



Examiners' Report January 2011

GCE History 2 6HI02 C





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can contact our History Subject Advisor directly by sending an email to Mark Battye on <u>HistorySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</u>.

You can also telephone [subject advisory team number] to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

January 2011

Publications Code US026450

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2011

Introduction

There were many good responses seen in this examination series, with many candidates achieving marks at Level 3 or above in all of the assessment objectives. Such candidates generally offered a considered analysis of the given source evidence, focused towards the demands of the questions, allied to strong contextual understanding and with effective deployment of well-selected own knowledge. Some of the issues raised in the summer report had been addressed. The purpose of these comments is to help to achieve further improvements in the levels of attainment.

1. There are three specific assessment objectives that are tested in this examination and it is important that candidates understand how these should be tackled in their responses to particular sections of the examination.

Question a requires the application of AO2a. This requires candidates to analyse, cross-reference and evaluate source material.

Question b requires the application of both AO1 (the selection and deployment of historical knowledge) and AO2b (the analysis and evaluation of how issues have been interpreted and represented, in relation to historical context).

An understanding of what each assessment objective tests would help candidates to focus their comments more securely. For example, candidates who spend considerable amounts of time exploring the provenance of sources in question b may very well be addressing AO2a which is not rewarded in this question.

- 2. Although there was a significant improvement in the understanding of the sources for question a, there remains a minority of candidates who would benefit from spending more time reading the sources to ensure that they understand both the content and the provenance fully. Many candidates have understood the requirement to cross reference, although they do not universally understand exactly how to do it. For some candidates it amounts to little more than merely asserting similarity or difference or matching up quotes from the sources.
- 3. In question b, many responses were characterised by a strong understanding of the sources and the issues that they related to. Examiners were impressed by the extent of own knowledge that a number of candidates brought to their arguments so that well argued responses were seen. It is important that candidates remember to integrate the sources and their knowledge and not to treat them discretely. There were however a number of scripts which demonstrated no knowledge beyond what was offered in the sources. Where this material was well used, it was possible to achieve top level 2 in AO1, but in many cases it was linked to limited understanding of the sources.
- 4. In both a and b questions, candidate should be encouraged not to approach their answers on a source by source basis. Where candidates take this approach in question a, they are unlikely to have engaged in substantial cross referencing throughout their answer. Where this approach is taken in part b, candidates may have addressed the key issues within the approach, but it is unlikely to be the most effective approach in enabling them to fully engage in an argumentative and analytical response, and thus is unlikely to achieve the top level.

Question 1

Question C1(a)

Virtually all candidates could appreciate the challenge that existed between sources 1 and 2 and the anchor, source 3, and they were able to provide some support through relevant selection. Fewer spotted the areas of reconciliation ('not the six hundred' - Source 2) and although the need to address the source attributions was recognised by most, only the very best developed their examination to weigh up the evidence and, hence, the extent of the challenge.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross .
Chosen Question Number:
Question 1 🔟 Question 2 🖂
(a) Challange unpression in source 3.
Ran
Chavange - 1+2 pariotic + browne /heraic
42 positive action / venus
1 Bod coe
savee - ez canidable que
2 nany deans
A CURR WOOD OCCOUNT
source 3/ from sor geant-major Turiony
Caving, uno seved in one crimea) avies
an unpression of the charce of the wall
migade in 1854 as a disaster for the
Encian any, "creid presenced a gnasay
signe here he indicates onat one sites
assur failed and that the man were
na giving a cul attack due to the page
or one Russians who arey opposed this
indicates onat the Charge of the higher
Brigade was a dissess for the Brisish
any This agrees union the statement
union couring mouses as one dead and
wounded men," an examped the blookhing
assure de me messions : Franchis me can

((a) continued) user that the Charge resulted in those consumation on the binain side only the impression of the boattle is a very nearly were and it suggests that the Binish where powerless under one Knosian attention and were unable to attack bet where except sources 2550 Landado in some house or support one union of the attack, however they also change this using a property.

