

ResultsPlus

Examiners' Report June 2010

GCE Religious Studies 6RS04/1E

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code UA024663

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2010

6RS04 1E June 2010

General comments on Unit 4

This was the first year of this examination 6RS04. The Legacy Spec paper 6584 had the same content as 6RS04 but this new exam has a different mark allocation between the two Assessment Objectives. The legacy paper had a breakdown of 25 marks for AO1 and 15 marks for AO2 whereas 6RS04 has 30 marks available for AO1 and 20 marks for AO2. There are also differences in the level descriptors between the papers with, for example, AO1 having 5 levels in this new specification compared to 4 in the legacy specification.

It is of paramount importance for candidates to examine the passage on the exam paper. Candidates must relate what they have learned and apply it to the extract and not treat it in a cursory fashion nor ignore the selected text. There are very many ways of examining a passage and there is no preferred method. What is essential is an explicit focus on the text, whatever method is used to examine it. There was evidence of some candidates writing several paragraphs of material that was not linked to the question. This is not a recommended strategy.

The examination is divided into two parts. AO1 is examined in part (a) and is worth 30 marks and AO2 is examined in part (b) and is worth 20 marks. This division is on the paper to assist candidates to structure their answers and to enable them to make sensible use of their time in the allocation of material. In most cases candidates had the required examination technique to make effective use of their material. However, a few candidates spent a disproportionate amount of time on AO1 at the expense of AO2 and vice versa. There is no necessary requirement to follow the structured division as seen in the question. However, for candidates creating a holistic answer, there is a tendency to pay inadequate attention to AO2, especially in relation to the implications aspect of part (b). Candidates who run together AO1 and AO2 are credited where they achieve these, although candidates may penalise themselves with partial answers by using this approach.

Assessment Objective 1:

There was evidence of sound subject knowledge with a thorough exposition of the passage. Some candidates examined the passage in the question paper and then illustrated key ideas by the way they navigated their way through the whole passage of the anthology. This enabled candidates to incorporate various scholars and themes that were referenced in the whole article as a means of a more detailed analysis of the passage itself. A number of candidates had a strong working knowledge of the other two anthology texts and were able to link these in their answers.

At the lower levels candidates presented a more descriptive and basic account of the passage akin to a simple comprehension test. Some outlined the full article but with insufficient attention to the passage on the question paper. This may have displayed their knowledge of the anthology but not an understanding of the selected passage.

Assessment Objective 2:

The better quality answers were those that expressed view points with confidence and authority, supported by reason and evidence. Some candidates outlined their own views and were able to ally or contrast them with various scholars they had examined in their other units. Good quality work on the implications in part (b) consisted of academically rigorous approaches with pertinent examples.

At the lower levels for AO2 some candidates presented partial answers. For example, some expressed opinions giving their views on the extract but did not write about the possible implications.

Specific comments option 1E - Hinduism

Assessment Objective 1:

There were a number of pleasing responses this year. Most candidates were able offer interesting and well thought out answers. Candidates supported their ideas using the Bhagavad-Gita, Upanishads, Vedas and the Law of Manu.

Candidates achieving the higher levels were able to draw upon different elements of the course of study and apply this knowledge to the question. Scholarship was evident and generally well-used. Some candidates selected key teachings from other religions such as Jainism, Christianity and Islam when examining the passage. There was proficient use of technical language.

One important area for improvement is the need to ensure that adequate attention is given to an explicit focus on the text selected in the examination. Work at the lower levels tended to list everything that the candidates knew about karma, samsara, varna and ahimsa without constructing a coherent answer. A few candidates did not mention any set texts or key Hindu thinkers. A few candidates relied on using too many quotes at the expense of answering the question.

Assessment Objective 2:

Part (b) produced a variable response. The better quality responses were able to highlight the strengths and weaknesses of the ideas expressed in the passage and were able to present critical evaluations. Some candidates successfully evaluated the passage using a range of sources and key Hindu thinkers such as M.K. Gandhi, Dayananda Saraswati and Ramakrishna.

For some candidates part (b) was not answered very well. A number of candidates wrote too much for part (a) and appeared unprepared for part (b). A few students just wrote about one side and did not get across both sides of the argument. Some candidates didn't evaluate the passage and simply repeated what they had written in AO1.

Grade boundaries

Raw mark boundaries

Max Mark	a*	A	B	C	D	E	N	U
50	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	0

Uniform Mark Scale boundaries

Max Mark	a*	A	B	C	D	E	N	U
100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	0

a* is only used in conversion from raw to uniform marks. It is not a published unit grade.

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481

Email publications@linneydirect.com

Order Code UA024663 Summer 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH