



Pearson

Moderators' Report/ Principal Moderator Feedback

Summer 2017

Pearson Edexcel GCSE
In Leisure and Tourism (5LT02)

Unit 2 Sales, Promotion and Operations in Leisure
and Tourism

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2017

Publications Code 5LT02_01_2017_MR

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

Sales, Promotion and Operations in Leisure and Tourism

General guidance

The assignment for this unit is completed under Controlled Assessment conditions. The write up time allowed for the activities is 15 hours in total. Planning and Research should be undertaken in the 30 hour teaching time framework.

The Controlled Assessment tasks are set by Edexcel Pearson and can be found on the Edexcel Pearson website. The marking grids for the Controlled Assessment can be found in the specification for the qualification. The marking grid will remain the same throughout the life of the qualification. The tasks will be reviewed every two years.

Planning and Research

1-2 marks.

A basic plan with little detail or breakdown of activities to be undertaken, or timescales. Information collected from a single source with little selectivity or relevance to the task. Needs support and guidance.

3-4 marks.

A plan with a breakdown of activities and timescales for the task. Information is collected from more than one source with some selectivity and relevance to the task. Some guidance is given.

5-6 marks.

A detailed plan with activities and timescales clearly indicated for all parts of the task. Information is collected from different sources with a high degree of selectivity and relevance to the task. High level of independent work.

For **each** of the four activities set by Edexcel, candidates need to produce a plan of action and outline within this plan what research they are going to undertake and what resources they will be using to undertake their research.

Each of these four plans are marked out of six marks making a total of 24 marks overall for planning and research. This part of the activity is completed outside of the 15 hour write up time.

Candidate marks varied significantly in this section of the controlled assessment. Some candidates provided a list of dates against a grid supplied by the teacher which warrants one mark. Some candidates still provided a breakdown of activities other than the ones needed to complete the controlled assessment, or confused the plans across the four activities.

However, other candidates put in a lot of effort and thought carefully thinking about how to break the activity down into relevant parts that would enable them to plan their write up in a logical fashion.

They used this relevant breakdown to plan their research carefully. It was very clear that those candidates that planned and researched carefully tended to produce the best work overall. They clearly understood what was expected and prepared well for the controlled assessment write up time. Their thoughts appeared logical and organised.

Please note for top marks to be considered a number of different sources for research must be included (ie. a range), with some selectivity. In the updates it would be expected information on how useful the research sources were would be included.

If proformas are provided by the assessor please ensure they are providing the right help for the candidates. Some proformas followed the specification rather than the specific activities the candidates need to complete in their controlled assessment which confused candidates and meant they did not plan for the activities required of them. The planning and research time is to allow for preparation for the controlled assessment not for the teaching and learning of the unit.

If proformas are provided by the assessor it is difficult for the more able candidates to demonstrate the high level of independent work necessary for the top mark band. Perhaps proformas are helpful when preparing candidates for the first task but once the candidates understand the concept they may be able to undertake their own planning and research format to enable them to progress through the mark bands.

Less able candidates could still receive the assistance required and be awarded marks accordingly.

Overall many plans do still lack detail. Updates do need to be provided. If these updates are detailed they will suggest timescale changes or other sources of research if needed. One common error was candidates' writing a diary of what they had done rather than planning what they intend to do.

Areas of Improvement for candidates:

If using a template for planning and research care should be taken to ensure the headings reflect the activities the candidates need to undertake. Often the headings did not accurately reflect the activities the candidates needed to address and this caused them to provide unnecessary evidence.

A more detailed breakdown of activities usually allows for more marks to be awarded.

Candidates should specify which websites or the titles/authors of the books they intend to use for research.

Timescales for completion of each breakdown should be provided.

Web-based research is one method of research; higher marks could be gained by providing a variety of different research methods. These could be reviewed in the update and perhaps additional research added.

Updates on progression should be provided either in pen or electronically. Candidates could then advise whether research methods planned actually

worked for them. They may have to change their plans due to computer breakdowns etc. They may need to revise their research requirements.

In activity 3 candidates should provide a mock-up/design plan for their material

Activity 1

Research and present information about:
The aims and objectives of your chosen organisation.

The places used by your organisation to sell products and services

The pricing strategies used by your organisation

There are 12 marks available for this activity. Six marks are available for planning and research and six marks are available for presenting information.