On one hand, are charge as the health ways and the sources I (A contact arom the magazine period) and I (Arom suppred tempor the charge as the highest bright bright of party one bottle of an are of browen and heroic strength with the face of bottle, source I stated in the face of bottle one bottle and through the bottle and the reach the passions and course consulties and the enemy gide phis is a very positive

((a) continued) description or two bottle quite different to one are in source 3 in agreement wer tris source I poways the British browery cacing the euserians and harcically anoraning into the sname and guess From this carear we can mer onax one battle was are as browne soldiers and a somaly brittish line it inducates a sort or succes in the Charge The perce tonce the text words 12 mump cord (iaran) induacies that Punch usuad and wanted to patray the katter as a success on the Brian side despite same anticion or mismanagement union surrounded the Kathe. The magazine is one oo parionic nowine and menocare is is they not they would want to paray the any in the best hard Passible. purpose ancularying the uses in Source 3, sources I and 3 anso indicare same positive results from the bottle saire I states "the line thou brave". From this we can moer more was some porture action ara

((a) continued) Mesults on the bottle as although brèse were casualties rever was also same positive action involved I agrees with this, paraying carenna, pussions on oneir me, contrastino Strang But on line Two siggests that the Kussiams were in some ways Subduced and booton in the 'Charge', on me oner hand, sources 2 hours 2 agnoes were source 3 Ar in trat the Kursians were a carridance one, "Kear'd arou the sabre sararo". From this is can be incered that as it was said in source I are known more a manty eveny woo garant the British very arranging and eccectury. This suggests a vacature wend of the bottle. The fact ere source is grow where terryson uno was are post launet indicates that the battle must have been disaderous in same aspects as it have been his partnickie duty to parray me ballie in formidable get ne seu mensions tre

((a) continued) In agreement with this :5 no pact that savo ? states, hurdered! Fran to horicic cosses grow the way it is partrayed To condude, arthough, source 2 Of the surish side



This response was awarded a top level 3. Similarities between Sources 1 and 2 are highlighted and these are then contrasted with the evidence of source 3. There is a partial reconciliation between sources 2 and 3 on the number of casualties. There is then a developed attempt to weigh up the evidence of Source 2 through its attribution. Unfortunately, this is linked to a misreading of the content of the source and so does not merit a level 4.

Question C1(b)(i)

This was the slightly more popular of the part b) questions and many candidates displayed an impressive range of contextual knowledge. Those performing at higher levels were aware of the need to use the sources as a platform for their own knowledge and utilised the points raised in the sources 4 and 5 to explore the political objections to the war as well as the impact of the economic and human costs. The counter-view was presented in source 6 and many could advance this line of argument by exploring such areas as Mafeking Night, the Khaki election and volunteerism. The very best picked up on the reference to 'as the war dragged on' in source 4 and examined the shift in attitudes over the course of the war.

Answer EITHER part (b) (i) OR part (b) (ii) of your chosen question.

*(b) Some would argue that the Boomson Second Boer War resulted in an imperialism being 'discredited! as the high social and financial cost took it's toll and the view improposedly became more widespread that the Empire was at the expense of the many for the benefit of the fen. Others would disagree

Source 4's assertion that the effect [athe now] was to turn a large section of the Bitish public agarit imperialism' is somewhat backed-up by the Statement of Source 5 that ' few benefited from the Expire' as if few benefitted it must mean that many sufferred and were here against Imperialin. It is plausible to some that I Mobson's book was a response to the Book war as it was published proposed the end of the war. These two sources corroborate that the Boer War reduced support for Imperialism. However, Sure 5 is only relevant to this point it indeed few benefited from the Empire! If this way a unfounded stakement by flobson then it was does not corrosprate with Source 4. I know from my own knowledge, that the Empire produced huge apportunities for trade but that even so, food prope from the Empire was not recessarily cheaper than # food from eventure - for example when Joseph Chamberlain tied to impose ton 18 on America

((b) continued) rate materials in order to make Canadian equivalents relatively cheaper. This would appear to suggest that the Mayone Bothin Empire was not hugely beneficial for those in Britain. Therefore Hobson's argument has some substance and by Flashbar Mr cross-referring with Source 4 & seems to suggest that the Box war did 'discredit' Imperation. However, it could be argued that the 'great patriotic feeling thre was in Britain towards our imperial possession, and the very idea of Empire' directly contailed the earlier point of some 6 directly introdicts the earlier point. On the other hard, the poverage of the source Limits the upfulness of this source. It comes from a speech by a serier offer of the Bor war at a monoral of the casualties of the war. Therefore French now unlikely to contacise the war or the Empire for which the "cardalties had died, particularly in an audience likely to be full of the family of those who had died for a far-off corner of the Empire. Is a result, source 6's authoritativeness is slightly diminished. Nevertheless it represents a nampoint that my our knowledge somewhat apports It Imperialism was so aiscreaited then why aid million of young men across the Empire sign up for WW1? - a war as about preservation of the Empire as 'gallart Belgium's Although it could be countered that this was not how he

((b) continued) Reput ment was presented in recruitment offices, my a civilians nut have been aware of the layered reasoning believe the going to war. This appears to contradict the statement that the Ber War 'discredited' Imperalism. On the other hand, it could be aggreed that French's position as military leader meant that he was both out-of - touch with public opinion and unlikely to hear about unputriotic tendencies. This somewhat lessens the states of that source. It is almost possible to suggest a degree of corroboration between all 3 sources and my own knowledge that happens support for Importation dropped as a result of the second Box war but remained high. Source 4 says that as the war dragged on. .. Source 5 talks of 'New Imperialism,' and my own knowledge contrasts the mass public rejoicing at the reliat of Mateking (an obviously Imperialistic actor) with the public scandel of the concentration camps that Enry Hobbouse exposed, which come after Ma the relief of Materia, The chronological oraning or these evers corroborates with the delayed deterioration in apport for the tan inperialism but a sport still renainly as exhibited by Sr John French in Source 6. 10.

((b) continued) Source 6 is also backed up election W05 held incumbera Conservatives majorty mpe nalin onservatives in not disaport Conclusion discorecin war election



This response exemplifies his latter point although a lack of precision in the contextual knowledge deployed, especially in the chronology of the war, pushed the marks down to the bottom of level 4.

Question C1 (b) (ii)

Virtually all candidates picked up on the debate embedded in the three sources and could reasoning from the evidence of the sources through cross-referencing and drawing inferences. However, fewer could extend this analysis through the application of precisely focused and detailed contextual knowledge. Marwick's claim that 'tribunals could hardly be expected to treat the claims of conscientious objectors with sympathy' in conjunction with the overall attitude displayed by the military representative in Source 9 enabled higher performing candidates to site the treatment of conscientious objectors in the cultural context of wartime Britain. The very best drew a distinction between the frivolous objector in source 4 and the absolutist and UDC member in sources 8 and 9.

eux
Dance hooved coury bey authorities
Aquee - 7, 8, 9 - Cair ousurais
morangu exa
- muturay Services Act
t aa. coir
7 - even avery fair
bisachee - & 9 - not pair par prisaves
but co am good
8 - nou sei due to
dise maesterding
- meated bady by
Parios
- lacuted at grant
9 - sain had to work.
There are vaious apposing and
agreeing veis or morrer
conscient vois Objectors more recoted
aciny duing me war by authorities
Au sauces 7,89 would aquee wur

((b) continued) the view that they were meand pary however saves 8 a would out a disagree wien two in part. On one hand all sources, 7,8 and 9 agree were are vew most concientions do jecters were Comm Sance 7/ states & busy were conecus. Siproporatic and there you! From two sue can uncer that one tribunals theated and objection views with respect and not truly behaved very carry when hiddening their cases is undicaies that the authorities were mienested in Pair treatment for Objected The pact that this source was with by a rustonium would indicate the judgement is balanced and an avenuew of the souther - mading from past evidence. (From Aroner, Mondae, pularened 1783) some chances with the view in Source I inducating that the bushing authorities were very attentive to

((b) continued) as cotos, "SE repulsas attention to the lotter bold Too we can meet that the tribunals more very coursed aching comes as set dain by one mutay sources her which started that all objectors were alraved to as seems a mounal This again indicates that Objects were treated farry and positive across were taken to let mem voce ever uns. Sarco 9/ From Frederick Peonick - Lavence autosicorapuy) anner aquees with sis Batement saming he "was aworded exemption" This suggests that the fort that exemptions were a given indicates that objectors were breated gainly as they were not consored were trees went to a tribinal and positive results were passible for objector is indicates that the authorities were being bus enoting in water welcome and wanted to very even in some ways Furer in agreement with this is the part that the government acted on protests evon people une one wo conscription Fellowstup and in one

((b) continued) www.cuy Sources bet allowed Cov trounals to be used in the case of Objector, such as tennor Brockway Tus would suggest that the authorities merested in their cour treatment and awing trem and opportunity to air oneir concerniney even later, added in the second Act a clause which allowed for total exemption. This would indicate the autoreties mero neur concerned with their pair overthers. manere agreement won the wew mat objections were breated terry by authorities is source 7 union states, " audaciey or some appears almost decies belier" From his we can mer that are authorities were very built towards arose with wear dopariais it also suggests that penaps they were in some cores even law in men ludgements - keing 'too' fair on or this evidence would suggest that constant vous as earlos were treated Carry by the authorities

