

Moderators' Report/ Principal Moderator Feedback

June 2011

GCE Music
6MU04 Extended Performance

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Moderators' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:
<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

June 2011

Publications Code US028494

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2011

6MU04: Extended Performance

The moderators wish to thank candidates and teachers for their efforts in preparing the performances, recordings and paperwork associated with this unit. The moderators also acknowledge the vital role played by instrumental and vocal teachers in preparing candidates for this examination. A significant number of outstanding performances were presented, showing an excellent technical command of the instrument/voice and a convincing sense of style. Only a small number of candidates achieved very low marks.

Marking

This paper was assessed by the centre and moderated by Edexcel. The assessment criteria were the same as those used for 6MU01 with the addition of a new criterion, Criterion 6, designed to assess the performance as a whole.

An extremely wide range of musical instruments and styles/genres was offered for assessment. In addition to work played on traditional instruments, moderators reported a large number of performances submitted in rock and pop idioms. A relatively small number of ensemble performances were submitted - these were usually in a rock/jazz idiom.

In general, teacher examiners utilised the mark scheme very successfully this year. However, centres continue to experience problems with the arithmetic required for this paper, with marks incorrectly added up or wrongly scaled. When mistakes were discovered in the moderation process, centres were informed and asked to alter their marks. Centres are urged to check their arithmetic and scaling thoroughly, to ensure that their candidates receive the marks they deserve.

From time to time substantial adjustments had to be made in the course of moderation. A wide range of marks was awarded in the moderation of the extended performance. Whilst there were many truly outstanding and impressive performances, which fully justified the high marks awarded by centres, a certain number of centres awarded unjustifiably high marks to candidates whose work did not merit them.

Moderators reported that marks awarded by teacher examiners for Criterion 6 tended to be slightly higher than merited, based on the evidence of the recording/programme submitted.

Only a few candidates submitted work at 'standard' (S) level. The majority of candidates submitted work at the 'more difficult' (MD) or 'higher' (H) level. Pieces of Grade 7 standard qualified for the MD scaling, and pieces of Grade 8 standard qualified for the H scaling. Some candidates overstretched themselves by playing pieces that were too demanding technically or musically, and this resulted in lower marks than might otherwise have been the case.

Some candidates chose to perform several movements from a single sonata. These were variously listed as either one piece e.g. Mozart Sonata No. 42, Movements 1, 2 and 4 with an average Difficulty level applied to all or listed as separate pieces with separate Difficulty levels e.g. Movement 1 (MD), Movement 2 (H). Centres are reminded that **each movement should be listed as a separate piece. Each movement should be awarded a Difficulty level that accurately reflects the technical and musical demands of the individual movement presented.** It should be noted that the difficulty level awarded to individual movements of sonatas will not necessarily match the difficulty levels ascribed to combinations of

movements performed according to the requirements of other examination boards such as the ABRSM.

Whilst most candidates were able to fulfil the 12-15 minute **playing time** requirement, an even greater number of candidates presented **short submissions** in 2011 compared with 2010. Centres are reminded that candidates must perform for 12-15 minutes (NB this is **playing time**, not running time as clearly indicated in the online *Administrative Support Guide*, which is required reading). Centres should time each **individual piece** in order to calculate the overall playing time. Two marks were deducted for each full half minute that a candidate fell short of this requirement. Pauses between pieces, announcements, and tuning were not included in the playing time, but where candidates offered two or more related movements from a larger work, moderators were instructed to allow the pauses between these movements. A significant number of centres had not calculated the playing time correctly. When mis-timings were discovered in the moderation process, centres were informed and asked to alter their marks.

As in previous years, significant numbers of performances were compromised by poor intonation, and this adversely affected the mark awarded for Criterion 4: Tone and technique. Centres are reminded that pieces designed to be accompanied must be accompanied in the recording, otherwise the quality of outcome (Criterion 1) will be significantly compromised.

A score was required for all performances. Usually this was in full notation, but lead sheets, chord charts and tab were accepted provided they gave enough details of pitch, rhythm and expression for a proper assessment to be made. A notated stimulus was also required for improvised performances. Deviations from the score in jazz/rock and musical theatre numbers were generally accepted where deemed to be stylistically convincing. Some scores were annotated with helpful information regarding divergences between the printed music and the candidate's performance. However, some unacceptable scores were submitted - these were often handwritten, incomplete or downloaded from the net as an afterthought. In such cases, moderators asked for replacement scores and most centres were able to provide these.

Recordings

The recording quality of most submissions was good or excellent. Most centres provided recordings in CD format. Sometimes it was difficult to locate work as it had been recorded in a different order from that listed on the MA4, or track marks or announcements were missing. However, many centres made the moderator's task easy by labelling work clearly and announcing centre, candidate and paper names and numbers for each submission, as well as sometimes providing a detailed track list.

As for 6MU01, the specification requires that candidates' performances are recorded on one occasion without gaps. (This single occasion can occur several times during the course, if required, but only one recording of the whole programme is submitted). It was evident from recordings submitted that some centres had edited recordings taken on different occasions. This is unacceptable, and centres are advised to ensure that only unedited recordings are submitted in future, in the interest of fairness to all candidates.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this and all other papers can be found on the website on this link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481
Email publication.orders@edexcel.com
Order Code US028494 June 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit
www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE

Ofqual




Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government

