

# Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2022

Pearson Edexcel GCE In Politics (9PL0) Paper 2: UK Government and Non-core Political Ideas

## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

## Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

Summer 2022 Question Paper Log Number P70939A Publications Code 9PL0\_02\_2206\_MS All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2022

### **General Marking Guidance**

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

#### **Guidelines for Marking Source Question**

#### AO1 (10 marks)

Marks here relate to knowledge and understanding.

They can be awarded for using the source and developing separate own knowledge.

When the rubric states that candidates should 'use knowledge and understanding to help you analyse and evaluate' it means that candidates should use only knowledge and understanding from the source. Newly introduced own knowledge cannot form the basis for AO2 and AO3 points/marks.

#### AO2 (10 marks)

Candidates should focus their comparison on analysing the different opinions in the source in terms of similarities and differences. They should look at the different approaches and views that arise from political information and show how these can form the basis for differing opinions.

#### AO3 (10 marks)

Candidates are expected to evaluate the information and arguments presented. They may rank the importance of the analysis. They should be able to make and form judgments based on the source and they should reach reasoned conclusion.

Marks for analysis (AO2) and evaluation (AO3) should only be awarded where they relate to information in the source

Candidates must consider both views in their answers in a balanced way. The judgement a candidate reaches about these views should be reflected in their conclusion.

Candidates who do not undertake any comparative analysis of the source and/or have not considered both views in a balanced way cannot achieve marks beyond Level 2.

Other valid responses are acceptable

Question number	AO1 10 Marks	AO2 10 Marks	AO3 10 Marks
		Points in Agreement	
1(a)		Parliament is largely ineffective in shaping gove	
	AO1 – 10 marks - Points from the source for the view	AO2 - 10 marks - Analysis for the view	AO3 – 10 marks - Conclusions and judgement for the view
	Only government proposed amendments succeed in the Commons.	Due to the majority for the government, the whip system and party loyalty in the Commons, nearly all successful amendments to bills require government backing with only 1% of proposals from non-government parliamentarians succeeding (UCL).	We can conclude that the government's domination of the Commons makes Parliament largely ineffective at shaping government legislation.
	There is a lack of time and specialism in party whipped public bill committees.	Public bill committees have long been criticised for their weaknesses; their adhoc nature, lack of time and their partisan approach which limits effective scrutiny of government legislation.	We can conclude that the lack of effective scrutiny at this crucial stage prevents the Commons from improving government legislation.
	The House of Lords has limited powers due to its unelected nature.	The unelected House of Lords is limited by the Parliament Acts, the Salisbury Convention and the Commons' Financial Privilege effectively limits the Lords' power over government legislation.	We can conclude the Lords is not fit for purpose as it acting beyond its constitutional role in challenging the will of the elected government.
	Government bills are rarely defeated.	The government rarely suffers defeats on legislation in Parliament, reflecting Parliament's weaknesses in defeating government legislation and ability to force concessions from government.	We can conclude that Parliament's inability to say no to government legislation means it is ineffective at shaping government legislation.
		Points in Disagreement	

AO1 – 10 marks - Points from the source for the view	AO2 - 10 marks - Analysis for the view	AO3 – 10 marks - Conclusions and judgement for the view
The government shapes and amends its legislation in order to maintain the support	The reason the Government suffers so few defeats in Parliament, is that it shapes it	We can conclude that Parliament is effective at shaping government
of its own backbenchers.	legislation and makes concessions in the form of amendments to win over a majority of votes in both houses to pass legislation.	legislation by forcing government to shape and amend the bills to win parliamentary support.
Public bill committees in the House can trigger changes in legislation due to pressure from the Opposition and due to the nature of evidence from outside experts.	Public Bills committees allow non- government parliamentarians and outside experts to alert ministers about where changes are needed, even if those changes are proposed by Ministers.	We can conclude though most amendments are proposed by Ministers, the government is willing to incorporate changes that improve legislation proving that parliament is effective.
There is more time for scrutiny in the Lords and a higher degree of specialism and Crossbenchers can succeed in getting widespread support for their amendments.	The expertise and specialism (since the Reform Act of 1998) of the Lords means that it is effective at the detailed scrutiny of legislation whilst amendments made in the Lords can often gain widespread support in Parliament, leading government to amend legislation in response.	We can conclude that the expertise, time and non-partisan nature of crossbenchers often leads to amendments being proposed that force government amendments and changes.
The House of Lords is under no party control making it difficult for the government to pass legislation.	This means the government is generally more likely to suffer defeats in the Lords than the Commons, and these defeats often trigger changes to government legislation as the government prefers negotiation to confrontation.	We can conclude that the Lords is effective at shaping government legislation, by making government amend legislation to win over a majority in the Lords in order to pass legislation.
<ul> <li>Points based on own knowledge:</li> <li>For the view:         <ul> <li>The control of the Parliamentary timetable allows the government to restrict the power of the Commons to shape legislation.</li> <li>The separation of public bill</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	NO AO2 is rewarded if linked to new material from Own Knowledge	No AO3 is rewarded if linked to new material from Own Knowledge

committees from select committees	
in unhelpful and reduces the	
chances of legislation being	
scrutinised by expert MPs.	
• The payroll vote gives the	
government a real advantage over	
the Commons.	
Against the view:	
• The removal of all but 92 Hereditary	
peers has made the Lords more	
effective at shaping government	
legislation.	
• The weaker party system in the	
Lords gives it greater independence	
and greater ability to shape	
legislation.	
The increasingly rebellious nature of	
backbenchers makes the Commons	
more effective at shaping	
government legislation.	
government registation.	

Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	No rewardable material.	
Level 1	1-6	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of political information with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of political information, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many of which are</li> </ul>	

		descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).
Level 2	7-12	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of political information with some focused, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of political information, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions without much justification (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	13-18	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of political information with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences within political information, which make mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of political information, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	19–24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of political information, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences within political information, which make relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of political information, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	25-30	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, which are effectively selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive comparative analysis of political information, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences within political information, which make cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of political information, constructing fully effective arguments and judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>

Question number	AO1 10 Marks	AO2 10 Marks	AO3 10 Marks		
1(b)	1b Using the source, evaluate the view that devolution has created more problems than it has solved.				
		Points in agreement	1		
	AO1 – 10 marks - Points from the source for the view	AO2 - 10 marks - Analysis for the view	AO3 – 10 marks - Conclusions and judgement for the view		
	Turnout in elections has been lower than in general elections	Turnout in devolved elections has been consistently lower than in general elections, suggesting a lack of political engagement with devolution.	We can conclude that lower turnout in devolved elections shows that it has not worked to increase political engagement.		
	The Northern Ireland Executive and Assembly have been suspended on more than one occasion.	In Northern Ireland, for around seven years since devolution started, there has not been a fully functioning Assembly and Executive due to the need for a power sharing agreement.	We can conclude that devolution is not working effectively at delivering a fully functioning Executive and Assembly in Northern Ireland.		
	A legitimacy problem in England	The lack of a devolved government for England, the lack of support for metro mayors and the issues around EVEL means that devolution is not working as there is a democratic deficit for England	We can conclude that devolution is not working for England as it has created a democratic deficit.		
	Devolution as a stepping stone to independence	Devolution is not working as it a slippery slope to the end of the Union. This issue has been brought into sharper focus in Scotland and N Ireland due to issues raised by Brexit showing that devolution is not working.	We can conclude that devolution is not working as it has opened up the possibility of Scottish Independence and the reunification of Ireland.		
	Points in disagreement				
	AO1 – 10 marks - Points from the source	AO2 - 10 marks - Analysis for the view	AO3 – 10 marks - Conclusions and judgement		
	for the view		for the view		
	Devolution has won the battle for	Devolution is widely supported in Wales	We can conclude that devolution has worked in		

legitimacy in Scotland and Wales.	and Scotland, as evidenced in the referenda results and polls showing a greater trust in devolved governments than UK government, as it has brought decision making closer to the people.	Scotland and Wales to improve political trust and engagement.
Northern Ireland, devolution has delivered increased peace and stability	Good Friday Agreement was designed to share power between unionist and nationalist communities leading to a massive drop in violence showing it has worked.	We can conclude that devolution remains popular in Northern Ireland and has been a success in delivering peace and stability.
Since 2015, metro mayors have been created with some executive, spending and strategic powers	Metro Mayors have created a clear regional voice and identity , such as Andy Burnham for Greater Manchester, showing it is a working form of devolution for England	We can conclude that Metro Mayors have worked to provide a form of regional voice and English devolution.
Devolution has helped maintained the unity of the UK.	Devolution recognise the rights of Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland to determine their own government so works to cement their constitutional role in the Union.	We can conclude that this constitutional settlement works to bring unity to the UK.
<ul> <li>Own knowledge not in the source which may be considered as AO1 include</li> <li>For the view: <ul> <li>Policy differences between the devolved bodies and Westminster has created considerable tension.</li> <li>Devolution is asymmetrical and has created regional imbalances.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The constitutional settlement about where power lies is unclear creating tension.</li> <li>Policy differences between the</li> </ul>	NO AO2 is rewarded if linked to new material from Own Knowledge	No AO3 is rewarded if linked to new material from Own Knowledge

devolved bodies and Westminster has		
created considerable tension.		
Against the view:		
Devolution has allowed new space for		
the development of policy such a		
Scotland's ban on smoking in public places.		
<ul> <li>The use of AMS in Scotland has</li> </ul>		
increased the representation of		
women.		
Devolved bodies have developed new		
policy and policy ideas – which have been later been adopted more widely.		
been accide the wally.	I	

Level	Mark	Descriptor		
	0	No rewardable material.		
Level 1	1-6	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of political information with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of political information, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many of which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 2	7-12	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of political information with some focused, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of political information, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions without much justification (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 3	13-18	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of political information with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences within political information, which make mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of political information, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 4	19–24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of political information, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences within political information, which make relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of political information, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 5	25-30	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, which are effectively selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive comparative analysis of political information, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities</li> </ul>		

and differences within political information, which make cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts
(AO2).
• Constructs fully relevant evaluation of political information, constructing fully effective arguments and judgements, which
are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).

#### Guidelines for Marking Essay Question

#### AO1 (10 marks)

Marks here relate to knowledge and understanding. It should be used to underpin analysis (AO2) and evaluation (AO3)

#### AO2 (10 marks)

Candidates should form analytical views which support and reject the view presented by the question

#### AO3 (10 marks)

Candidates are expected to evaluate the information and arguments presented. They may rank the importance of the prior analysis. They should be able to make and form judgments and they should reach reasoned conclusion.

Candidates must consider both views in their answers in a balanced way.

The judgement a candidate reaches about these views should be reflected in their conclusions.

Candidates who have not considered both views in a balanced way cannot achieve marks beyond Level 2.

Candidates who do not make any synoptic points cannot enter Level 5

Other valid responses are acceptable

Question number	AO1 10 Marks	AO2 10 Marks	AO3 10 Marks
2(a)	2a Evaluate the view that the Supr neutrality.	eme Court operates with sufficient ju	idicial independence and
		Points in agreement	
	A01	AO2	AO3
	The passing of the Constitutional	The Constitutional Reform Act has	We can conclude that the
	Reform Act in 2005 has created a strict	created a clear separation of powers,	principle of judicial
	separation of powers.	by creating the Supreme Court,	independence has been
		splitting out the role of Lord	strengthened by the Act so that is
		Chancellor and establishing an	sufficient in supporting the rule
		independent appointments process	of law in UK democracy.
		to protect the independence of the	

	judiciary.	
Ministers are committed to the	The Supreme Court practices judicial	We can conclude that the
principle of judicial independence	restraint (Nicklinson 2014) whilst	principle of judicial
whilst judges understand the limits to	Ministers remain committed in public	independence is understood and
their role so they don't become	to protecting the independence of the	preserved by both Ministers and
engaged in policy making	judiciary. The Court is taking on more	the Supreme Court judges to
	of a constitutional role and more	ensure sufficient independence
	rights cases but this has been brought	whilst the expanded role is due
	about the passage of the HRA and the	to Acts of Parliament passed by
	constitutional reforms since 1997.	elected politicians.
The Supreme Court Judges sign up to	Supreme Court judges recognise that	We can conclude that Supreme
the principle of judicial neutrality.	in order to maintain the confidence of	Court recognise the importance
	the public they must practice judicial	of judicial neutrality to their
	neutrality by avoiding political activity	legitimacy so uphold the
	and cases are live streamed to create	principle ensuring sufficient
	a level of transparency and	neutrality.
	accountability.	
The Supreme Court is becoming more	The Supreme Court is becoming more	We can conclude that the
diverse.	diverse, creating a clearer impression	changing diversity of the Court
	that the Court is neutral.	creates the impression that the
		principle of judicial neutrality is
		sufficient
	Points in disagreement	
AO1	A02	AO3
The main criticism of judicial	The role of the Lord Chancellor, the	We can conclude that prior to
independence in the UK was the lack	judicial appointments process and the	2009 that the principle of judicial
of a strict separation of powers up to	highest court of the land being in the	independence was not sufficient

the creation of the Supreme Court in	House of Lords were all seen as	in the UK.
2009.	threats to judicial independence.	
There has been a growing willingness	This growing willingness, seen in both	We can conclude that judicia
of Ministers to criticise the Supreme	the Cherry/Miller cases, of Ministers	independence is increasingl
Court whilst the courts are taking an	to criticise the Court and its decisions	under threat from public att
increasingly judicially active role	is a threat to judicial independence	by the Executive branch and
	whilst increasingly the Court is taking	from the Court straying into
	a judicially active role taking in rights	politics due to judicial activis
	cases and constitutional cases.	
The growing attacks by the media on	These attacks, in particular over the	We can conclude that increa
the character of judges rather than	Article 50 ruling, were seen as	press attacks on judges rath
the decisions of the Court.	undermining judicial neutrality in the	than Court decisions underr
	eyes of the public undermining the	the principle of judicial neut
	rule of law and the legitimacy of the	
	judiciary.	
The Supreme Court lacks diversity	The Court's lack of diversity leads to	We can conclude that the Co
leading to claims of bias.	accusations that its lack of social	is seen by critics from both t
	diversity undermines its neutrality	left and right of politics as ne
	whilst others see it as having an	being judicially neutral.
	inbuilt liberal bias.	
Candidates may refer to the following s	synoptic points:	
-	need to balance rights with effective governn	nent.
Controversy of the Brexit referendu		
• The role of the media and its impac		
<ul> <li>The criticisms of the Court from bot</li> </ul>	h the left wing and right wing political persp.	ectives.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1–6	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation. Makes limited synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of political information with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of political information, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many of which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	7-12	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes some relevant synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of political information with some focused, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of political information, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions without much justification (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	13-18	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes generally relevant synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of political information with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences within political information, which make mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of political information, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>

Level 4	19–24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes relevant and focused synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of political information, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences within political information, which make relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of political information, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	25-30	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, which are effectively selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes convincing and cohesive synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive comparative analysis of political information, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences within political information, which make cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of political information, constructing fully effective arguments and judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>

Question number	AO1 10 Marks	AO2 10 Marks	AO3 10 Marks		
2(b)	2b Evaluate the view that the concepts of individual ministerial responsibility and collective ministerial responsibility are both still important.				
	Points in Agreement				
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	Under CMR, ministers subject themselves to the combined restraints	This creates a space for the frank expression of opposing views and	We can conclude that CMR is still important as it allows for strong		
	of secrecy and unanimity in decision- making and are accountable to	untested ideas and gives the perception of strong, united	leadership and clear accountability.		
	Parliament and must resign if they cannot support government policy.	governance giving clear leadership to Parliament and the country whilst remaining collectively accountable for their actions. i.e resignations of D Davis and B Johnson over Brexit.			
	CMR, has proven to be incredibly adaptable, with it being suspended temporarily.	This suspension occurred for both the referendum of 1975 and 2016 over an issue which did not fit with traditional party politics whilst it was it was suspended for certain policies under the Coalition to enable the Coalition government to operate showing its adaptability	We can conclude that the adaptability of CMR is a key strength and reflects its ongoing importance.		
	IMR ensures that ministers are individually responsible for the work	This accountability can be seen in Ministers Questions, Urgent	We can conclude that IMR continues to play an important		
	of their departments and are	question, select committees and	role in ensuring ministers are		

answerable to Parliament for all their	magne that Ministers are expected	accountable to parliament.
	means that Ministers are expected to accept responsibility for any	
departments' activities.		
	failure in administration, any	
	injustice to an individual or any	
	aspect of policy which may be	
	criticised in parliament, whether	
	personally responsible or not. i.e	
	Amber Rudd and Windrush.	
IMR means ministers expected to	IMR works to maintain the highest	We can conclude that IMR
maintain high standards of behaviour	standards in public life to there is	continues to operate effectively
and to behave in a way that upholds	accountability to maintain the	to maintain standards in public
the highest standards of propriety.	legitimacy of the government. i.e	life which is crucial to legitimacy
	Alun Cairns, Michael Fallon, Dr Fox.	so is important.
	Points in disagreement	
AO1	AO2	AO3
CMR has seemingly weakened with	These public conflicts and media	We can conclude that CMR is
ministers disagreeing in public and	leaks, particularly in the May	less important as both secrecy
	leaks, particularly in the May government, with ministers not	less important as both secrecy and unanimity have been
ministers disagreeing in public and		
ministers disagreeing in public and	government, with ministers not	and unanimity have been
ministers disagreeing in public and	government, with ministers not resigning or being sacked reflects	and unanimity have been undermined with clear impacts
ministers disagreeing in public and	government, with ministers not resigning or being sacked reflects that principle of CMR has been	and unanimity have been undermined with clear impacts for strong leadership and
ministers disagreeing in public and leaking information to the media.	government, with ministers not resigning or being sacked reflects that principle of CMR has been weakened.	and unanimity have been undermined with clear impacts for strong leadership and accountability.
ministers disagreeing in public and leaking information to the media. The temporary suspensions of CMR, in particular in 2016 and under the	government, with ministers not resigning or being sacked reflects that principle of CMR has been weakened. Essentially these suspensions have	and unanimity have been undermined with clear impacts for strong leadership and accountability. We can conclude that CMR is
ministers disagreeing in public and leaking information to the media. The temporary suspensions of CMR,	government, with ministers not resigning or being sacked reflects that principle of CMR has been weakened. Essentially these suspensions have undermined the principle, showing	and unanimity have been undermined with clear impacts for strong leadership and accountability. We can conclude that CMR is less important as it is now only

Under IMR, ministers are now increasingly not accepting responsibility for the work of their departments.	Under IMR, ministers are now frequently shifting the blame to others rather than accepting responsibility undermining accountability – i.e. Williamson 2020, Hancock 2020 and whether a minister resigns is now decided by the pressure from the media and the views of the PM.	We can conclude that IMR is increasingly becoming less important as Ministers are not accepting responsibility for their actions.
Under IMR, there have been an increasing number of incidences where Ministers appear to have fallen below the highest standards in public life but remained in office.	IMR is becoming increasingly insignificant as it is the PM who decides whether the principle should be upheld removing any consistency from its application - B Johnson and P Patel in 2020.	We can conclude IMR is now less important as it is in the hands of the PM whether it is applied to uphold the highest standards in public life.
<ul> <li>Candidates may refer to the following sy</li> <li>The role of the media</li> <li>The importance of the Brexit referen</li> <li>The link between accountability and</li> <li>Splits within political parties.</li> </ul>	noptic points:	

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1–6	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation. Makes limited synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of political information with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of political information, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many of which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	7-12	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes some relevant synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of political information with some focused, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences within political information, which make some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of political information, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions without much justification (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	13-18	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes generally relevant synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of political information with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences within political information, which make mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of political information, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	19–24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes relevant and focused synoptic points (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of political information, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences within political information, which make relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of political information, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	25-30	Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political institutions, processes, concepts, theories

and issues, which are effectively selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation. Makes convincing and cohesive
synoptic points (AO1).
Perceptive comparative analysis of political information, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities
and differences within political information, which make cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts
(AO2).
• Constructs fully relevant evaluation of political information, constructing fully effective arguments and judgements, which
are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).

Guidelines for Marking Political Ideas Questions (non -core)

AO1 (8 marks)

Marks here relate to knowledge and understanding. It should be used to underpin analysis (AO2) and evaluation (AO3)

AO2 (8 marks)

Candidates should form analytical views which support and reject the view presented by the question

AO3 (8 marks)

Candidates are expected to evaluate the information and arguments presented. They may rank the importance of the prior analysis. They should be able to make and form judgments and they should reach reasoned conclusions.

Candidates must consider both sides presented in the question.

The judgement a candidate reaches about these sides should be reflected in their conclusion.

Candidates who *do not* refer to specific thinkers from the relevant section of the specification in the question raised and/or/only consider one side cannot achieve beyond Level 2.

Accept any other valid responses and use of other appropriate thinkers identified in the specification.

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)		
3(a)	3a To what extent are the views of individualist anarchists consistent with collectivist anarchists?				
		Points in agreement			
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	Rejection of the state is the	All anarchists agree that any form of	We can conclude that all anarchists		
	consistent principle that binds	state is unjust, controlling, and	are consistent in their rejection of		
	together anarchists.	coercive and corrupting (Goldman)	the state.		
		so must be rejected so their views			
		are consistent.			
	All anarchists are consistent in their	All anarchists reject the state in	We can conclude that all anarchists		
	view that a society without a state	favour of a stateless society as they	are consistent in their belief in a		
	will be one of natural order and	believe that "anarchy is order"	peaceful, stable stateless society.		
	harmony.	(Proudhon) showing they are			
		consistent.			
	All anarchists are consistent in their	All anarchists are committed to	We can conclude that anarchists		
	view that liberty is not compatible	liberty, and removing all forms of	are consistent in their commitment		
	with any form of political authority or	political authority and hierarchy	to liberty.		
	coercive relationship.	showing their views are consistent.			
	Points in disagreement				
	AO1	AO2	AO3		

There is a clear division between	Individualist anarchists fear that the	We can conclude that individualist
individualist and collectivists over	individual will become a servant to	and collectivist anarchists' views are
liberty and the individual.	the collective (Stirner) whilst	not consistent in their view of
	collectivists argued liberty could be	liberty and the individual.
	only be realised through connections	
	with others (Bakunin) showing that	
	their views are not consistent.	
Anarchists are not consistent in their	Individualist anarchists favour the	We can conclude that collectivist
view of what the future society looks	free association of liberated	and individualist anarchism is not
like.	individuals, a Union of Egoists	consistent in its view of the future
	(Stirner) whilst collectivists favour	society.
	self-managing communes organised	
	around cooperation and mutual aid	
	(Kropotkin).	
Whilst collective anarchists and most	Whilst egoism (Stirner) and all	We can conclude that there is
individualist anarchists are	collectivist strands oppose	inconsistency in their views over
consistent in their opposition to	capitalism, anarcho capitalists	capitalism.
capitalism, there is a clear divide with	support an unfettered free market.	
anarcho-capitalists.		

