



Pearson

International Advanced Level Accounting

Unit 2 WAC12

Corporate and Management Accounting

Question 4

Exemplar scripts with examiner commentaries

Introduction

This set of exemplar responses with examiner commentaries for Unit 2, Corporate and Management Accounting, has been produced to support teachers delivering and students studying the International Advanced Level Accounting specification.

This pack includes examiner commentaries and exemplar scripts.

The scripts selected exemplify performances for this component of the examination.

This document should be used alongside other IAL Accounting teaching and learning materials available on the website [here](#).

Link to May/June 2017 WAC12 Mark scheme is [here](#) on the IAL Accounting web page.

Exemplar scripts

Script 6

Source material for Question 4 is on page 10 and 11 of the source booklet.

If you answer Question 4 put a cross in the box .

4 (a) Calculate the weighted average cost of capital.

(6)

$$(90 \times 0.03) \text{ ordinary shares} = £2.7 \text{ m}$$

$$(0.04 \times 20) \text{ preference shares} = £0.8 \text{ m}$$

$$(70 \times 0.07) \text{ debenture} = £4.9 \text{ m}$$

$$£0 \times \frac{5.75}{100} \text{ Bank loan} = £4.6 \text{ m}$$
$$= £13 \text{ m}$$

(b) Calculate the average rate of return (accounting rate of return) of the project.

(18)

Year	Inflow	Outflow	Net cash flow
0		260 000 000	(260 000 000)
1	936 000 000 - 180 000 000	208 000 000 + 13 000 000	728 000 000
2	982 800 000 - 189 000 000	218 400 000 + 13 000 000	764 400 000
3	1031 940 000 - 198 450 000	218 400 000 + 13 000 000	813 54 000
4	1081 080 000 - 207 900 000	228 800 000 + 13 000 000	852 280 000
5	1158 300 000 - 222 750 000	228 800 000 + 13 000 000	929 500 000
			148772000

Year	Inflow	Outflow	Net cash flow
0		(260 000 000)	(260,000,000)
1	936 000 000	338 000 000	598 0 000 000
2	982 800 000	348 400 000	634 400 000
3	1031 940 000	348 400 000	683 54 000 000
4	1081 080 000	358 800 000	722 280 000
5	1158 300 000	358 800 000	9 799 500 000

$$ARR = \frac{\text{net cash flow}}{\text{investment}} \times 100$$

$$\frac{698958000}{260000000} \times 100 = \frac{698958000}{260000000} = 139791600$$

$$\frac{139791600}{260000000} \times 100 = \frac{139791600}{260000000} = 537.5771$$

(c) Evaluate the project for the company, using the calculations made and considering any other relevant factors.

(6)

AAR gives you a investment return of $\frac{577}{5-77}$. Which is almost
nearly way more than the arr the construction plk is
looking for so it can be a very important impact to take the
project. Also the number of customers are increasing
weekly even when you increase the price which is a very
good sign starts showing that this will continue to rise.

However this method doesn't take the time factor into consideration

which can be a big problem and also the values are not actual
values so if the actual values are a big change from the given
values, it can cause the whole calculation to go wrong.

However, AAR is quite reliable and a good method so
the project can be taken.

(Total for Question 4 = 30 marks)

Examiner commentary

Question: 4

In part (a) the student successfully calculates the interest paid in monetary terms (£13m) but stops there. The weighted average cost of capital was required and this was 5%. The answer scores 3 out of 6 for this section.

In part (b) the average rate of return should be based on profit, not cash flow, but the headings here are "inflow", "outflow" and "net cash flow". It would have been better to use revenue or income, costs or expenses, and profit. However, marks were awarded despite the headings. The inflow column is correct and achieved 3 marks. The outflow column was incorrect and attained no marks. The bridge was depreciated over 5 years at 20% using the straight-line method, so a total of £260m would be deducted over the 5 years. The student had this figure under "year 0" and was generously awarded the 2 marks available.

The correct method would have been to deduct depreciation as an expense when calculating profit for each year. If the bridge had not been fully depreciated within 5 years, the £260m cost would have been incorrect. The net cash flow total is an incorrect addition and scores no marks. The calculations to find the average profit (although labelled net cash flow) and average rate of return at the end are correct using the own figure rule.

This gave a total of 11 marks out of 18 for part (b).

In part (c), all of the first paragraph is valid, with some analysis present when discussing the number of customers rising despite prices increasing. The second paragraph mentions the "time factor", but does not specifically mention the fall in the value of money over time. There is an evaluation/conclusion, with a main reason given for taking on the project.

This was a level 3 answer and attained 5 out of 6 marks.