara pustige as both a protector of communism and a for of able to half the U.S. Subsequently this I what did happen, the would was empressed by China ability to hall the US in trir attempts at roll back This, Hogens interpretate is hombed in the sense is does not acknowledge the portical climate or altrior motres of the world power of the time. Tim once again the U.S invesion of North Korea and not

"trage" China's involvement but justified the enty as a part of a great position opportunity or gan prestige and consolidate In conclusion, although Broom is Justified in the respect it acknowledges the evidence which highlights thing supposed unwillingness to ent the wor union North Roser was threatened however fants to recognise to great cold we dinate which made three entry ener teble and moreove the apportunity in Rose We provided in this respect hank is more convincing in the way Le acknowledges Chinese Workers of U.S actions and the security threats predated tre North Rosea Invasion Moreor, Brogan although not explicitly does siggest is Was an accumulation of actions not only one which consolidated Chines heed to entrite Way Orall, Churse enty into the war was not Ingqued by the invasion of North Rosea, but consolidaly in the sense it affirmed securily threats the need to protect ommunism and of course demonstrated and opportunity to remark

This, the crossing of 38th parallel into thing and not "trigge" chinese inhance but consolidates the newself both domestically and politically to do so.

#### **Section B**

Most candidates were clearly aware of the requirements for the essay skills assessed in Section B. Most candidates showed progression from the AS units and were well-prepared to write, or to attempt, an analytical rather than a descriptive response. There was little evidence to suggest that the range and depth of essays were affected by the time taken to consider the two extracts in Section A. Many candidates were able to access Levels 3, 4 and 5 but weaker responses either did not provide enough factual support for a depth study essay or deal well with the conceptual focus of the question. Centres are reminded that any of the second order concepts listed in the introduction can be addressed in the essay section and candidates need to be aware that not all questions will refer to causation and that not all responses require a main factor/other factors response. Indeed, a persistent number of candidates attempt to respond to all questions by addressing the relative significance of generic causal factors whether appropriate or not.

The generic mark scheme clearly indicates the four bullet-pointed strands which are the focus for awarding marks and centres should note their progression:

- analysis and exploration of key features and characteristics of the period in relation to the second-order conceptual demands of the question
- selection and deployment of knowledge
- substantiated evaluation and judgement
- organisation and communication of argument

At Level 4 and above there is a requirement for the exploration of key issues by an analysis of the relationships between key features of the period and many good responses remained in Level 3 because these relationships were stated rather than explained or because key features were addressed separately e.g. stating that each key feature in turn was the main reason rather than developing a logical argument. It is also important to note that the reference to valid criteria in the third bullet point is not a reference to the different factors/key issues/key features being discussed but to the measurement criteria being used to reach an overall judgement. This is the extent to which students are able to explain and justify their decision to ascribe greater significance to one cause than another, or to judge a development as significant or an action as ineffective etc.

# Question 2

There were some excellent responses to this question deploying detailed knowledge of the policies of the two presidents. Some argued that they were indeed very similar in their determination to prevent the spread of communism in South East Asia while others argued that Kennedy ultimately increased the US presence in Vietnam and interfered in domestic policy more overtly. A few candidates just described the policies of the two without analysing similarity and difference. Also a few unfortunately mistook Eisenhower for Kennedy or compared the policies of Kennedy and Johnson. It is very important that candidates have knowledge of both the chronology and specific dates of the American presidential policies.

This is an example of a Level 2 response. It provides some attempt at analysis but mainly describes US policies and is not always accurate or secure. At times it seems to be answering a different question and there is no clear judgement. It attempts to outline some key features of the focus of the question.

Please insert Doc Id Please insert Doc Id QC0429003910810

Chosen question number: Question 2 🖾 Question 3 🖾
President Eisethnauer was the US president from
19th to 1961. He was in office during the
Franco - Vietnam wer from 1946 to 1993.
President Kennedy was the US president
from 1961 to 1963, before he was assossinated
in Houston, Texas.
Disting this time. Hope 195 mac helping Storte
During this time, the US was helping South Uzetnam by sending military and end food and medicine. The US wanted to prevent
a la mala a The Clare and the same to
and red cine. The US wanted To pretrent
the spread of Communism from the North
Vietnam that was led by Ho - Chi Minh.
South Vietnam was under the rule of
Prenh Dien, an anti-communist
nationalist who forward the Us. Diem
was brought into power by ex-emperor
Bao - Daj. Bao - Daj wanted Drem to
be in power because he was popular
among the citizens and favored the US.
10 Diem was appointed as Prime
Minzster.