To achieve 5-6 marks it would generally be expected that aims and objectives presented will cover at least three different types. Often candidates tended to present a range – especially financial and mission statement aims, however they did not always specify which ones they were presenting leaving the assessor/moderator to draw conclusions for them.

Places will cover channels of distribution including at least 2-3 different types of selling situation in detail. Some candidates still presented information on the geographical location of their organisation. This is a wasted opportunity as this is not what the controlled assessment is asking them to do. In fact candidates tended to be confused by the term distribution channels. In the limited write up time that candidates have, they should be selecting the relevant information required as this selectivity illustrates that they fully understand the activity and the promotional terms in the specification.

The controlled assessment requires candidates to make decisions about which information to present. Higher level candidates will present the information requested in the most detail.

They will not present information that is not required in the controlled assessment. Part of the process, due to the time constraint will be that they look at the research they have gathered and then make decisions about which information is the most relevant to present to ensure they answer the activity. Background information on the history of the organisation is not required for this task and is wasting the candidate's limited time.

The pricing strategies part of the activity was a good differentiator between candidates. The higher marked candidates made reference to pricing strategies such as peak and off-peak, economy pricing, premium pricing, promotional pricing etc. and were able to apply this to their chosen organisation. Seeing such use of applied marketing terminology was excellent.

However many candidates simply listed the different prices charged by their chosen organisation. This is very basic.

Another common mistake is to provide generic information on aims and objectives rather than to apply these to the candidate's chosen organisation.

Areas for improvement for candidates:

Headings for different types of aims could be provided so that it is clear candidates have presented a range – financial, mission statement, customer service, green etc.

Candidates should concentrate on chain of distribution used by the organisation rather than the geographical location. This overlaps and helps them provide more information on the selling situations.

Candidates should use pricing strategy terms and demonstrate they fully understand these through application to their chosen organisation.

Activity 2

Research and present information about the target markets of your chosen organisation.

Evaluate the effectiveness of the promotional techniques and materials used to attract these target markets and suggest improvements.

There are 18 marks available for this activity. Six marks for planning and research, six marks for presenting information and six marks for evaluation and making suggestions.

Many candidates still made the error of not presenting information on the target markets of their chosen organisation. Instead they often went into evaluating the promotional materials used by the organisation. This made it very difficult to agree high marks awarded for presenting information on target markets. If candidates referred to target markets in their evaluation of materials and techniques this work was credited, although marks tended to be lower than when this section of the activity had been completed in its own right. The Assessment Criteria outlined in the specification P30-33 clearly show that for Activity 2 there are six marks available for presenting information. The Controlled Assessment sheet states that candidates need to present information about the target markets of their chosen organisation. When information was presented on target markets, some candidates submitted just a paragraph or the theory of target marketing. In these instances it is not possible to award marks from the top mark band.

If candidates presented at least 2-3 different target markets with some detail and applied them appropriately to their chosen organisation this generally meant they achieved 3-4 marks. If they presented information on a wide range of target markets, subdivided to increase detail (e.g. not just identifying 'families' or 'teenagers' but providing more exemplification) showing constant and clear application to their chosen organisation, this generally meant they achieved 5-6 marks.

In the evaluation section of this activity most assessors applied the mark scheme accurately, realising that candidates that had just evaluated materials without techniques were not able to gain more than half marks as they had only completed half of the task. A common problem was that candidates could not clearly differentiate between promotional techniques and promotional materials, some candidates appeared confused by the terminology. In these instances it is difficult to agree the awarding of 5-6 marks.

For the evaluation and suggesting improvements part of the activity candidates need to concentrate on providing evidence that is evaluation and does make justified suggestions for improvement. Descriptive work is not addressing what this part of the activity is asking for. Candidates gaining the higher level marks used the planning and research time well to carry out and collect research and then moved on to selecting the information relevant to their evaluation. They did not present everything they collected in their research. For 5-6 marks candidates clearly demonstrated that they fully understood the difference between promotional techniques and materials and were therefore able to highlight which technique the materials they evaluated came from.

The candidates applied their knowledge appropriately; if the organisation was attempting to appeal to families with small children, as part of their evaluation they would evaluate materials looking at the types of things that would promote that image, for example pictures of the target market, language, selling language and logos.

Areas for improvement for candidates:

This activity is split into two sections after planning and research. Firstly candidates should present information on the target markets using the organisation. Secondly they should evaluate both the promotional techniques and materials used by the organisation.

When candidates can clearly distinguish between techniques and materials and evaluate both then top marks can be considered.

Candidates need to ensure they fully apply both the information presented on target markets and their evaluations of promotional techniques and materials to the organisation they are studying.

Activity 3

For your chosen organisation:

Plan, design and produce a piece of promotional material for a target market of your choice

Evaluate the finished product and suggest improvements

There are 18 marks available for this activity. Six marks are available for planning and research. Six marks are available for producing the material and six marks are available for evaluation and suggesting improvements. When undertaking the planning aspect of this task, candidates need to provide a mock-up or design for the material they are going to produce. This could take the form of a diagram marking where text and pictures will go, perhaps what type of pictures will be used to ensure appeal to the target market, logos to be included etc. or it could take the form of a written summary of what the candidate is planning to place on their material.

If the material produced is well presented and the target market is clear through the images, language and content of the material then 3-4 marks can be considered.

If the candidate produces a material that looks almost professional where the target market is consistently clear and the use of promotional language is strong then 5-6 marks can be considered.

Promotional language is the actual selling of the product or service to the customer –use of slogans, selling language etc. Please note that for 5-6 marks to be awarded the work must be independent and original. When centres all produce work that is very similar it is difficult to agree a high level of independence for individual candidates. This year the vast majority of centres encouraged candidates to produce different materials and designs which was excellent practice.

Generally the assessors did mark this section of the activity accurately. However if candidates produced items of merchandise (and fortunately this year few candidates did), marks did tend to be lenient. If candidates produce mugs, key rings etc. it is usually very difficult for them to provide the detailed information required to make this effective for a specified target market. Most candidates that produced items of merchandise achieved only 1-2 marks. It is strongly recommended that candidates are dissuaded from only producing items of merchandise for this part of the controlled assignment.

The amount of detail provided by candidates on their evaluation of their material varied significantly. Some produced a few lines whilst others went into tremendous detail. When awarding 5-6 marks the evaluation will be detailed and measured both against the effectiveness of the information presented and the effectiveness for the target market. Candidates should

remember to suggest improvements. Again generally assessors did mark this section of the activity accurately.

Areas for improvement for candidates:

In the planning stage candidates should consider what and to whom they are going to direct their promotional material

They should consider the necessary information needed to make the material effective for that target market

They should consider the language, logos, strap lines they are going to use to entice the target market to 'buy' into the product/service being promoted. They should consider what would appeal to the specific market they are trying to attract

If they have undertaken the evaluation section of Activity 2 well, this should provide them with ideas on how to make their own material effective and also provide them with the tools to evaluate it and suggest improvements

Activity 4

For your chosen organisation:

Research how technology or technological change has affected this organisation

Evaluate the effect of technology or technological change on this organisation

They are 12 marks available for this activity. Six marks for planning and research and six marks for evaluation. Often candidates used another organisation to that used in the first three activities for this one. Please note up to two organisations can be used to complete the activities for this unit. Only one organisation must be used for each activity. Different organisations tended to be used if the original one was unlikely to have the range of technology/technological change to enable candidates to provide the detail required for higher marks.

In general most candidates completed this activity in reasonable detail. Top candidates produced excellent evaluations not only of the changes technology has had on the organisation they studied but also on the effect these changes have had on staffing, profits, costs, training and marketing. Other candidates produced excellent evaluations of the changes but were weaker on the effect these changes would have. A common error was to evaluate how changes in technology affected the customer, rather than to focus on how they affected the organisation.

Weak candidates tended to describe the changes in technology and either provided limited or no evaluation at all which limited the marks that could be awarded to 1-2 marks.

Some candidates produced generic information rather than looking at how technology had affected their chosen organisation. This also limited marks to 1-2 marks.

Areas for improvement for candidates:

This activity should be broken down into two sections after planning and research. Candidates should evaluate the changes that have occurred over time due to technology in the organisation. Secondly they should evaluate the effect these changes have had on costs, employment, training, marketing, profits etc.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