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1-4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and</li> </ul>

judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).
---

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)		
3(b)	<b>3b</b> To what extent do anarchists disagree over human nature?				
5(5)	Points in disagreement				
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	There is disagreement between	Most individualist anarchists adopt a	We can conclude that individualist		
	individualist and collectivists over	view of human nature that is	and collectivist anarchists clearly		
	human nature	egoistical (Stirner) whilst most	disagree over the essence of		
		collectivists see human nature as	human nature.		
		social and cooperative (Kropotkin)			
	The disagreement over human	Individualist anarchists favour the	We can conclude that collectivist		
	nature is reflected in differing views	voluntary association of self-	and individualist anarchism		
	of the future society.	interested individuals, a Union of	disagrees over the future society		
		Egoists (Stirner) whilst collectivists	driven by different views of		
		favour self-managing communes	human nature.		
		organised around cooperation and			
		mutual aid (Kropotkin).			
	There is disagreement over what will	For collectivists, common ownership	We can conclude that there is		
	changes are needed to help change	(Kropotkin) or mutualism (Proudhon)	clear disagreement about how to		
	human nature.	will nurture humanity's social and	unlock the potential of human		
		cooperative qualities, while for	nature.		

	anarcho-capitalists, the unfettered free market, and for egoists, the	
	Union of Egos (Stirner), will allow	
	autonomy to develop.	
	Points in agreement	
AO1	AO2	AO3
Most anarchists have an optimistic view of human nature or at the least the potential of human nature.	Most anarchists agree that humanity has been corrupted by the existing state, societal and economic relations (Kropotkin/Bakunin) and when they are removed, then the potential of humanity will be revealed (Goldman).	We can conclude that most anarchists agree over an optimistic view of the potential of humanity
Social order arises naturally from human nature without the need for a state.	Most anarchist believe that anarchy is order (Proudhon) and social order occurs naturally and spontaneously, emerging from human nature when existing social relations are stripped away.	We can conclude that most anarchists agree that a peaceful, stable, stateless society is possibl due to human nature.
Most anarchists agree human nature is shaped by social relations but humans are capable of changing those conditions.	Existing traits of human nature are created by the social, political and economic environment and humans can change this environment and therefore change human nature.	We can conclude that anarchists are consistent in their commitment to liberty.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1-4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and</li> </ul>

judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).
---

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)		
4(a)	<b>4a</b> To what extent do ecologists agree that ecologism starts where capitalism ends.				
	Points in agreement				
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	Deep greens and social ecology both reject capitalism, which is based on	Both deep greens and shallow greens reject capitalism as it is based	We can conclude that both deep greens social ecology agree that		
	industrialism, seeing it as	on the principle of growth (Bookchin)	ecologism starts where capitalism		
	incompatible with ecologism.	and gigantism (Schumacher) which is impossible as all production is destruction.	ends as growth is incompatible with ecologism.		
	Deep Greens and social ecology reject the consumerism and materialism of capitalism.	Both deep and shallow greens believe a new value system, based around creative work, production for need not want and spiritual wealth over material wealth (Buddhist economics Schumacher)	We can conclude that deep greens and social ecology see ecologism as beginning where capitalism ends as they reject consumerism and materialism.		
	Deep greens and social ecology reject the anthropocentric and mechanistic world view of capitalism that is reductionist in its approach to nature.	Ecologism is incompatible with capitalism, as capitalism sees nature as existing purely as a resource for the convenience of humanity, placing humanity as master of nature and viewing nature as machine whose	We can conclude that deep greens and shallow ecology see ecologism as beginning where capitalism ends as capitalism is anthropocentric.		

	parts can be understood, fixed or replaced in isolation from the whole (Merchant).	
	Points in disagreement	
AO1	AO2	AO3
Shallow greens disagree with deep	Shallow greens argue that capitalism	We can conclude that there is
greens and social ecology, believing	and ecologism are compatible, some	clear disagreement within
that capitalism is compatible with	advocating green capitalism whilst	ecologism over whether ecologisr
ecologism.	others favour a managerialism to	starts where capitalism ends
	ensure that capitalism operates	between shallow greens and the
	within the limits to growth.	other strands.
Shallow greens disagree with deep	Shallow greens believe the answer to	We can conclude that there is
and shallow ecology, arguing that it	consumerism is doing more with	clear disagreement within
is possible to generate smarter,	less, not disposing of the capitalist	ecologism over whether ecologisi
greener, slower growth within	model, in order to sustainably	starts where capitalism ends as
capitalism.	manage resources (Carson).	shallow greens believe greener
		growth is possible
Shallow greens disagree with deep	Shallow greens favour limited	We can conclude that there is
greens and social ecology, offering a	holism, with a faith in technology and	clear disagreement within
form of limited holism and	the view that if humans apply the	ecologism over whether ecologis
enlightened anthropocentrism that	principles of intergenerational equity	starts where capitalism ends a
are compatible with capitalism.	then capitalism is compatible with	shallow greens support
	ecologism,	enlightened anthropocentrism.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1–4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and</li> </ul>

	iudgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions ( $\Lambda$ O2)
	$\alpha$ independents, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and institued conclusions (AU3).

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)		
4(b)	4b To what extent do ecologists disagree over sustainability?				
		Points in agreement			
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	All ecologists take from the science of ecology the concept of sustainability	Ecology teaches that sustainability, the ability of an ecosystem to maintain its health over time, and all ecologists want to apply this principle to the biosphere (Carson).	We can conclude that all ecologists agree it is vital to understand and apply the principle of sustainability.		
	All ecologists take the view that the Limits to Growth report shows that the currents economic models are unsustainable.	Current economic models, based on industrialism, materialism and consumerism will breach the limits to growth showing that they are unsustainable.	We can conclude that ecologists agree that current economic models are not sustainable.		
	All ecologists sign up to the principle of sustainability	This principle argues that the future economic model should recognise the principle of sustainability and work within the limits to growth.	We can conclude that ecologists agree that the future society and economy must be sustainable.		
	Points in disagreement				
	A01	AO2	AO3		
	Shallow greens favour weak	Weak sustainability favours smarter,	We can conclude that whilst all		
	sustainability, whilst deep greens and social ecology favours strong sustainability.	greener and slower growth that maintains manages natural resources (Carson) whilst strong	agree over sustainability, there is a clear difference over what the term sustainability means.		

	sustainability favours de-growth,	
	following by a steady state economy,	
	which preserves natural resources	
	showing disagreement.	
Shallow greens view of sustainability	Shallow greens see sustainable	We can conclude that different
leads to a reformist approach, whilst	development as greening existing	view of sustainability lead to clear
for deep greens and social ecology it	economic models, whilst deep	differences over the actions that
leads to a radical approach	greens/social ecology reject	needs to be taken.
	capitalism and wish to replace it with	
	small, autonomous economic	
	communities (Schumacher,	
	Bookchin, Leopold).	
The shallow green view of	Shallow green sustainability allows	We can conclude that there is a
sustainability places great faith in	for smarter growth and green	clear disagreement over the role
technology, which is rejected by deep	consumerism, whilst deep greens	of technology in ensuring
greens and social ecology.	and social ecology reject this	sustainability.
	believing technology is only part of	
	the solution, and is only valuable	
	alongside a transformation of values	
	(Leopold, Schumacher)	

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1–4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and</li> </ul>

	iudgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3)
	I Judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AU3).

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)		
5(a)	5a To what extent is there more disagreement within feminism than agreement?				
		Points in agreement			
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	Feminists agree that women and	Feminists argue that women have	We can conclude that there is clear		
	men are not treated equally in the	been faced with systemic	agreement within feminism that		
	state, economy and society	discrimination and persistent	there is inequality.		
		injustices.			
	Feminists agree that this inequality is	Feminism aims for gender equality	We can conclude that there is clear		
	not desirable or permanent and	and that this can and should be	agreement within feminism in its		
	should be challenged by political	overthrown by political action	aim for gender equality.		
	action.	showing clear agreement			
	Almost all feminists are equality	Almost all feminists argue that	We can conclude that there is clear		
	feminists rejecting the view that men	humans are naturally androgynous	agreement within feminism that		
	and women are innately different.	and that gender roles/stereotypes	humans are naturally androgynous.		
		are unjustified showing clear			
		agreement			
	Points in disagreement				
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	Disagreements within feminism over	Whilst liberalism feminism focusses	We can conclude that there is		
	the nature and origin of oppression	on discrimination in the public	strong disagreement over the		
		sphere, radical feminism focusses on	nature and origin of oppression.		
		patriarchy (Millett), socialist feminism			
		on patriarchy and capitalism			
		(Rowbotham) and post-modern			

	feminism on interlocking systems of oppression (bell hooks)	
Disagreements within feminism over the strategies needed to counter oppression	Liberal feminists argue for gradual reforms to secure legal and political equality and by changing attitudes over time while radical (Millett), socialist (Rowbotham) and postmodern feminists (bell hooks) argue for much more radical but differing means to achieve social change.	We can conclude that there is strong disagreement within feminism over the nature of the political action needed.
Disagreements between equality feminists and difference feminists.	Whilst most feminists are equality feminists believing humans are naturally androgynous, difference feminists support essentialism over androgyny.	We can conclude that there is a strong disagreement within feminism between equality and difference feminists.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1–4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and</li> </ul>

judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).
---

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)
5(b)	5b To what extent do feminists dis	sagree over their views on patriarchy	?
5(6)		Points in agreement	
	A01	AO2	AO3
	Most feminists agree that	Most feminists agree that	We can conclude that there is
	patriarchy allows men to	patriarchy is a form of systemic	agreement between most
	dominate and exploit women	control and dominance rooted in	strands of feminism over the role
		societal institutions.	played by patriarchy.
	Most feminists agree that gender	Most second and third wave	We can conclude that there is
	roles in a patriarchal society are	feminists argue that gender roles	agreement between most
	used to create a system of	are artificial constructs (de	strands of feminism that the
	oppression and domination	Beauvoir) imposed within a	gender roles in a patriarchal
		patriarchal society to subjugate	society are part of a system of
		women	oppression
	Most feminists agree that	Most feminists argue that	We can conclude that there is
	patriarchal structures in society	patriarchal structures can and	agreement between most
	can and should be overthrown.	should be overthrown in order to	strands of feminism that the
		achieve liberation and sexual	patriarchal society must be
		equality.	overthrown.
		Points in disagreement	
	A01	AO2	AO3

TT			1
	Liberal feminism tends to focus on	Liberalism feminism sees	We can conclude that there is
	gender inequalities emanating	discrimination in the public sphere	strong disagreement over the
	from the legal sphere, while	rather than a patriarchy of	nature and origin of oppression
	radicals see patriarchy as the root	systemic, institutionalised and	in society.
	cause of oppression.	pervasive gender oppression	
		which radical feminism views as	
		permeating every aspect of life.	
	Differences over the role of	Liberal feminists argue for gradual	We can conclude that
	patriarchy in society lead to very	reforms to secure legal and	disagreements within feminism
	different conclusions over the	political equality and by changing	about the role of patriarchy lead
	action that is needed.	attitudes over time while radical	to division over the need for
		(Millett), socialist (Rowbotham)	revolution or reform.
		and postmodern feminists (bell	
		hooks) argue for a revolution to	
		overthrow patriarchy.	
	There are disagreements within	Socialist feminists argue that	We can conclude that there is a
	second and third wave feminism	capitalism is the primary source of	disagreement within second and
	over the role of patriarchy in	female oppression (Rowbotham)	third wave feminism over the
	society.	whilst radical feminists see	role of patriarchy in society
		patriarchy as the primary source	leading to differing revolutionary
		of oppression (Millet) and post-	strategies.
		modern feminism sees	
		interlocking systems of imperialist	
		white supremacist capitalist	
		patriarchy (bell hooks) leading to	
		differing revolutionary strategies.	

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1-4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and</li> </ul>

judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).
---

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)		
6(a)	6a To what extent does multicultural	ism take a consistent view of diversity?			
0(0)	Points in agreement				
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	Most multiculturalists see diversity	Most multiculturalists agree that	We can conclude that there is a		
	as more than a fact of life, it is of real	diversity bring vibrancy so society,	consistent view that		
	value to society	bringing progress and promoting	multiculturalism has real value for		
		cross cultural toleration and	society.		
		understanding (Modood).			
	Most multiculturalists agree that	Most multiculturalist hold a	We can conclude that there is a		
	diversity is important for culture and	consistent view that diversity means	consistent view within		
	identity	groups and individuals (Kymlicka)	multiculturalism that diversity is		
		can celebrate their identity; have	important for culture and identity.		
		public recognition of their culture			
		(Taylor) so feel part of the wider			
		community.			
	Most multiculturalists take a view	Most multiculturalists take a view	We can conclude that there is a		
	that diversity counters	that diversity ensures cultural	consistent view that diversity helps		
	marginalisation and oppression	recognition and counters	counter oppression and support		
		marginalisation, allowing individuals	integration.		
		to integrate (Modood).			

	Points in disagreement	
AO1	AO2	AO3
There is no consistent view between cosmopolitan multiculturalists and the rest of multiculturalism over diversity.	Cosmopolitan multiculturalists support this to allow individuals to pick and mix from different cultures till cultural differences dissolve into one single identity and culture rather than valuing cultural diversity as a good in itself (Parekh)	We can conclude that there is not a consistent view of diversity within multiculturalism.
There is no consistent view of how far diversity should extend between liberal and pluralist multiculturalists	Whilst liberal multiculturalists support a form of shallow diversity, pluralist multiculturalists support deep diversity (Parekh).	We can conclude there is not a consistent view within multiculturalism about far diversity should extend.
Disagreements over diversity mean that there is no consistent view over tolerance.	While liberal multiculturalists do not extend tolerance to values/beliefs that are intolerant (Kymlicka) or oppose liberal democracy, pluralist multiculturalism opposes liberal universalism (Parekh).	We can conclude that there is not a consistent view of diversity and tolerance.

Level	Mark	Mark Descriptor		
	0	No rewardable material.		
Level 1	1–4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>		
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and</li> </ul>		

judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO	3).
--	-----

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)
6(b)	6b To what extent do multiculturalist	s agree over their support for the protec	tion of minority cultures.
		Points in agreement	
	AO1	AO2	AO3
	Multiculturalists support the	Multiculturalism aims to protect	We can conclude that there is
	protection of minority cultures as	minority cultures as the identity of	agreement over their support for
	culture is critical to human nature.	humans is bound up in their cultures	the protection of minority cultures
		as the individual does not exist	due the importance of culture to
		before or outside of society.	identity.
	Most multiculturalists support a	Much of multiculturalism is an	We can conclude that there is
	politics of recognition to protect	agreement in its support of the	agreement over their support for
	minority cultures.	politics of recognition (Taylor) and	the protection of minority cultures
		acknowledging the importance of	due to promote integration.
		cultural belonging in order to	
		counter marginalisation and	
		promote integration into the wider	
		political community.	
	Multiculturalism strongly rejects	Multiculturalists oppose the	We can conclude that there is

assimilation and support the	assimilation as it ignores the	agreement over their support for
protection of minority cultures.	importance of culture and identity,	the protection of minority cultures
	leading to alienation, inequality and	to prevent alienation, inequality
	injustice that undermine the unity of	and injustice.
	society and so support the	
	protection of minority cultures.	
	Points in disagreement	·
AO1	AO2	AO3
There is disagreement between	Cosmopolitan multiculturalists	We can conclude that there is clear
cosmopolitan multiculturalists and	support cosmopolitan integration	disagreement within
the rest of multiculturalism about	that dissolves cultural groups to	multiculturalism between
the reasons for protecting minority	create global citizens and that	cosmopolitan multiculturalism and
cultures.	culture is a matter of choice whilst	liberal and pluralist
	other multiculturalists support the	multiculturalism over the reasons
	importance of diversity, culture and	for protecting minority cultures.
	identity and humans are culturally	
	embedded (Parekh).	
There is no agreement over how far	Multiculturalists disagree over the	We can conclude there is
the support for minority cultures	extent of support for minority	disagreement within
should extend.	cultures they are willing to support in	multiculturalism over the extent of
	society. Liberal multiculturalists	their support for the protection of
	support shallow diversity (Kymlicka)	minority cultures.
	whilst pluralist multiculturalists	
	support deep diversity (Parekh).	
Disagreements over how the	While liberal multiculturalists justify	We can conclude there is
protection of minority cultures fits	multiculturalism as it supports	disagreement within
within a liberal framework.	autonomy and justice within a liberal	multiculturalism over how the

framework (Kymlicka), pluralist multiculturalism opposes liberal universalism (Parekh).	protection of minority cultures fits within a liberal framework.
---	--

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material.
Level 1	1-4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 3	10–14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified</li> </ul>