President Eisenhower wanted to stop the How of communism, so he sent aid to South Vietnam. However South Vietnam's government was compt and constantly acted for US aid. The US had to spent Millions of dollars in order to aid South Vietnam. It took 14% of the US GNP to help South Vietnam. During the Franco - Vietnam war, the French asked for US aids because they didn't have sufficient troops and resources. The French's economy was devestated after the second World War, so they depended on Vietnam's exports, in order to restore the French economy. This to is the main reason why France was unwilling to let Vietnam become an independent state. Noth Vietnam sent the NIF to fight agains the French. France triggered the war when they sent troops to the Vietnam's northern borders, where they tried to stop the flow of weapons entering

North Vietnam. This caused thousands of innovent deaths, which to caused the Franco - Vietnam wer. The French and US troops were unfit for Vietnam's Climate as it was hard to find the enemy. North Vietnam sent the MF to fight against the French. The NF is the Nortional Labour Front, to North Vietnam's army. They had the advantage because they the were adapt to the environment that was not and hunied and filled with many jungles and mountains. The North Vietnam wers receiving aid from China and the USSR. It would be been impossible for North Vietnam to win the war without their help. China and the USSR sent ammunitions and military aid. China allowed the NF to hide in their Southern Landers as well. Presiden Eisenhower's policies were to Stop the spread of communism while getting rid of the NF. The NF -went to South Vietnam to help the

President	Esenhower was awa	une of South Dire
	government but he d	
	he wanted to ster	
The	US wanted to slay	neutral but
pressur	o from Europe cau.	sed the US to
help	France.	
,		-
The U	had a conflict of	- Bo- Eurspean
factors	and Pro-Aston factor	s. The Pro-
Europea	factors nanted The	US to help Fran
while 1	he tro-Astan factor	e wanted the
to sta	y reutral.	
		4
The U	s eventually tistened because France c	to the so-Eu
factors	because trance c	væ an Impatai
aly.	trance was importan	it because
they	blocked communism	from spreadi
./ ./	rope.	/

of the Cold War, so they couldn't love France and provided aid to Drem. Eventhough the US was sending aid to South Wietnam, it wasn't helping to South Vietnam. It was because Drem exploited the resources from the US. The gids and resources were distributed among the top officials, so the Villagers didn't receive any benefits. Even if the Utllagues received US orids, it Wasn't enough to help them. Famine and poverty heavily affected the villagers in South Vietnam. They storted to resent to Dien's government because they weren't receiving help. They only received very little of the distribution, so they resented Diem's government Kennes President Kennedy's policies were to withdraw US troops from South Vietnam. En The Continuation of Sanding US troops and resources were unpopular in the states & because the

Cotteens It was westing the citizen's money and resources. They were against Vietnam aid because they were helping a farigon Country When they could improve the US. President Kennedy was awore of the waste of resources, so he too wanted to go withdraw Us troops. However the Fop Officials in the US were against this idea because they were making a profit out of it. There were many officials in Vietnam so they would love their job if they were to Deave Vietnam, thus they didn't like Kennedy's policies President Kennedy sent troops to South Vietnam to Stop the spread of communism. He even sent aid to the French to help them. President Kennedy was adamant of preventing the How of Communicano So he was reluctant of remit Vietnam to the Interestication. Dien's government didn't want beunification because they were anti-

Communist, so truly preferred the US aid.
Ho-Chi Minh, the leader of North Vietnam with wanted to re-unify North Vietnam with South Vietnam but it was impossible during but time due to French influence and South Vietnam's rejuctance to re-unification.
So he sent AHF the MF to Couth Vietnam to goin intel and help the villagers.
While Etsenhower's policies wanted to maintain neutrality. Kenneely's policies wanted to maintain prevent the flow of communism.
Overall, the two president's policies  oren's sintial are similiar because  they sent aid to South Vietnam. They  sent troops and resources in order to
Stop the flow of community They also founded the trench over the Vietnamese by providing continuous support to the trench.
Their policies didn't benefit either North Vietnam and South Vietnam

be cause all the oids went to the top

officials. There was resentment towards

Diem's government in Eisenhower and

Kennedy's regime There was no

Utetnam ve-unitication plans as well

so their policies are similar.

# **Question 3**

Again, there were some excellent and thought-provoking answers to this question. Candidates were generally knowledgeable and analytical in their responses. Some argued that the negative affect on public support was the most significant because of the connection to most of the other consequences while others argued that the most significant consequence was the impact on American policy in Vietnam and/or American military strategy. A few responses merely described or explained the consequences of the given factor without considering 'other' consequences. Most candidates were aware of the physical failure of the Tet Offensive but the psychological damage that it did to US involvement in the war. The best responses were able to measure significance by addressing the impact of the Tet Offensive and considering the difference that it made to the various aspects of US involvement.

This is a Level 5 response. It provides a sustained analysis, sufficient knowledge and reaches a justified conclusion supporting the argument made.

Please insert Doc Id QC0429003910784

The Tet objective or 1966 is often cital as being the turning goods or the worn Netron in that it heightered public appointion whole also gening as mother demonstration that the Us could not feesibly win this eso that they had entired. The Tell appearance caused musiculting in port ducto the media, which heythered operation for the subjective by year or the wir. However, the appearance also had often learny innexes, the to such as the innext on military performance as well as how it appeared the potition of the country in last of the country. It is be traced back to the public apposition which could thus review the idea that the innext on public support we the most significant consequence of the Tet offersion.

B Although before the offerance those can public opposition muchy a 6000 people protect at yell ord a 25,000 medican washington opposition to the concern with a specific of American properties the constitution of the military humble humble the military humble humble than the military humble crocking connected they were almagny the promisent parallel manual washes continued the more demagny the promisent parallel continued washes continued the theory of the promisent the hell is going on over these? I thought we were wrong the continued the fort in the view

of the media which played - a large put is shoping public domain. The 100 short was a significant that it had Johan Fe say "If I've har Cronule, I've lost America" from 1968 arwards, the appointing to the wo person much on challegion, or tookh of the size from less in a decade before. the More notatly however is from the doors Johans approved ruly which foll to under 367. The significance of Tet to though huge on through the creation or massive public upheard it could be said that the made a victory impossible as the presidents (Johnson and Mison) lacked the support needed to essure a videry for the 19. However, it agual to agual that Tel did not orento appointed, but ruther allowellit k gow. In the year up to Tet, there was increasing public opposition, which are merely highlighted and added to by Tet, which was sees as onether demonstration on the fulfilly of the us involvement 10 Metron. However, the chap northhole on the neder could also region that the that the great did in tuck change the view or the public, for a mostly suprortue to a mostly opposed public. However, It cannot be said that public copposition was the logger conscious author considery other extents. The Tel appearance aroundly demonstated to the Us and the award that the over ina purely military serve could not be one and coursed a regione on suited Us mility operation to try and regular some advantage. During the afformer through or viet core greatly moved indetected through south instrum and coordinated as after inserved key very cashed an other studies to retaile vietron du couse over 5000 deeth a well as one more

Money that the Us will not effectively fight the facty at the Vietnames Guentla. The impact on the military was multi-fracted. on one had it danged the psychology of the 12 tead who suffered durance make at the mility daystor. Secretary hower, the withe showed the army the fullily or than interestion as they fulled to prevent or effectively defend the orthads. The logger form troped on Tet way ante significant. harde to regun the curidere of the public on the ermy the w affected a sery or overdrag to regun advortige, all of which fully, for exerce, the lambay on the Ho Chy Which trad con be seen as a degrade attempt to contact the viet viet viet viet viet viet viet of guests worker. However, all this and the bomby failed to have any sympat innection, as well as orange pretter viet any sympathy: Similarly, the Chairman landing on lesses as finished demonstration or & us despertion to the gun book complete pollowing the Tet offerine yet the bombing or Haustury provided nothing as well as unrecessarily homore unitions. Overall Tet had a origination borrow or the militry performe. On one level, it created amorde postern. Whe then this, it are ted a kind on military describes as the Us tradito gas viduso, yet achieved rething. Antly, the offerive showed one more that the Guerillus would not be detected, this creating the intervention As or the rubb wo. However all or the mility Parke could be orgued as bogg or exterior or public opposition. At the organize Ruled the garannest was describe to award durent by providing victory, what just award parts falling. In the rease, it constill be agreed that the bagger course corresponse or Telang how it irrepully affect the perions or attribute or the is public for the next et the arm which althoughted teet to the object or armine that

are everyally signed in January of 1973. Another significent issued assessment or the Tet offerine which warrant consideration, show it aftered the polishis of the way such as though the new necessity for peerce, to well as the three town it safter All the product which served along the over had ashored few, in their they reveal being the first process prevident to love a cur. This few count can most important to Wixon following the Tel offering, the Us se had finally realised the publishe or their continued involvement note the corplet in vietnon, providing as they was varietosed that the method of the Us army were incompatible with the roa generally were wenter. As a result or the realistion muon surround his object for "peace with how" which distated the conso on cause or he find tryets or over. It can be orgued that the logget intract a synthet ancavare of Feb my how it created a necessity of the Us to afternot to have some viable ceeverine, got showed their a milling ord to the cor which surved then cry impossible trademon A Under Minor, the Us finally entered into registrating with the North Motornese to attempt to And a mutually benefued country. However, the term too hanly debeted, not the demonstrate the second layer or the logo kisting conscioura of Tet. Not only did Tet effectively crack a need for peace talk, It also created an urgery and demarkes on the U registration to try and cettur peace a country ord cercuently on possible, which resulted in a must plethou or

ancesias being much in one to of find and agreed upon crayonely

The part major thing while America hardle consecutions the idea

or muhal withdrawd, which was denied to them, leading to know deading that this was not resource, even to the extest or allows, VC town to remain in south vietron ever after the Us withdraw. Striply, the Us were somed to come out torning. This power of the vietnomere during the registration can be said to be aroult or their growthay level or superiorly which culmoded in the Fet offerme, which pleased than in a position a relative power, Havever, the US og agaisticace or tel on regulations is somewhat undermined the so He Us Americal peristers that Thier remain in power which was everthely agreed your. However, they was porced to except a coulihor, recognized the power and authorly of the victoriouse commander, matter regar concession Although Tet did dreetly recessible registrations due to the demonstration of the furthing or the wor, it that do you could also be orgued that even the necessity or registrating was closes to has it affected rubble perception following Tet, the letter that the was should be orded grow, with 497. or people forward leng in 1968. Simility, Nixos loss approved reached as low as Itox, further creating a need for on ord of the ever it he was to regul org degree of sopularly. The world this confirm the clear that the most agrirust consciousne of the 1468 (cf ofference was how if irreversibly lawored public support for the No Itel.

To conclude, atthough on a the Tet afferine con be seen ess
a significant turing point in the Vietnam war due to how it affected
geven aspeals as the over. The Offerine Mostly lowered the public

support, or cut due to 1/4 covering by the onclu which created overall sentment on- futility almel and und. other determents of the Us military comparative viet cary strength, who doubt elsiles due to their local knowledge and support. the could be organ bury or entry we car public disciplifulion (thought impact) Mora Significal rolling was which him to arread on Key Biver ther strouth. Havever, Muchon now it insperding In turn coursel

This Level 4 response does engage with the question and explore key features but there are limitations which means that it meets the Level 4 rather than the Level 5 descriptors.

Please insert Doc Id QC0429003910780

Plan - Tet Vi guerllas. public quote Negative imput on public opinion, water workite SDS movement - Stack power augmented / yallup polls But silent majority etc Negative inpart militarily but bill ratio Negative impact for congressional support e.g. LDJ but this was arguably Pre-bet Cordusion paved the way for withdrawal The Tet Offensia of 1968 was had a very regative effect on public support for the war augmenting support for the articular novement (Alur). However, perhaps its greatest effect was on congress parsonified by LBJ not resubmitting his cardiday for reelection creating an initial shock which set in place the play of de-ansiromention, or withdrawn Neverteless, the first and most significant consequence was growing discontent for the war which triggered by Tet The image of VC querilles surrounding the US embossy in Saigon was a propaganda Urumph the Most Vietnamese forces. This image was to be postfuged sationwide ou spuring discussion about the war.

Famously, Walter (ronkite said "What the hell is going on? I want we were winning the war!" The impact of the widely respected & TV archoman is emphasised by LDT's stutement "if we lose Cronkite, we come America" and the significance of public opinion shown through LBT'en - "public opinion is the weakest link in our armow" Indeed, Public opinion was very swotal and Tet portryed invessing disconect. An opinion poll highlighted many had felt there had been no progress made in Vitham since 1965. In addition, a gallup poll underlined 20 per cent had switched to opposing the war. The arti-was moveme sentiment was greatly increased with growing SDS protests (200,000 marched on New York in 1969) and further support for the Black former movement. This regardle support for the war would serve to ande congressional support too. Herce, with a great deal of the population witching to opposing the war it is accurate to say the most significant effect of Tet was regative public spirion for the war. However, on the other hand, one could trying that that here was not a great deal of regative support for was as a consequence of Tet. Although, 20% switches to opposing the war, they were still the minority (3:1) This would be highlighted by Nicon's extent majority speech in odder 1969 which highlighted 69 percent

soll supposed the war Also public opinion did not impact presidential shinking Ar opinion pull accentuated that the AVII was regarded as more fleming that the war itself." Therefore while the Tet offering hid spork greater public keyblach to be war regative public opinion did not becarry impact on presidential that disking and Policies. In this way the regative public support was a insequence, but not a agrificant one.

Perhaps what had more of a significante consequence, was the Tet Opperative's effect on US militarily. The Tet exposed the military's failings yeartly - back so congress and the public. Firstly, it portrayed the weakness of US counter-intelligence which had been forwaring on the Khe sanh afternive or Moolover, it compassed the USA's weakness to gravilla testus. Mease Aldough these was considerable success in the war itself - westroreland claimed the far produced of the favourable 10:1 bill tratior - and mini-test and test 111 were complete failings - it showed these was much more to withing the evan than a single military victory. It highlighted it was a military failing because despite success in battles, the number of V insurpents was actually programy. This was due to the various bemping runs which regatively impacts south Nichanese support for the American - sponsored government. Thus, the a cignificant consequence of Tet was that it

It signified small victories ober the Ve were not enough to stop its growing support and because the Us could not stop present fostering supports, the Tet accentituded this great problem. Hence a agrificant consequence of Tet Offensive was that it eluidated An the USA's military failing to Hop V support What I believe to be she most Eignificant aff conseque consequence of the Tet offensive was its impact on congressional support for the ever Post-Tet, CBJ significantly decided to not resultant his cardiday for replaction. He simply could not sustain a hun's und butter policy his West society program stormed of each due to the growing budget definit as a result of the Tet offenence (1.6 bollion in 1965 - 25.3 billion in 1968 after Tet) It set in place the poliny of de-americanisation, or gradual withdrawal from Vietnam. This crosion of support for the Wan way felt through all the eddlors of US government and was a leading factor in negative private /political/ Congressional Supports for the war, In this way, the most significant consequence of the Tet Opensive was not its effect on public opinion, but its Effect on congressional opinion to the war Arguably, howeverer, this exosion of veryees was occurring

In Pre-Fet South Asu.

## **Paper Summary**

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice: Section A

• Candidates should use the time available to read and consider both extracts carefully before planning their answer

- Candidates should read the question carefully and make sure that the address the view specifically stated in the question
- Candidates should aim to interpret both extracts by analysing the issues raised and showing an understanding of the arguments presented by both authors
- Candidates should aim to integrate own knowledge with the key points raised in the extracts.

## Section B

- Spending a few minutes planning helps to ensure the second order concept is correctly identified
- Candidates must provide more precise contextual knowledge as evidence. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should avoid a narrative-descriptive approach; this undermines the analysis that is required for the higher levels
- Candidates need to be aware of key dates as identified in the specification so that they can address the questions with chronological precision
- Candidates should try to explore the links between issues in order to make the structure of the response flow more logically and to enable the integration of analysis.