((b) continued) On the other hand, Source of indicates or at the authorities dianit really act party towards as vectors," he did not particulary want two man" This suggests and the authorites didn't allar evenupions for one good or the prisoner, but for the anys good - because are any didn't want to deal with decice no the quali suggests a contempt for object or in one mulay as well as tubunals and we con under mat remains objection views and opinions weren't really treated well by the authorities the feat that this source is a give hound account from Sareare und went brough the mound process would indicate that it is a true parroupal of unat actually happened in onem it also indicates that it was probably a comman reaction to Objection at the the in agreement with this, is the part that in some cases dopators who weren't exampled from mun any action were some anyway to one from as they uphold the dependen and regused the

((b) continued) commands of their opicer they were over sentenced by the nutrary caus and executed This would indicate a very unpour acatment of doleans and a total dismissal of all their years and opinions, havener it is was boing that his process was subsequently onanged by gavement action November, it does snapper in agreement wun source a that there was a contempt and uncour treatment of obvocos & from the numery autronities. Surce & agrees with this and source 9, steering that one elded an sibunais "could hardry be expected to break the claums (. .) win simposing From two we can wer to unear meatment of doece on as they were has nice supposses ically by Thousand the wo did not and oud not maestora meir couse. This success that actually dopertos veus word into account and two do como no momo a com aedusen wouldn't as themasoby

((b) continued) had clauded veir paints mis would suggest unear orectment of a jecico jautioniam periaps not deiserany, by authories no care onat tus sauce comes from a historian again siggests an avenueur or the severiar taking we account many discerent aspects of airunals nin suggests a fair and 'corape' picture as events Finally in agreement won sources & and 9 in onal concientions objectes were not treated piny by anchairies ane accounts or object as being anested and leaven by the paice same were kept overmant in pair actor attending objection weatings mus would suggest that me police authorites theated object as with little respect and conservet and their vers were no tower seriously This indicates their interair theatment To Candude, authouar there are orguenients unan would supprest the peur theatment of tooks by

((b) continued) a provision on the whole after a provision on the evidence would disagree with the evidence would disagree with the west approach here weated painty, as there is much evidence to inducate that any work abused, misuacre that are approach is not true seriously. Masself, this remains the oc authorise was deauting with them as the military outhorises seemed to deal with the particulary northly.



This response received level 3 for both Assessment Objectives. Selection from the source material is considered and relevant, and the points raised are integrated with contextual knowledge. However, this knowledge lacks depth and, hence, any reasoning from the evidence of the source material is limited. It is also worth noting that the stereotypical comments on the objectivity of the authors of the secondary sources does not help to weigh up the strength of the evidence and is misplaced in a part b) question which is addressing AO2b not AO2a.

Question 2 Question C2(a)

For many candidates, this proved to be a challenging question with only the very best fully appreciating the contrast between party interest (source 10) and women's pressure (sources 11 and 12). However, many candidates picked up on the fact that Conservative self-interest had at least some role in the political decision-making and successfully contrasted this with the opinions of Eleanor Rathbone and Ray Strachey in sources 11 and 12. There was still a tendency among a significant minority of candidates to tackle the sources in sequential order. Such an approach is unlikely to lead to developed cross-referencing.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then indicate your new question with a cross \boxtimes .

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 Question 2 Question 2 (a) Dougnappee with the view that the suffrage provenent was the cultivative frages through the first decode of the Sources II and IZ suggest must it was primarily the prescre by nomen that resulted in the Equal Franchise Act being passed in 1928, whereas Source 10 suggests that it was not the main repron-

Some 10 does not suggest that the wason preserve by nomen non the primary reason that reason Equal Franchise Act in 1928 happend. It states that we depend at elections upon muses of nomen with few really definite convictions; suggesting that this is non not the grandary back that main reason that the ark non passed. Moment, the reliability of the same can be questioned it is taken from Woods, part of a letter witten by lady amendalen who succeeded bear he hugand as Consensive MP, weeks the Consensative party whomes were consensed with momen yething the sole, however did not really, wind if it nos not on equal

((a) continued) tems on men therefore the Me some can be been as hias as getting the note en equally was not one of their primary Lonens. In orbails on to Pais, it was withen Some II on the other hand, does suggest mot pressure from nomen was the primary reason mat the Franchise Act of 1928 mms passed. agrata It shall mat 'Enfranchised' nomen do not adequately represent me mentrandised in his matter. The majority of the enpranchised are vives and mother, who may look at these questions of sex competition from the point et vien of their husbands of rather than of mentranchised nomen' suggesting Mat Mose who can rote may simply note for me same people Mot their hubands are voting for and Meretore do not represent the nomen who cannot vote. This contrasts to the consumative parmy which states that 'their instincts are in The main naturally consenshine suggesting most mose uno can note will note por a pum whose main concern is not with The work equally. Similarly, Source 12 also

agrees with me we view that it was primarily

((a) continued) the followed by women which resulted in he Equal Franchise Act being paysed in 1928. It states that 'The precialty of the transe of Commens, which had been closed to nomen Yasamoun since the early days of militant agitation, nere now opened, and arress to nember became worderfully easy ? The agents of women's gocities were positively relianed at Wesminster. This suggests that pressure by noner nor the pinang reason on mould it not have happened the roterson out would not have been parsed. It also states must ... Nouse of Common discovered that every which came before it had a monaris side suggesting that the government Was too realised that it may me pressure by nomen prat caused the passing of the Act. Momene the reliability of the some can one again Le questiones as it is written by lang ston Strockey, The cause: A short Mistory for of the Women's movement and un a nember of the NUWSS which could mean not be is biased as he is saying mas whimatley the passing of the Act happened because of his group, and could Le mying to pormay the nunss in a

(a) continued) positive light.

To conclude, somes 11 and 12 suggest that it my pinary the present by momen that resulted in the Egnal Fanchise Act whose bettery passed in 1928, was some 10 on the other hand does not suggest that this way the primary reason.



This response successfully identifies the debate contained within the sources, although there is some confusion in the finer detail of cross-referencing, especially between sources 10 and 11. There is an attempt to weigh up the strength of the evidence in the light of the attributions but this is not fully developed and misapplied in the case of source 10. It received a mid-level 2 award.

Question C2(b)(i)

This was the more popular of the two options and many candidates displayed an impressive range of own knowledge. Many picked up on and developed the points about the growth of the NUWSS, the impact of militancy and the increasing support at Westminster. Weaker responses, however, found it difficult to keep with the date parameters set out in the question. All who attempted the question identified the debate contained in the sources, although the subjectivity of Pankhurst's assertion that 'no progress has been made' was recognised by only a few. In the following extract, the candidate correctly explores the attribution of source 13 in order to weigh up the validity of the representation contained within the evidence.

Nevertheless there was clearly opposition to the suffrage campaign as in arguing against the view that the suffrage movement made substantial progress, one could argue that there was little real progress made. For instance, in contrast to source 14 we could use source 13 from Pankhurst, the leader of the WSPU, to argue that regardless of all the energetic campaigning 'still no progress had been made'. However, as this source was written by the leader of the WSPU, the weight that should be attached to the view is limited as she would have been trying to gain sympathy and support for her cause and methods. In order to do this she may have been downplaying the extent of the progress actually made.

Question C2(b)(ii)

Most candidates appreciated the outline of the debate contained in the sources but a sizeable proportion relied almost exclusively on the source material to drive their analysis. Without some valid contextual knowledge to develop the issues raised in the sources, reasoning from the evidence was, by necessity, severely restricted. Most candidates could place the debate in the wider context of the 'angel in the house' and 'separate spheres'. However, many struggled to deploy specific knowledge of the development of educational opportunities for girls in this period and only the very best stressed the incremental character of the progress signalled by the Act, and developed both sides of the argument. An excellent succinct introduction was provided by the following candidate. Not only is the debate from the sources highlighted but, from the candidate's own knowledge, the importance of class is also introduced.

Although sources 17 and 18 point towards a significant improvement in the educational opportunities for girls as a result of the 1870 Act, source 16 argues the opposite. Rees says that the Act 'was now going to fill in the gaps' and this is supported by the extract from the Act itself but Turner says that there were still frequent absences from school despite the Act. This is in part supported by the Act in source 18 which does allow girls to miss school if 'there is some reasonable excuse'. It is also important to remember that the Act really only affected the poor and that middle-class girls did not rely on state schools.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US026450 January 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