		(AO3).
Level 4	15–19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20-24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)
7(a)	7a To what extent do nationalists have a consistent view of self-determination?		1?
		Points in agreement	
	A01	AO2	AO3
	Most nationalists support self- determination.	Most liberal, anti-colonial and conservative nationalists support self-determination although this can be for very different reasons and to different extents.	We can conclude that there is a a consistent support for national determination within nationalism
	Both liberal and anti/post-colonial nationalism support self- determination and oppose	Liberal and anti/post colonialism take a rational view that nations have the right to govern themselves free	We can conclude that there is a consistent view among liberal and anti/post colonialist nationalists

	from domination or oppression.	that nations have the right to govern themselves.
Both liberal and anti/post-colonial nationalism have a consistent view of	Both liberal and anti/post-colonial nationalism (Garvey) have a	We can conclude that there is a consistent view among liberal and
self-determination the need to build	consistent view that self-	anti/post colonialist nationalists
a nation	determination as it is progressive as	that self-determination should be
	it will help the nation achieve	supported as it is progressive.
	political, social and economic	
	progress.	
	Points in disagreement	
AO1	AO2	AO3
There is not a consistent view within nationalism over the reasons for or	Conservative nationalists' support for self-determination is more limited	We can conclude that there is no a consistent view as to the reasons
extent of self-determination.	than liberal and anti/post-colonial nationalists as they are less concerned with the rights of all nations (Mazzini) and more	for and extent of the support for self-determination.
	concerned with the cohesion of their own nation-state (von Herder)	
Expansionist nationalism rejects the	Expansionist nationalism, because of	We can conclude that there is not a
right of all nations to self- determination.	its chauvinist views (Maurras), is the	consistent view between liberal and
determination.	only form of nationalism that rejects	anti/post-colonial nationalists and
	the right of all nations to self- determination and is seen as	support for self-determination.
	supportive of	
	imperialism/colonialism.	

fittest.		the rational, progressive view of nationalism and self-determination.	right of all nations to self- determination, taking an irrational, regressive view, based upon explicitly chauvinistic and sometimes racialist models of nationhood, that some nations are superior to others in a world where it is survival of the fitteet	consistent view between expansionist nationalism and the progressive nationalism.
----------	--	---	---	---

Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	No rewardable material.	
Level 1	1–4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>	
Level 2	5–9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>	
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and</li> </ul>	

		judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).
Level 4	15–19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>
Level 5	20–24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>

Question number	AO1 (8 Marks)	AO2 (8 Marks)	AO3 (8 Marks)		
7(b)	7b – To what extent does nationalism	have a common view of the nation?			
		Points in agreement			
	AO1	AO2	AO3		
	The most central belief of	Nationalism places the nation as the	We can conclude that there is a		
	nationalism is that the nation is, or if	centre of its ideas, aiming to	consistent view that the nation is		
	not, should be the main component of political organisation.	promote national autonomy, identity and unity.	at the centre of politics.		
	Nationalism believes the world is	Each nation has its own distinctive	We can conclude that there is a		
	divided up into nations.	character and separate identity.	common view of a world built		
			around distinct and separate		
			nations.		
	Most nationalists support self-	Most liberal, anti-colonial and	We can conclude that there is a		
	determination for the nation - to	conservative nationalists support	common view among most		
	each nation, a state.	self-determination for the nation so	nationalists that there should be		
		that is has its own state although this	self-determination for the nation.		
		can be for very different reasons and			
		to different extents.			
	Points in disagreement				
	A01	AO2	AO3		
	Liberal nationalists and conservative	Conservative nationalists view the	We can conclude that there is no a		
	nationalists have different views of	nation as a people with a common	common view between		
	the nation.	linguistic and cultural heritage (von	conservative and liberal		
		Herder) whereas liberal nationalism	nationalism as to what constitutes		

	focusses on civic nationalism (Rousseau).	the nation.
There is not a common view between inclusive and exclusive nationalism about the nation.	Inclusive nationalism sees the nation as open to all; anyone can join if they sign up to the shared values whilst exclusive nationalism see culture as defining the nation (von Herder) and it takes time to become part of the nation whilst integral nationalists is exclusive and hostile to others (Maurras), and racialism is the most exclusive as it sees the nation in terms of race.	We can conclude that there is no common view between exclusive and inclusive nationalism as to what constitutes the nation.
There is not a common view within nationalism over whether each nation has the right to self- determination.	Expansionist nationalism rejects the right of all nations to self- determination, whilst liberal and anti/post- colonial nationalists concerned with the rights of all nations (Mazzini) to self- determination.	We can conclude there is no common view about the right of all nations to self-determination.

Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	No rewardable material.	
Level 1	1–4	<ul> <li>Demonstrates superficial knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, with limited underpinning of analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Limited comparative analysis of aspects of politics with partial, logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making simplistic connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Makes superficial evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing simple arguments and judgements, many which are descriptive and lead to limited unsubstantiated conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>	
Level 2	5-9	<ul> <li>Demonstrates some accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, some of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Some emerging comparative analysis of aspects of politics with some focused logical chains of reasoning, referring to similarities and/or differences, making some relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs some relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing occasionally effective arguments and judgements, some are partially substantiated and lead to generic conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>	
Level 3	10-14	<ul> <li>Demonstrates mostly accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, many of which are selected appropriately in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Mostly focused comparative analysis of aspects of politics with focused, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and/or differences, making mostly relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs generally relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing generally effective arguments and judgements, many of which are substantiated and lead to some focused conclusions that are sometimes justified (AO3).</li> </ul>	
Level 4	15-19	<ul> <li>Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are carefully selected in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Consistent comparative analysis of aspects of politics, with coherent, logical chains of reasoning, drawing on similarities and differences, making relevant connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> <li>Constructs mostly relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing mostly effective arguments and judgements, which are mostly substantiated and lead to mostly focused, justified conclusions (AO3).</li> </ul>	
Level 5	20–24	<ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough and in-depth knowledge and understanding of political concepts, theories and issues, which are selected effectively in order to underpin analysis and evaluation (AO1).</li> <li>Perceptive analysis of aspects of politics, with sustained, logical chains of reasoning making cohesive and convincing connections between ideas and concepts (AO2).</li> </ul>	

Constructs fully relevant evaluation of aspects of politics, constructing fully effective substantiated arguments and
judgements, which are consistently substantiated and lead to fully focused and justified conclusions (AO3).

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom