



Examiners' Report June 2012

GCE History 6HI01 F

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service. See the ResultsPlus section below on how to get these details if you don't have them already.



Get more from your exam results

...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2012

Publications Code US032404

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012

Introduction

General comments

Examiners reported that many candidates were comfortable with the essential requirements of the examination. They were able to structure their work effectively, provide a range of relevant and accurate material to support the points they were making, and maintain a sustained focus on the question set. At the highest levels of attainment were those who displayed the ability to analyse a range of factors in detail and present a convincing answer overall.

However, there were some candidates who did not do themselves justice overall. A number failed to respond to the specific demands of the questions in front of them. These candidates often focused their answers on material with which they felt comfortable rather than targeting the specifics of the question set. Centres are advised to ensure that candidates are ready to use, and to adapt, the material they have learnt. Most centres use previous questions for revision and preparation for the examination, but they might warn students that their paper will consist entirely of previously unseen questions, and that they should be prepared for this uncertainty.

Many candidates became trapped within Level 3 or low Level 4 because of a lack of accurate and relevant exemplification. Most are able to develop some argument in an answer, but assertions must be supported with sufficient evidence to make these points stand up. In addition, they should explain how these points relate to the question, whether in supporting or challenging the premise of the question.

Many very good answers reached high Level 4, but were unable to access Level 5. It is important to note that otherwise strong answers sometimes stayed in Level 4 for one of two reasons. Firstly, the support material offered, while accurate and broadly relevant, was lacking balance in places, with uneven evidence in parts of the answer. A more widespread concern was that some able candidates were unfamiliar with, or unable to use, analytical concepts and terminology used by historians. While most are able to investigate economic and political factors, many were unsure of the precise meaning of, for example, 'social conditions' in Options A and B, and even 'foreign policy' in Options E and F.

The comments on spelling, punctuation and grammar made in previous reports remain relevant and valid. The literacy curriculum notes that, in a formal setting such as a public examination, appropriate language must be used, and should be free of abbreviations and colloquialisms. It also states that, if a candidate is to communicate effectively, what is written down must be legible. On several occasions this summer examiners could not decipher what might have been key words or phrases, and this inevitably influenced the final mark awarded.

Option F

As in previous examination series both centres and candidates are to be congratulated on the general standard of responses in Option F. Some candidates lack knowledge chronology and find it difficult to provide adequate relevant, detailed and accurate supporting evidence, but most candidates arrive well prepared and produce interesting answers with a clear enthusiasm for the Topics they have studied. Candidates are generally knowledgeable and have a good sense of chronological development. Examiners commented on some outstanding answers with particular reference to the two unification Topics. It is also a pleasure to see, yet again, an increase in the number of candidates being entered for the Topic on Spain.

However, examiners did also comment on a tendency for some candidates to learn a 'stock' multi-factor response to certain topics which is then apparently rearranged to 'best fit' the question asked. At the very most this is likely to gain a Level 4 mark and if not well focused

on the question can result in much lower marks. As suggested in previous reports it is vital that candidates answer the specific question asked. This lack of focus combined with insecure supporting material means that many good candidates are producing high Level 4 rather than Level 5 answers. Explaining in a list-like fashion a variety of factors or counterarguments and then trying to weigh up the answer in a conclusion does not create the direct discussion and evaluation required for a response to be placed in Level 5. Many candidates begin paragraphs on each separate factor by stating '... is also the most important reason because...' or think that they have evaluated a factor by asserting that it is more important than another. In order to reach a judgement, extent needs to be established rather than stated.

There is also some concern that a lack of conceptual understanding is preventing candidates from being able to be awarded marks at Level 5. The lack of focus and list-like answers mentioned above often seem to be a result of candidates not being able to deal with generally accepted historical terminology such as 'popular support', to discuss causation in terms of long-term and short-term reasons or to identify the fundamental consequences of events.

Although candidates study two of the seven topics on the Option paper, this report refers to all of the fourteen questions and this Option is one of five Options so it is advised that centres look at Principal Examiners' Reports, question papers and mark schemes for the whole Unit when considering preparation for future teaching and learning.

Please note that although the comments are almost identical in relation to each question, further exemplification scripts can be found in the Option E Principal Examiners' Report.

F1 - The Road to Unification: Italy, c1815-70

There were over 250 candidates entered for this Topic. As usual both centres and candidates should be congratulated on the excellent preparation and the high degree of enthusiasm shown. Most candidates are aware of the factors influencing the early development of nationalism and liberalism in Italy and have a good understanding of the role of individuals in the later period. However, there is still some chronological confusion apparent particularly in reference to the 1848-9 revolutionary period, the events of 1859-61 and the acquisition of territory subsequently.

Question 1

This was the less popular of the two questions but those candidates who did attempt it were usually well versed in the reasons for the failures of the revolutionaries during the period. The best candidates were able to discuss failures thematically, and with reference to change over time or geography, carefully selecting supporting material from specific attempted revolutions to support their argument. There were some excellent responses which were able to show how the lack of popular support undoubtedly affected the success of the revolutionaries but that other organisation factors combined with the might of Austria led to overall failure. However, a significant number of candidates did not understand the concept of 'popular' support, and so dismissed the given factor as quickly as they could before moving on to more familiar factors, or confused 'popular support' with the need for international alliances. Weaker candidates tended to generalise with little reference to specific examples of revolutionary activity.

Put a cre		u change your n and then pu දිവിധയ	nind, put t a cross i	uestion you have on a line through the line another box ⊠.	cocire	s In years
Chosen Questio	n Number:	1880-49	nas g	Le to pop. Si	upport	enrico M
Question 1	×	Question 2	4	Question 3	\boxtimes	(831/2
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	\boxtimes	
Question 7	×	Question 8	\boxtimes	Question 9		
Question 10	×	Question 11	\times	Question 12	\boxtimes	
Question 13		Question 14		- ada 110000	or sten	cion lodiu
(This page is for	your first a	nswer.) Scott	Thurion	mede the moe	1	52-1870
				e of Iralia		
1		, ,		volutions #		,
1 .				and 1848/		1
		_		Italy being		*
				this sprea		
1			. /	he <i>Italians</i>		
UP WAN	/////	he Kend	n ma	ide In Ita	14 CU	nd he
Napolear	nic a	de made	2 40	oung Italiai	Y m	en more
Politica			1			
	1	region of the state of the stat			1118987-125-41271191997	-

The 1820/2/ revolutions were all exbeut

Setting up provisional governments and giving

People the free say and people government'

they desired. They took place in moderar

Milliam Sordinia and piedamont, sicily and

Naples also took place However the lack

of Communication and organization between

the Individual States meant that there

(This page is for your first answer.) was no real strong power behind the revolutionaires. Also because of the fact after Napoleonic Italy all the States had one back to how they eriginally were pre-1815 Italian people and Teaders feared that revolution wouldn't help and they were happy with the way things were. Subsequently Meternich part of the Austrian Empire was extremiely opposed to Liberalism, nationalism and radicalism crushed the 1820 revolutions It's argued however that if there was communication and Strong support from Neighbouring States they may have been elde to do something and defeat Austria. Various other Roictors Contribute too the failings such as the lower classes and feasents had no political awareness and after the french moved into the Italian peninsula they possibly feared ence again things would change for the worst.

Secondly the revolutionaires structe again.
In 1831/1832 and vaples and sicily in
particular wanted too be seperate states and
not joint as one they joined up in
others to start a revolution evry movement.
In Early 1831 sicily was successful

(This page is for your first answer.) Methernick Lund and set up a provisional government sicily and Naples were well an their way to moving towards seperating as States unfortunater Cortibaldi a mazzian revolutionary flogure lead the eng victor Emmanuel wer back status and map with the help of the king moved Into Neiples and sicily and decided that by an means the two states should stay as one The king Bambai as he's named went into the two cities and shelled them creating once again a Second Pailure. However, due to a terrible outbreak of Choleron In the years 1831/1832 ever of the civilians midelle-class and peasents died, meaning a lack of people too help fight. once, egain a lack of popular support came with these revolutions as the lower chasses Still weren't educated enough too know all the political espects of going to start a revolution. on the other hand before the revolutions in 1831/1832 occurred posters were put up around the towns to raise awareness of what was going to happen-mainly by

(This page is for your first answer.) Students they were promoting the fact that at a certain time they would be handing out weapons in the centre and thus proving to be successful out of people kurned up peasants and an sorts of classes. VICTOR Emmanuel saw no threat to this, however was wrong and once again Austrians come In to help crush the revolutionary movements. It is unfair too say that both in the years 1820121 and 1831/1832 these revolutions were due to a lack of popular support'. Mainly, at this point it was a lack of understanding about what was helppening, a very poor tack of education mainly in the lower classes and a strong lack of communication between people in the different states with no education no body could understand the full extent of what they were doing consequently meaning that unfortunately the first two sets failed. However Italian unification rook or kurn In 1848/1849 and the revolutions that cooured, Primarily Italy had the support of count Camillio Cavour and Napolean III French Emperor. They proved to drive the flustrian-3monghold out of many parts of Italy, extending Piedamont and by 1869 having a nearly

(This page is for your first answer.) Unified Iraly. This only book a decade and was successful. Looking at these events that followed the revolutions of 1848/1849 It could be seen that the third and final Set of revolutions made the most Impact. After two sets of Paired revolutions nationalism was spreading fast across Irally and seople were Starting too grasp a better understanding uhat was Kappening In the states. Due to revolutionary leaders such as Mazzini " the 'young Italy' party, Italys Caribaldi and First political parties, The 1848/49 rendersons Success and more support unlike the previous kno attempts. The last set of revolutions gained support from covour and eventually leading to a unified Subsequently, however the failure of the revolutions was down to a lack of well educated people and a Strong rack of support from each staire and from the people in power. A lock of popular support towards revolutions meant that ex unifed Italy was no - where near close to being unified. They had a while to go and Support / under Standing too gain.

Results lus

This is a Level 3 response. It attempts analysis and has some understanding of the issues with relevant points made and there is an attempt to show change over time. However, some of the statements are simple and the supporting evidence is weak with some major inaccuracies and misunderstanding.

Question 2

This was by far the most popular question of the two. Candidates, in general, have a strong understanding of both the significance and the importance of key individuals within the process of unification during the latter stages. Most responses referred to Cavour's contribution in relation to Garibaldi, Victor Emmanuel and/or Napoleon III and the best were able to show the linking relationships while coming to a clear judgement as to Cavour's significance. A few candidates approached this as a 'factors' question with little reference to the key phrase of 'individual contribution' and so were often unable to gain more than low Level 4 marks. The knowledge of most candidates is impressive but there are still some who are unaware of Cavour's death in 1861. The Level and mark attained for this question tended to be determined by how well candidates were able to balance their discussion of Cavour's significance with the other individuals; many candidates at low Level 4 tended to write long explanations of Cavour's role leaving little time for a balanced discussion of the other contributors.

F2 - The Unification of Germany, 1848-90

This Topic was sat by over 250 candidates most of whom had impressive knowledge of the content. Candidates are generally well prepared but there is some evidence of 'stock' multifactor answers appearing and many candidates just not answering the question asked. To reach Level 5 it is vital that the response be directly related to the focus of the question.

Question 3

Although the less popular of the two questions, there were some extremely impressive answers to this question with strong deployment of supporting knowledge. Those who chose to answer this question were confident and secure in their understanding of the reasons why the Frankfurt Assembly failed to create a national government for Germany. Some candidates dismissed the given factor of military power almost immediately but most were able to develop the theme with reference to the Schleswig-Holstein situation and the military strength of the traditional powers. The best responses had detailed knowledge of events and were able to develop a thematic response using precisely selected supporting knowledge. There were very few candidates who showed a lack of chronological security and/or confused the events in Schleswig-Holstein with the war of 1864.

This is an example of a well written response to the question.

Put a cr		you change your m	ind, put	question you have on a line through the nanother box ⊠.	chosen to answer 図. box 정
Chosen Questic	on Numbe	er:			
Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	×
Question 4	\boxtimes	Question 5		Question 6	\boxtimes
Question 7	×	Question 8	\boxtimes	Question 9	
Question 10	×	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	
Question 13	\boxtimes	Question 14			
To Und	e to	its lack of r	سىند سىنىند س	of the Franky	L Asselly
	an wmg	> relial		Dramak look	i - couldn't jight ed impotent, couldn't rootcals, no respe

- The Francia Braic Have etc. retained orning just of the deployed in 1869 / Ming regular Berown, Assuring along promputing as per bounding, there are no money dismity along promputing as per bounding and reason for failing as that it all her had a cot mounting support from runs.
- 3. During the turned of revolution in 1848, the rules from the main Minjolous of the German zone came together at Heidelberg and agreed to a the durands of the Libral intelligencia for a new Astronal Assembly in Freedynt. This Assembly was to along up a new Constitution for Gremany. It lasted from May 1848 until April 1849. The Assembly had fauled in its task and by 1851 Germany was again an Arshian led Eagedvalin of 39 States, as per the 1815 agreement. Pal of the reason for failure was the lack of military power, but this was by no means the Asanbly's only weathness. The Frankyurt Assembly had so army at its command, therefore it had to cake rety on the powers of trussic and Austria to uphold its authority. This meant that without their support the Assembly was impotent. It could not enjorce the will of its members This can be seen most clerk when Demark best arreved Schleswig in 1848 and had tessons of an Holstein. The Absending could voted overwhelmingly to attach Demark. Trussia also voted in its one Libral Ministry to do the same, but pellowing international pressure, mainly from Britain, it was porced to sign the Treaty of Malono, fearing conflict with another great power. The Fortyert Assembly was powerless to stop this decision. It led to a number of redicets breaking free to set up their own Counter-

Assembly is Frontyurt. The Assembly could not put down this faction and bring it is line because it did not have an army.

Ultimately, when the army powers of Prusia, Asshrie, Banaria, Northenburg

(This page is for your first answer.) and Hanbury decided to it was true to end the Assembly, it had no power to stop them and it had to disperse. The lack of Miltery power went the Assembly alid not Command respect, coldn't dyerd, tely or enjoyee its will. This led to its failure within a ye However, it is slightly imbalanced to say that this was the only weathers of the Assembly. After all, the very fact that the old arder of fended Kings, Princes and Dulus remains in place with their our armes intact, ready to strike when the moment was right, meant that the Assembly never stood chance. It could never have matched their night \$ A problem was the julie of the 1848 ceral tian to upenal the old order. It society had been condically reshaped and republics had been imposed then the Assembly may have to had a chance to build the for foundations of democracy, Under Moncroby, it never stood a chance. This was underlined when thing trederich William required to accept the top of position of provor of a federal Germany, when affect it by the Absembly in April 1847. This to hatty indivance the work of the Assembly justice in achiering a new Constitution. The believed has power derived from God, not neve markeds + so the Assembly fell apart, its purpose dejunct. Met it never actually commanded the support of the Majority of the public. Its unassentive leadership under Congers and not command considerce and it was known as 'A Progressor's Parliament. It was out of touch with the mainstream of voters. Engls (This page is for your first answer.) caused it an Assenting of Old Women. It

The property of the control of the minimum of the control of the minimum of the control of t

Thally, the Assembly was completely disold over what a new Germany Should look like. Kadi cab wanted to abolish the Monarchy and create a republic, although they were divided over whether this should be a poteral or centralised one Liberals water British - Style Constitutional Monarchy, with a trans cate por tarliament. The jew Es servatives wanted to protect the Juntur class and landed gentry. The war hope to take a real hey took a reactionary stance and wanted to fall buch to pre-revolution days. This Assently couldn't even decide whether it short Germany should be not made up of all Gernan Speaking people, ever those from the Austrian Empire, in a Grossdentschland. Or whether they hould focus on those access north of the river Mais, a kleindentalland. Indecision and tractions elevents like the radicals (explored entir) ment that the fortiment could not articulate a vision for Cremany. Thusit without I ham did eventually settle on the kilein dentschland model

(This page is for your first answer.) but Cayidance was already look.

To conclude, the sailthout lack of armed forces was important in the failure of the Assembly as it could not dejud itself let alone enjoyee its will. However, the real problem

lied in the fact that the Assorby did not address the concerno of its subjects and the good had no communicable vision for how a new Gernay would be leaple prejured the decineness of autocracy. Additionally the commission when the time was right, crush the depleted revolutionary movements and the Frankyurt Parliament to book.

13. How for do you agree that the personal popularity of Hitler was the main reason for the increase of support for the Nozi Party 1928-32?

Between 1928-32 the Nazi Parky made remarkable strikes in 1928

the party managed to gain 107 seats in the 1930 elections

with an increase of 130,000 in facts membership. The 1932

elections saw the parky increase its lead to 230 seats in Sty,

falling back sightly to 196 in November. Hitter himsely can

take a good dead of credit for this, but the state of

the economy, the failure of maintainers pothics and the organical

In 1932, Hitter stood for President. He gained 37%

orchement and shows that Hitler estaged to exploit the political travail

popularity. De He had managed to exploit the political travail

a National Opposition with the DNVP, Hitler band public

sympothy by highlighting the incomplancies of the Miller coalition

the samed jacus with theyerberg and would be disserted a

proper goods wildely using his redisempire. Hitler created

Cult of personality. Here He was the hearts of some Germans by articulating a vision of hope and nationhood in contrast to the austerily of water Comments who were stabling Cremony in the Back by back by regoliating with the torigon powers who had down up the Treaty of Versailles. At Many At mass rathes such as Nuremberg Hitler offered hope as the the effects of the Great Depression and the government's now from welfare financing to dejicil reduction began to bute. The By 1932 people unew who Hitler was and voted for him as more than for the name than the party itself in fact many had reservations about the party, given it's reliance on the violent tactics of the SA. Hiter to can take a good degree of credit for the party's success. However, he also chose his man ent well. World recession had hit as a result of the Great Depression. Germany's economy was hit bardest, with its relience on American loans which were now being recalled. Also, American investment was diminishing. At the start of 1929 the USA invested locanillian dollars in industry

This page is for your first answer.) Dut this was reduced to Zeo million by

the end of the year German reduced to Leaning as imports,

a trade in balance that was never jixed by Streseman's reforms.

Additionally she was still supering from years of desirit furancing
and was debt interest which had never been proposely tackled

by the government. This stand should meant that memployed

Stated to rise to 1.9 million by 1929 and 6 million by

1922. The wamployed and were abandoned a bandoned by

and were

The welfare shot and turned to the Nazi Partry to a

Solution to Meir frombles. The Mittels tool suggested a loss of

business and jeared the rise of the Communist Party. They have

Hitter was apposed to communism so gave him their supports.

Farmers were hit particularly body when jod prices dictined after 1927. They flocked to the Nazi party for help In 1928.

Duled rural Thuringia saw an 11% vote for NSDAP. Schlew abo voted for the them. The Nazi Party officed a possible remedy to a bleak economic future.

But Hitter was also able to exploit the political system. Proportional representation produced weak continuous and by 1930 & Brining was having to resort to Artical 48 to make get anything passed. Hitter demanded that his party be given more recognition for their success. He underwised the government by refusing to pass Paper's budget in 1932.

Finally, the only solution was for Hinderburg to appoint Hitter to the Chancellarship in January 1933. It was politically impossible not to both without the power did lie in Hinderburg's

(This page is for your first answer.) Land and without the decision to appoint

Hitler, he could not have been Charlellar.

Therefore Hitler was responsibly for creating a

Cult of personality which led to popular support and electral

Success, which was the main reason for the party's achieves to

By such a scale However, without the diseastern economy

it is while that he wild have received so many

seats, making it impossible for this oder by not to

appoint him.



Both this and the following response are directly related to the focus of the question with explicit development of the key issues. Both responses develop a discussion through each paragraph and use relevant supporting material. There is a confidence with both the supporting material and the historical terminology.

Put a cro	ss in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer (.) If you change your mind, put a line through the box (.)
	and then put a cross in another box 図. Frank によ
Chosen Ouestion	Millon but also
	Military
Question 1	Question 2 Question 3 Nadecisiveness
Question 4	Question 5 Question 6 \(\text{Lack of Support} \)
Question 7	Question 8 Question 9 Acade Ship
Question 10	☐ Question 11 ☐ Question 12 ☐ ☐
Question 13	☑ Question 14 ☑
(This page is for	your first answer.) To what extent was the failage
of	the Frankfurt Assembly mainly due to
iks	lack of military power?
,	acc of minag
47	
The	failure of the Frankfurt assemblyand certainly be seen to be down to the
Unicicalia Can	certainly be seen to be down to the
	of military power as there was nothing to
entor	ce their policies of the assemblies. The extent
to w	hich this was so is questionable as other
C-150	siting II siting of Assis and the
rac. a.	silike the position of Austia and the
lack	of support for the assembly, played a
part.	
	Militar factors are and in the most
	Military factors are certainly the most
	a) factor for the failure of the
Assemb	ly as there was no force to assert the
idas	of the liberals and its unified constitution omple, when Schlesuig-Holstein, and anish duchees
Τ	initial Clic Iller two M
For ex	ample, when schleswig-Holsteia, and danish duchees
with	Germans a shoot the assembly for help and he
(This page is for	your first answer.) be included in Germany, Prussia was d by the Assembly to Send an army text the of Prussia to backet down this wester as a faiture of over fears of international
000	I but he Assault 1- Cool - Scal Long for the
persuaa	1 0 cc. I let 1 The
King o	of roussia to backer down stas was then
Seek	as a faiture of over fears of inhernational

outery. Had the Assembly controlled the army this may have been seen as a victory for Germany and allowed the Assembly to be taken seriously by all of the states there this lack of military force is crucial as italians for a contributing failure to the collapse of the assembly in 1849. A crucial, but slightly less significant Factor, was the B position of Austria in opposing the assembly. B BAT the end of 1848, Austia had overcome its revolutions in Hugary through counter-revolutions to the 1248 rebellions. This allowed for Austria to re-assert its authority in Germany and eventually dissolve the Franktust assembly in Summer 1849. Had Austria not interpend it is possible the Assembly may have eventually Succeeded The Failure of the Assembly due to Austia can be linked to a lack of military. Force by the Frankfirt Assembly because if the Assembly had a military force it may have been able to challenge Austria in its opposition to the Frankfurt Assembly and a unified Germany without Austria.

(This page is for your first answer:) The indecisiveness 1 of the Liberals was a contributing factor to the Assembly's failure as they were not quick enough to act. How Although it only book the Liberals 3 months to assemble the Frankfurt Parliament after the March 1848 revolutions, the Liberals took too long in making crucial decisions.

Due to debating it book the Liberals 6 months to as draw up Just the Basic Rights and Demands' of a German citizen, a minor issue is relative to the unified constitution Hat needed drawing up. This failure to act quickly and idealistic Mattitude that German Unification present was inovitable in 1848 meant that by the time the Liberals had planned a constitution in 1869 the old autocotic powers were restoring there authority through counter-revolutions closing the window of opportunity for unification. He If the Liberals had been decisive and quick indrawing up a unified Germany, the Frankfurt Assembly may not have Failed as the Liberals were still Supported before 1849.

The Lack of Support in Germany and the Frankfurt assembly can be seen as a less significant to but a factor none the less to its Gailure. Primarily, the a Assembly did not represent

(This page is for your first answer.) Society effectively. Out of 596

representatives 80-1. held University degrees

and there was only peasant. As one Historian

Said, "It was an assembly of Lawyers". This

failure to represent the working classes, who made

up the majority of Society, meant there was not

wides pread support. If there was more support, monarchies

in individual States may have been more willing to

help in Unification therefore the Assembly may have

Succeeded. Crucially, the lack of support from

the King of Prassia (Prassia being the lageststate) to in not

accepting the crown of a unified Germany meant
Hhat the Assembly lacked Support from the places
of power in Germany if needed most. This therefore
Contributed to the assemblies Failure.

Finally a minor factor was the
lack of effective leadership from Von Gogern; the
liberal leader of the Assembly. Von Gogern lacked
Charisma and authority allowing for the
Assembly to become a debating Society over
Kleindeutsch and Gross deutsch ideas on whether to
exclude Austria from a Unified Germany. Von Gogern
lacked the leadership that later shown by Bismach
In unifying Germany. I the Assembly certainly would have
Soen more Success with better leadership.

(This page is for your first answer.) Overally military Factors were

The most cracial Factor in the failure of

Ho Frankfurt Assembly as it meant the Assembly

was not taken seriously. The position of Authria

mustralso be considered as an important factor

as they whimatly dissolved the Assembly although

this can be seen as a failure of military force to the

argued also that the indecisiveness of the liberals

mount that the Assembly would never see great success

as decisions took too long although with military

force they may still have been successful in 1849.



Please see the comments for the previous example.

Question 4

This was the more popular of the two questions but despite some very good knowledge there was wide differentiation in the ability to focus on the key phrases of the question. A significant number of candidates appear to have developed a 'stock' answer to explain the process of unification and when asked to determine the significance of Austrian defeat in the war of 1866 were not able to adapt their learnt response to the question on the paper. In most cases a few more moments of planning and some contemplation of the wording of the question asked would have allowed these candidates to produce a more focused answer. This also applies to the time period specified in the question as well. Many candidates referred to events both before and after the years 1862-1871. The question required a discussion of the Austrian defeat as the key turning point or moment of change in the process. This is best dealt with (although not exclusively so) by reference to other possible key turning points. The question itself offered three dates/events which could have been discussed with the appointment of Bismarck in 1862, the defeat of 1866 and the defeat of France in 1871. Too many responses here just produced a list-like series of explanatory paragraphs, often with no particular order of significance, and added an analytical conclusion to the end. Many of these were able to access low-to-mid Level 4 marks but many candidates with excellent knowledge were unable to select and deploy the relevant material to answer the question asked.

F3 – The Collapse of the Liberal State and the Triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896–1943

Over 1750 candidates were entered for this Topic. Most candidates are well prepared and obviously have enthusiasm for the Topic content but many struggle to select relevant supporting material. Many candidates for this Topic tend to write 'all that they know' about the general themes of the question rather than focusing on the key wording of the question asked. However, it has been a pleasure to see how centres have developed and improved coverage of the whole time period over the years and this year, in particular, in Question 5 to see candidates able to refer back and forth between the pre-and-post 1919 'threshold'.

Question 5

This question was marginally more popular than Question 6. There were a wide variety of responses to this question ranging from generalised answers with minimal supporting evidence to directly focused answers with an impressive selection of relevant material. A small number of candidates inverted the question, discussing how the collapse of the Liberal State led to the rise of the PNF. The wording of the question allowed candidates to determine both the beginning and the end dates of the discussion to a certain extent. Most candidates produced an answer covering long-term, short-term and more immediate causes with reference to long-term division, the role of World War One and reaction to Socialist growth in relation to the rise of the PNF. The collapse of the Liberal State was invariably seen as being defined by the March on Rome but many referred to the establishment of dictatorial powers by 1925. Weaker answers tended to give a description of either the weaknesses of the Liberal State or the rise of the PNF. The best answers tended to suggest that, although long-term weakness was apparent, a combination of the 'mutilated victory' and the rise of Socialism allowed the PNF to provide an alternative popular enough amongst both the people and the elites to bring down the Liberal State.

Put a cro		ou change your m	ind, put	question you have o a line through the i n another box ⊠.	chosen to answer 図. box 図
Chosen Questio	n Numbe	r:			
Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	\boxtimes
Question 4	\boxtimes	Question 5	×	Question 6	×
Question 7		Question 8	×	Question 9	×
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	M
Question 13	\boxtimes	Question 14	×		
(This page is for	your first	answer.)			
is the ulti	matere	use of the co	the ris	lmainant politica e of such our ex futhe hiberal st	al possesses and force transist moreovert this

this solini and the Faxes were a right wing movement who were essientially in direct apposition to the liberal government. The ideology they held was on a different spactoum and the distile they and contempt they had for the hiberals was not hidden. To assess whether the Fascist nist was the most important reason for the fell of the hibral state we must assess the threat they posed. The Fascists were known to be violent and introviolating; the blackshirts using methods to ture methods like forcing their enemies to drink caster all to mitall their terror. Not only did the volence intimidate the public it also scared the hiteral which could play a part in the somewhat obviors defeat the Liberals suffered. The Fascist pourty also seemed to have (This page is for your first answer.) a sense of nationalism that Italy had not seen before this reassured Halians of the good intentions the groups had for Italy's pestige and and alembrant to the shows after may have played a part in the declining support of the hiterals. The way in which the Fascists dealt with authoreak of law and note-impresseds the Italiana + especially the conservatives; their no rensense approach to civil unrest showed the power key had compared the the newtral hiberals. The rise of Forsism mrough welence, nationalisticleology and organised structure may have been the most important reason for the facillof the hiteral government.

However the Tassists were not the only political groups who experienced a rise in the years 1919-1922, the Soundlists were also pour tien lawly deminant is Italy. The left wing purty were very much influenced by the Communist Rossian revolution and were fighteny against the exploitection of the note of Italy. Socialist another section was not uncommen at this time, the occupration of the factories and the geneval stitle being nost obvious examples. Then and so for Socialists workers took hold of factories all over they and dominated the industry for a fundance of the liberal government. For allowing it to happan and the largeth people ranks of the liberal government.

discontent for the government. A general strike was called by Socialists which amed to destabilize the whole country; that was a large warry to the liberal government but they soom to take a real-of stance. It is here that we not ally see the weekness of the government and their amortlingues to engage in conflict but also the influence of Forcism. It was the Fascists

(This page is for your first answer.) goings who dismantled the Strike, although using a lolent techiques, they proved work effective than the hikereds.

Consonatives and industrialists were my engry that the government done nothing about the strike and their the teft wing group seemed to be taking aver Italy. The socialists were in control of the regionity of Northern and Control Italy and the hibered dominance were becoming weather with every action the Socialists took.

Some might argue that the feel of the hiteral government was mentable from the beginning due to the fundamental weatheres ses they began with The hestility of the Cathelic church towards the Kingdom of Italy after unification due to the seizure of papal states meant that they were way suspictans and datten of the hiteral government. The Castrolic church were an extremely influential institution in the Italy and whatever the church said people did - They even banked Catholics from voltaging the electronic However the influence of Forsism is also seen in Phil factor with Massoline cleverly winning support of the church Maxingh his opposition to abortion and contrace otion. Not only did the church distille Literation, they were now supported of its archival and this = paverful group bod bund to have one effect on public support. The economic divide of Haly was innerse with the vast majority of Sonthern Hallans living in illiterate powerty. The liberal naction with this issue anyened the poor which not only underwised laboral dominance, it also helped hem han to more extremit parties. The lock of Italian dontity after unification and the fact that only 21 of the country actually Spake Italian meant that people were not particularly nationalistic or

(This page is for your first answer.) por trick toward their leader and also the language barrier went that communication was halted. The political system of those brownis trasformisms within the government also proced difficult, with many difficult people forming a coalition regardless of differences. The government often fell and disagreements were common, if a government thanselves could not agree her could truy influence their country?

The Fescist party seems to be a factor which is intrined in all arguments of this question. The intimidation they had now not only enstaved an adinary people but also rival political parties and ability to quash Socialist uprisings not only promoted their power but also revealed the lack of influence and ourthority the beberely Their ability to try and color the fundamental problems that the Liberal gonamment experienced; the hostily of the Catholic church and lack of Italian identity shared their strengths politically and so itself compared to the hiberoits with when these problems still flowershed The sheer determinating of Hussolini, the influence of gangs, the ability to take advantage of certain graips appertunities ultimately led to the March on Rome and The Salandra Although me must give significance to the political and emmic weatherises of the literal government, their interited Italian problems and the left wing threat in relation to the fall of the liberal we must also he the dominant factor as the rise and paver of the PNE.

Examiner Comments

This is Level 5 answer which directly focuses on the question with an explicit understanding of the key issues. A clear discussion of the role of the rise of the PNF in relation to other factors is seen. The supporting material is adequate; particularly in relation to other factors, but to progress within the Level more specific examples of PNF activity and policies could have been given.



Always try to organise the response so that the given or highlighted factor is central to the discussion being developed.

		ify	you change your m and then put		i line through the l another box ⊠.	box S
	Chosen Questi	on Numb	er:			
	Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	×	Question 3	×
,	Question 4	\boxtimes	Question 5	×	Question 6	⊠
,	Question 7		Question 8		Question 9	⊠
,	Question 10		Question 11		Question 12	×
,	Question 13		Question 14			
	(This page is fo	r your firs	t answer.)			
	How	far	-doudo	jou	agree tus	t the rise
	of h F		t Party			
	was					ison for the
	collaps		of The	→ .	beral St	ate.
				-		
	MW	K	SLF	44144000077722340444434000		

	VEC - I	, A_C	0155 05	T 150	(7 0 0 0 7 7 7	
			RISE OF		IST PAKIT	
			as lead	(
	1.		from elit			
		ction	against			
	L7 stre	ngth	of fasci	st	oarty - vi	olence
						-> protection.
	NO - W	ASN	T RISE	OF 3	FASCIST P	ARTY
	47 Eff	ect of	1 WW : e	conomi	L -> Wea	kness of Lib.
			s of kin			
						-South LONG-TERM
	FASCI	ST	NOT THAT P	OPULAR		WEAKMESSES.
						Government
		-				eral threats
						increasing
	strength	4	the	+ ascis	it party.	no and

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes .

Socialists to the discontent pollowed by the war. However, the liberal state associled to survive * because of both long and short term reasons, including the lack of unity of Italy and opposition from the church. The tureat from Mussolini and the Fascist party during 1919 - 22 can be seen as the most important reason for the collapse of the liberal state, as it was Mussolini's role as prime minister which brought about its collapse, following the Matteotti crisis in 1924. However During 1919-22 the Fascist party was increasingly growing, as it attracted disconteded ex-servicemen and those who feared socialism, increasing its strength. Whilst Fascism was a new political party, and failed to gain to interest in its earlier years, Mussolini's strength and ability meant that it was

ord papers such as Popolo d'Italia,
and papers such as Popolo d'Italia,
Mussolini was able to reach out and
gain interest. Despite only a gaining
T'/· of the vote in the 1919
elections, Mussolini was able to seize
be invited into power in 1922,
suggesting his strength as a Fascist
leader led to the demise of the

Most importantly, the rise of
the fascist movement was the main
reason for the fall of the liberal
State, because of Mussolini's organisation
of the Fascists and the support from
the elite. The Mussolini was encourage.
The Fascist attitude of attacking
socialists of on the streets, most notable
was the Red week in 1919, attracked
attracted a lot of support for the
Fascist power from the middle class and
elites who feared communism, increasing
Fascist Power. By 1922, Fascism was
seen as a protector from socialism and
a good alternative to the liberal state,

This page is for your first answer.) Suggesting the increasing power of the Fascism did bring the demise of the Liberal State.

However, there were other major issues, which brought threatened the Stability of the Liberal state. The aftermath of the First world War, and the mutilated victory led to increasing discontent in Italy, increasing opposition to the Liberal State. Not only did Italy not gain territories such as Finne but they had to deal with war debt.

In addition, there were long term weaknesses which can be considered

as the most important reason for the liberal States collapse. Liberal Italy was a divided state, which the war highlighted. The North-South divide, with the very rich in the North - and poor in the south led to opposition from peasants who yearned for a change as their agriculture was backward to The industrial boom in the North merled to an increase in workers, and meretore socialism - a major threat to the Liberal

(This page is for your first answer.) Statl. The weaknesses of the liberal state itself can b and government itself can be considered as a major reason. The use of trasformismo, particultarly in Giolitti's government, led to an increasing instable government who didn't act in the south's best interest. The weakness of the government is highlighted by D'Annzio's occupation of Finne, which the government failed to react to unti for a whole year. Overall I think the main reason for the Liberal state's downfall were the long term problems of the state, such as the failure of unification and threat of socialism. Whilst the

Fascist party did lead to its demise,

I believe the party would of failed to

do so if the Government was

supported by the Italian people.



This is a Level 4 response. It is well focused and understands the key issues. The given factor is well developed with reference to a range of key areas and other factors are introduced. The conclusion refers to the role of the PNF and begins to suggest an inter-relationship of key factors that is not fully developed in the main body of the response.



This plan allows the candidate to develop an answer which looks at different influences on the collapse of the Liberal State and ensures that the given factor is well developed. However, if the plan had highlighted the importance of the different factors or drawn links between them then the candidate may have been able to develop a more discursive response.

Question 6

This was the slightly less popular question on the Topic. Centres clearly cover foreign policy in some depth but many candidates have a very confused knowledge of the chronology. Responses to this question show by far the least confidence with the chronology of events and, in particular, a lack of dates when discussing different events often creates incoherent answers; this was particularly so with this question which required an evaluation of change over time. This question was intended to be a discussion of foreign policy as a single factor with a focus on the extent to which Mussolini's foreign policy influenced his popularity over time in Italy. However, the wording of the question did lead to some multi-factor answers focusing on 'other' policies which increased his popularity in Italy or to discussions of his popularity both domestically and internationally. This was discussed and dealt with during the standardisation and marking process and the mark scheme was amended to reflect these responses. However, despite the often confused chronology, the wording of the question led to some very interesting and thoughtful answers that really tried to come to grips with the changing nature of Mussolini's foreign policy and the reactions to his policies both at home and from abroad. The best answers were able to show progression from popularity to the mixed reactions of the 1930s to the disasters of World War Two while underlining the always ambiguous nature of his 'popularity'.

Please note: there were a few scripts, though of a significant number to be worrying, where it was clear that the candidates had no understanding of the meaning of the term 'foreign policy'. In most cases these candidates referred in general to domestic policies but some were clearly of the opinion that this question was about Mussolini's religious policy. Concepts of foreign and domestic policy are fundamental to the study of history but in the case of this particular Topic the whole of the fourth bullet point in the specification is dedicated to Mussolini's foreign policy.

F4 - Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931-75

Once again the number of entries for this topic has increased with over 800 candidates being entered for Options E and F combined. Candidates are increasingly confident in their deployment of knowledge and understanding of the broad themes. However, the history of Spain, in the years 1931-39 in particular, is very complex and the rapid changes that took place mean candidates need to have secure chronological knowledge if they are to produce answers at the higher Levels. It is encouraging to see the number of candidates who can support the broad themes discussed in Civil War questions with specific examples but there are still too many candidates writing in a generalised way with a confused chronology. We endeavour to be fair to candidates who transpose Republicans for Nationalists and vice versa, but unfortunately every year there are still candidates who confuse the Republicans and Nationalists to such an extent that it completely undermines their response.

Question 7

This was the less popular of the two questions. Although some candidates struggled with the chronology of events, in general the candidates who chose this question were confident in both their knowledge and understanding. A few candidates believed this to be a question about the outbreak of the civil war itself. However, some of the most enjoyable responses to read on the whole paper were found here, as candidates really tried to show how the reforms affected Spain and their consequences for the new republic. Some very accomplished answers were able to show that the reforms merely reflected and exacerbated traditional divisions leading to the inevitable weaknesses in the republic.

Question 8

This was by far the more popular of the two questions. Candidates are very aware of the factors affecting the outcome of the Civil War but need to guard against the potential for creating 'stock' answers which become explanatory rather than reaching an analytical judgement. It was important here to spend some time planning an answer in which the given factor required a definition and where relevant support could be found within a variety of the more 'traditional' factors discussed. It is also important for centres to discuss the nuances of Nationalist victory as opposed to Republican defeat and vice versa. Weaker candidates just wrote a series of paragraphs about different factors with little reference specifically to military organisation or to any specific events of the war. The best candidates were able to select a variety of relevant material to establish the argument for or against the superior military organisation of the Nationalists with reference to nature of troops, tactics, leadership and foreign aid in relation to the military organisation of the Republicans and/or other factors. There were many well focused answers that were marked at lower Level 4 because having established military organisation they then just repeated the same points again as 'other factors' without clear understanding of the interdependency previously shown. It is also vital that specific examples be given. For example, statements of Franco's good use of the tactic of a 'war of attrition' need to be exemplified. Some very good answers were able to show change over time with an excellent understanding of the chronology of events. Many of these suggested that it took some time for the potential of the Nationalist military superiority to become apparent and that, as it became more organised under the tactical leadership of France and the availability of foreign weapons and troops so the Nationalists were able to defeat the more poorly organised Republicans.

F5 - Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945-91

This topic has an entry in Option F of about 300 candidates. Most are very well prepared and have a very good understanding of the complex nature of change and the different developments which took place. However, a significant minority struggle with the chronology of events particularly in the earlier and later time frames.

Question 9

This was the more popular of the two questions. Most candidates were able to discuss the long-term, short-term and immediate events leading to the formal partition of the two Germanies but there was a clear lack of chronological security in many of the responses. If candidates are going to refer to thematic causation then they need to clearly provide a chronological framework by referring specifically to dates or writing about events in chronological order internally within paragraphs. There were also a worrying number of candidates who discussed partition generally but did not seem aware of when either West or East Germany came into being. The use of the term Berlin Crisis to refer to the events in Berlin of 1948-9 did cause problems for some students but this is the terminology used in the specification. It is vital that centres make sure that candidates are aware of the terminology used in the specification outline, bullet points and clarification when referring to events/developments which may have alternative nomenclature. The best responses were able to link the events taking place in Berlin 1948-9 to earlier events and wider political forces and often suggested that this led almost inevitably to partition.

	nd quest	tion choice must be you change your m	e on a dif ind, put	question you have ferent topic to you a line through the n another box 🛭.	r first question choice.
Chosen Questio	n Numb	er:			
Question 1	×	Question 2	\boxtimes	Question 3	×
Question 4	\boxtimes	Question 5		Question 6	
Question 7	\boxtimes	Question 8	×	Question 9	
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11		Question 12	
Question 13	X	Question 14	×		
	in cris		use of	. 1949 divisi	
BERLIN CR Blockade + aggress	in cris	sis = main (a : symbol of div ! Though in respon	iuse of	OTHER CAUSE	s ine 1947 = activet
BERLIN CR. Blockade	in cris	sis = main (a : symbol of div ! Though in respon	inse of	oTHER CAUSE Truman Dock declaration of	S ine 1947 = activel f opposition
PLAN "Ber BERLIN CR • Blockade + aggress to Dents	in cris	symbol of div	inse of	oTHER CAUSE Truman Dody declaration of	s ine 1947 = activel f opposition 1947: 4 years,
PLAN "Ber BERLIN CR • Blockade + aggress to Dents	in cris	sis = main (a : symbol of div ! Though in respon	inse of	Truman Dock Truman Dock declaration of Marshall Plan anti-communist, Bizonia - Ste	sine 1947 = actively fopposition 1947: 4 years, West accept/Eastrejea
PLAN "Ber BERLIN CR Blockade + aggress to Dents · Airligh in of war	in cris	symbol of div	inse of	Truman Dody declaration of Marshall Plan anti-communist, Bizonia - Ste to separate st	s ine 1947 = activel f opposition 1947: 4 years,

The main cause of the partition of Germany in 1949 is debatable. The Berlin Crisis certainly played an important part in increasing tensions. However a number of Other packors including America's attempts to contain communism and the resulting economic differences in Germany are arguably more significant. Overall I think that the Berlin Crisis was not mainly

(This page is for your second answer.). responsible for Germany's division in 1949 because the underlying pactors are more important. The Crisis began in 1948 when the USSR decided to cut of western access to West Berlin. Previously the west had been able to support its part of the German capital, but in an attempt to claim the whole of Berlin Stalin had deried the west this right. This therefore increased tensions and Berlin was already becoming a symbol of the Cold War and the ideological differences between West and East. It can therefore be argued that this symbol eventually led to the physical division in 1949 because the Allies could no longer co-operate effectively. However the Blockade was achigly only a response to the introduction of the Deutschmark in West Germany It is therefore apparent that Stalin's decisions were not at the noot of the chain of events after 1945. For example, the Truman Doctrine declared that the USA wanted to

contain commission and spread stop its spread throughout Europe. Since this was amounted in 1947, it shouldn't be assumed that the Blockade

and resulting crisis was caused by Stalin alone. On the other hand the events in Berlin were very Significant in building up postruar tensions. Instead of handing the rest of Berlin over to the USSR, the western allies launched what was known as the Berlin Airligh. Western aeroplanes were used to transport essential good and puel to West Berlines. This helped to undernine communist authority because it actively defied Stalin's plans Furthermore the presence of war planes symbolised the invisible Cold war and so increased tensions even purther. Perhaps division in 1949 would have been delayed or shopped allogether if it wasn't for the heightened tensions caused by the Berlin Crisis. Another packer, however, was the physical- follow-up to the Truman Doctrine. The Marshall Plan was announced in \$ 1947 and gave air financial aid to European countries unherable to communism for the next pour years. The USA was clearly committed to pighting communism. As well as allowing those countries to better defend themselves, dependence on America helped to minimise any potential control from the Soviets. Also Since

the West accepted the aid but East Germany did not, this was yet another perchor which purther separated west from east. Finally, the creation of Bizonia was another significant packer responsible for the partition of Germany in 1949. Stalin Saw it as a deliberate attempt to form a separate state and accused the western allies of not being committed to eventual reunification. Indeed there is evidence to suggest that the west was par less motivated to reunify Germany Han Stalin, Hough this was mainly to prevent the spread of communism throughout the whole conntry. Therefore it is not accurate to say that the Berlin Crisis -Stalin's decision - was the main packer responsible por partition, because ultimately it was western decisions such as the creation of Bizonia (and later Trizonia) which provoked Stalin's reactions. In conclusion the chain of events leading to the partition of Germany In 1949 is complex. The Berlin Blockade and the resulting Airligh were certainly very important, if only for the dramatic effect symbolising the conflict between the USSR and the Western allies. But, taking into account the underlying factors and events leading up to the Crisis and eventual division, it is clear that events in Berlin were not the most significant. Instead, Western decisions - in the form of

introducing the Deutschmark, resisting communism

Hinough economic support and the creation of Bizonia

— were far more important in causing the

partition of Cermany into two separate states in

1949.



This is a high Level 4 response. It is well focused on the question and has a clear understanding of the key issues. It develops the other factors well and in the conclusion shows some interlinking between long and short term factors. However, there is little explanatory development of the given factor despite the stated connection to the currency issues which makes the argument less convincing.



Always develop the given/highlighted factor securely when creating an argument that suggests other factors are more important.

Question 10

There were few responses to this question. Of these, many were weak with candidates focusing on the 1950s and assuming that East Germany was run by the Soviet government. These responses tended to describe the repressive policies and assume that control was wholly through oppression. Some candidates struggled to understand the meaning of repression. However, some candidates with impressive knowledge chose to answer this question and produced some of the more interesting responses on the whole paper. These candidates were able to distinguish between East German government and Soviet influence while acknowledging the influences of the 'niche society' and referring to change over time.

F6 - The Middle East, 1945-2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalism

Over 300 candidates were entered for this Topic in Option F. Centres are to be congratulated for increasing coverage of the aspects of the specification that deals with Arab nationalism and greater focus on the key areas outlined in the specification for the post-1979 period; the focus on this topic is not Arab-Israeli relations but the influence of different forms of nationalism in the Middle East across the entire period 1945-2001. However, it is worrying that a significant number of candidates still seem to think that every question on the pre-1979 period requires a discussion of all the Arab-Israeli conflicts in turn. This is a complex Topic to study with many events and development, often over very short periods of time, and it is vital that candidates both acquire chronological security and do not confuse the actions of different groups. The use of timelines is recommended to establish a clear understanding of events and change over time.

Question 11

This was the most popular question of the two. Candidates were generally able to discuss the long-term, short-term and immediate events leading to the outbreak of the Arab-Israeli conflict but many of the answers showed very weak chronological understanding and even confused the actions of Arabs and Jews. Many answers were insecure and generalised while others just gave a confused narration of events. Examiners commented on a general lack of knowledge concerning the creation of the state of Israel with many suggesting that either the UN or the British enforced the state of Israel on Palestinian territory; many were clearly unaware of the date and/or the connection between the declaration and the outbreak of the conflict. There were also a few answers which focused on all the Arab-Israeli conflicts to 1973. Some candidates also found it difficult to focus on the wording of the question, so ignored the references to 'trigger' and 'fundamental' causes writing a general answer about different factors leading to the conflict. The best responses tended to establish the creation of the state of Israel as the trigger, show how short-term causes led to the creation of the state and how these in turn were affected by the fundamental underlying causes of nationalism, religion, imperialism and ownership of land.

Question 12

There were few responses to this question but those candidates who did answer it were often well informed and/or wrote interesting and thoughtful answers. Although some candidates confused either Iraq and Iran or Sunni and Shia, most candidates were able to discuss in some detail the reasons for the war and the length of the conflict. Candidates were not expected to cover both aspects equally but the higher Levels require a discrete understanding of both. The best answers were able to show how religious divisions combined with the more fundamental influences of regional and international politics helped to start the war and the effects of the war of attrition on prolonging it.

F7 - From Second Reich to Third Reich: Germany, 1918-45

This is overwhelmingly the most popular Topic in Option F, as is to be expected, with over 2500 candidates. The standard of response has risen over the period of the qualification but examiners still see a wide variety of response with more at Level 2 and low Level 3 than in other topics. These responses invariably show either knowledge or understanding with weak supporting evidence and, although have some focus, lack coherence and accuracy. However, the majority of answers show a good understanding and sufficient knowledge to be able to produce a coherent answer with some focus. The main problems seem to be the selection of sufficient relevant and detailed supporting material and a poor sense of chronology. However, the best responses are often outstanding and thoughtful showing rapid progression from GCSE. It is also apparent that most centres are now covering the whole date range of the period with many candidates opting to answer the question focused on the defeat of Germany in World War II.

Question 13

This was by far the most popular question of the two. Most candidates were aware of the growth in support for the Nazis during the years 1928-32 and were able to produce answers which developed general explanations. However, examiners commented on the disappointing number of candidates able to refer directly to either electoral support or the personal popularity of Hitler. In particular, references to the growth in electoral support were generalised rather than specific; there was a clear opportunity here to look at the pattern in the growth of electoral support and refer to the different types of elections in which the Nazis participated. Likewise Hitler's personality was generally seen as little more than clever oration with a charismatic quality. Many candidates saw this as an opportunity to explain the general rise to power of the Nazi Party beginning with the Munich Putsch and ending with the Enabling Act. The best answers were often able to give direct focus to the winning of votes in relation to the appeal of Hitler with some discussion, for example, of the presidential election of 1932. Most strong responses suggested that although Hitler's personal popularity did play a role it was the economic and social circumstances combined with the weak political situation of the Weimar Republic which combined with Nazi reorganisation to bring about an increase in votes.

Chosen Question	on Numb	er:			
Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	\times	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	\boxtimes	Question 6	\boxtimes
Question 7	\times	Question 8		Question 9	
Question 10	×	Question 11	\times	Question 12	×
Question 13	×	Question 14	×		
(This page is fo	r your firs	t answer.) Plan	and in the second of the		ianananian makamanan okukhamanian ing
Agree = ve	uu chaii	matic skana lea	do cual	trunted by Weins	¥
113,00 - 16	of mon	STUDENT LEADING WE	LOLLWM	contred by wama	V
42411111111111111111111111111111111111			***************************************	- N	
Disagree :	propag	anda, technologi	y. mass s	uggestion, unityin	g thenes, scapegoats
***************************************	violence	, sA, destabilisin	g Weimo	K	
***************************************	WSC,	extreme pourhes		11.2511.1111.1111.1111.1111.1111.1111.1	
***************************************	volksq	emeinschaft			
This name is for	vous first	answort Hit			
			leis peac	onal popularity w	as an important
reason for hi	sincrea	se in electoral s	leis peac	onal popularty w in the years 1921	as an important 8-32 however other
tactor abo	s increas	se in electoral s	leis peac upport	anal popularity w in the years 1921 his use of propar	as an important 8-32 however other garda, the SAsi
reason for hi	s increas	se in electoral s	leis peac upport	anal popularity w in the years 1921 his use of propar	as an important 8-32 however other
reason for hi factor abo	s increased of property	se in electoral s significant roles ising different this	leis peac upport	anal popularity w in the years 1921 his use of propar	as an important 8-32 however other garda, the SAsi
reason for hi factor abo	s increa played o by promi	se in electoral s significant roles ising different this tan impact.	lers pear upport uch as l	onal popularity w in the years 1921 his use of propor effect individua	as an important 8-32 however other garda, the SAsi
reason for hi factor abo violence and l Street Grash	s increa played a cy promi also had Hitle	se in electoral s significant roles ising different this han impact.	lers pear upport uch as h ngs to d	in the years 1921 his use of propor liferent individual	as an important 8-32 however other garda, the SAs L groups. The Wou
reason for hi factor abo violence and l Street Grash	s increa played o by promi also had Hitle	se in electoral s significant roles ising different this han impact. I was very charis what to the public	less peace upport inch as h ngs to d matric o	onal popularity win the years 1921 his use of proportification and vidual	es an important 8-32 however other garda, the SAi A groups. The Wou public speaking me
reason for his factors also violence and I Street Growth him increasin	s increa played o by promi also had Hitle 8 by papi	se in electoral s significant roles ising different this tan impact. I was very charis ular to the public s someone untain	less peace upport uch as h ngs to d matric o	onal popularity win the years 1921 his use of propor ifferent individual and his still for proport saw him as a strong the failings of W	es an important 8-32 however other garda, the SAs L groups. The Wou public speaking me ng leader who care lennor. After his
reason for his factor also violence and l Street Grash him increasin for their inter acrest in 1923	s increa played of ey prom also had Hitle 8 by paper rests 8 or 2 the Ba	se in electoral s significant roles ising different this has impact. I was very charis what to the public is someone untain	less peace upport uch as h ngs to d matric o They s sted by n 1925	in the years 1921 his use of propor ifferent individual and his still for propor the failings of W he became income	es an important 8-32 however other ganda, the SAS L groups. The Wou public speaking me ng leader who care lennor. After his easingly hell-lunor
reason for his view to his vie	s increased a played a promote Hitle gly paper a the Barens and an analysis on a control of the Barens and an acceptance of the Barens and acceptance of the Barens an	se in electoral so is inguity cant role so ising different this has very charis what to the public someone untain mberg Conference including Cermany	less peace upport uch as h ngs to d matric of the by n 1925	in the years 1921 in the years 1921 in the years 1921 in the years 1921 ifferent individual ind his skill for p saw him as a strong the failings of W he became incomingly	es an important 8-32 however other garda, the SAs L groups. The Wou public speaking me ng leader who care lennor. After his
reason for his factor abo violence and l Street Grash him increasin for their inter acrest in 1923 due to his vii appeal that he	s increased a played a promote Hitle gly paper a the Barens and an analysis on a control of the Barens and an acceptance of the Barens and acceptance of the Barens an	se in electoral so is inguity cant role so ising different this has very charis what to the public someone untain mberg Conference including Cermany	less peace upport uch as h ngs to d matric of the by n 1925	in the years 1921 in the years 1921 in the years 1921 in the years 1921 ifferent individual ind his skill for p saw him as a strong the failings of W he became incomingly	es an important 8-32 however other ganda the SAs L groups The Wou public speaking me ng leader who care lennor After his easingly hell-linor popular. The perso
reason for his factor abo violence and l Street Crosh him increasin for their inter acrest in 1923 due to his vio	s increased a played a promote Hitle gly paper rests & as end on a held with the ball	se in electoral sing different this ising different this is an impact. I was very charis what to the public someone intoit making Conference including Cermany. The people dy	les peace upport uch as lead as lead by the description 1925 great a notice	in the years 1921 in the years 1921 in the years 1921 in use of propor ifferent individual and his still for p saw him as a short the failings of W he became inor gain increasingly onlibuted broard	es an important 8-32 however other ganda the SAs L groups The Wou public speaking me ng leader who care lennor After his easingly hell-linor popular. The perso

Technology played an important vole in Nori propaganda as not only were radios of londspeakers used to help pread Hiller's speecher but cars a areoplanes were used to transport thele giving him a more States man'time appearance. These forms of transport also allowed thele to travel all over remany to speak to individual groups which helped increase his electoral support. Another propaganda technique was that of mass singgestion. Hiller wanted to create an almost emotional aspect to his rallies so that everybody part of them felt an overwhelming sense of unity. That could be used to encourage the Masses to vote Nari. The used techniques such as uniforms symbols chants a torches to create this effect. Hitler also used propaganda to increase dectoral support by using scapegoats. He blamed groups like the 'November Crimnals' & the Community for Cernary's problems to not only weaken their pail call.

(This page is for your first answer.) Support but to appear as a leader who knew how to solve Germany's problems. Unding theres was also significant, especially in 1933 when Holler became Fibrer, because he used this to make him seem like a mestiah figure who had come to some Germany. By using these ideas that nearly all Germans would agree on such as increasing Germany's status & power he was able to appeal to the entire nation & therefore Migely increase his electoral support."

Hitler also used the SA or the Brownshirts to gain electoral support. Not and introduce of people to vote Nazi only did he we their violence to beat up apposition but he also often hade them create brands in the skeet which he could then use to gain support. For example after the March election of 1933 grais-root SA members took to the skeets, terrorizing the apposition & looking Jewish stores. Hitler then used this to asgue that the Wester 1958 to state growths governments could not control the situation which allowed him to destabilize further what was left of the Heinar Constitution whill at the same time increasing his own electoral support by seeming strong fin control.

The Wall Skeet (rash in 1929 also had an impact on Naz electoral support as the oldy Depression that followed left millions unemployed & pushed the public towards more extreme political posts. This helped the Nazis granted a support as they went from 12 seats in parliament in 1928 and after the crash in 1929 increased to 107. This proves that the far right-wing parties like the Nazis (a and fou-left as "communist party-keD-also increased votes) became more popular in 1929 from

Depression. This was particularly true of the Natus because their promoses of Workand Bread'skingly appealed to the millions of working class sterins whem played & the Natus electrical support from that sector of voters had increased to 75 by 1933.

(This page is for your first answer.) Hitler also inscreased electoral support with his policy of Wolfsgrown cansassing to where he offered different policies to different groups of people to the time for example whilst his fact right nahonalut ideals appealed to the timbers & anxiocals his policy of Vollegemein schaft appropriate electoral support from famers to there's extend promises to help them recover from the agricultural depression & give them a valued place in his 'people's community'. Middle dass, pritted stand vaters were also appealed to as Willer affect protection from Communism & would help small businesses by closing down Jesush department shores. As well as the separate electoral support he received from all these groups it also allowed him to appear as a man for all the people's thick boosted his appeal further.

In andusion I do think that Hitler's personal popularity was

Significant as it allowed him to create a relationship of Hust between him & the common citezes which was successful as the composition of the North was successful as the composition of the North was his use of propagated as it was so sophisticated & had so many varieties that it allowed him to gain electoral support from a huge number of different sections of society and raphases make him a leader who appealed to the masses.



This is a Level 4 response. It is well focused and shows an understanding of the key issues with some reference to the growth in electoral support. However, the given/highlighted factor is only briefly developed and so this leads to an imbalanced response and makes it difficult to evaluate its significance.



Always develop the given/highlighted factor with enough detail to be able to compare its significance to the other factors developed in the discussion.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer M. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🐺 and then put a cross in another box . **Chosen Question Number: Question 1** Question 2 Question 3 X **Question 4 Question 5** Question 6 **Question 7 Question 8** 3 Question 9 **Question 10 Question 11** Question 12 13 **Question 13 Question 14** (This page is for your first answer.) Personal Popularity for main factor why Hitler was a people admired his SKIII speaking, and believed him made promises to them. Hitler M For example, because of the great depression economic slump and Germony were unemplay promised more jobs for workes Wazi's He vote for deportment pia.

	the election
(This page is for your first answer.)	in \$10728, the Nozi's
to vote with 12 searts.	They only got 2.6% of However, by 1030 they
90t 113°1. of the vote	and had 170 seats

get more

With

was a

Mal

vote. Hitles popularity

which shows their success was building. However there wore many other Auctors Which made the West porty so popular. For example, Hitter was a big for of using He put up posters all are Germany appealing to everyone, eg, working men, Xomen, ex-servici month unemployed people. He also hood Alms made and cised the radio to get accoss Nazi messages. Hitler was also against communish, one so was a big majorely of Germony, so his pallies against them and day to Sdiffryst their meetings also made fu Wars party very Successful and gain much Support. The Mazi party also gublished their ain newpaper so German people caud read what the Nozi Pary Wented tum to read which also princed turn alet of Support. propagonda Kows a huge factor that To gain support for the party because it was a way of getting Now mexage to everyone by Radio (This page is for your first answer.) 41/m, Newspaper or paster. However, orater factor that morde the Nazis so popular was Hitlers hatred towards the Daws plan bernon people Saw the Daws plan as a behayal because having to pay reprotent back they were accepting the blame and which Herefore mant Gamony had no money Which lead to the Gest depression. Hitle

also saw the Dave plan as a betrayork and Planed to get rid of it which get the New party times of Support from the Germon people because after the Treaty of Versilles, everything was blammed on Germany & the people had had encych Ond the factor which made the Almi party more popular was bringing Jews out of the workforce Eventhaugh Dews are malle up only about 5% of Bernony: 6% of the doctors are Jewih and over 15% of Few were is high ronning just, which German people worod happy about as most were unemployed while Jews had high Paying Sts, St Hitles policy meaning Jens come act of work only got the love party

The Novi party also gained super because of New pergy Hitler worked to introduce For example, in orde to get a higher population. Hitler would award only motor who have 5 children with a medial, Also be gues to the women after every with. This look cray /4 of a yearly income, which made support rise for Nacis. Anoth policy which made the popular with women was knighty kun aut of the wontene and gies him beselfs. Have allough this garied them support, more women were readed the workforce at the fine of war.

Oreal 1 believe Stitlers popularly was
the main factor why the Novi porty was so
Successful between the years 1928-1932
because using propagancy and the promise
the made that all adoled to his
popularly from the bemen people.



This is a Level 3 response. It attempts analysis and has some understanding of the focus of the question. The response refers to the popularity of the Nazi party and its success with an implicit suggestion that this led to electoral success. The supporting evidence is adequate but is not always completely relevant or secure.



Using the key words and phrases in the question should lead to a more focused and relevant answer.

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer ⊠. Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩ and then put a cross in another box ⋈.

Chosen Questio	n Number:				
Question 1	\times	Question 2		Question 3	
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	×
Question 7	\boxtimes	Question 8	\times	Question 9	×
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	×
Question 13	X	Question 14	×		
(This page is for	your secor	nd answer.) .tbu	ntor	go you ag	ree that the
personal p	opwork	y of times	eow -	one main re	oson for the
increased	s rectoral	support fo	r the N	azı parcy in	the years love-
19 327		minintalisisisisi kalendari kanada	diservation in the Constitution	municionaminimiconimini	
Pian	iii (ii) ii o diiiiii ii ii)				ninganium ainn ain päätäinänteeti nin ain tiitiin mis
Personal	popula	ricy - cr	Jorosa	ronde buse	riseo co recura
employme	n-an	D-Servorien	√ L c	moured be	scance he blorked
on fear e	t comi	nuinem - so	io ne	sterd epen	for people
MOSI VOCA	. 1 93 50	107 101	332	230	
Paristra	ברו ופיבו	Pro	D. Y.C.1 O.D.	a) agut -	Muler- deddloo
1 .			@C	enemic	هوی در واق
	93	- mun cro	<u></u>		

Facologic	erisis	- Left De	sobre r	Surserves .	formers-1924
There	tpsoro o	y co crew.	De	me Joung	Pan-to
dear with	14 -0	HIERE DON	+ 0f r	varional app	69 - 9 eures
S	PR 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	nii (irii irii minnii mirii	(i rineminado)	ozakénin norremozékini nekrapakini	
(This reserves to 6		-1		1000 = 1	000 1000
					082 bilturs
1 '	•				ter increased
enboart	for t	ne Nazi pou	بحد. ۱	However t	ne pouriou
Structur	e of th	ne Werman	RODU	Disc 2010	the economic

Party

Darry

HITIERS personal popularity was one of the main reasons for the increased electoral support of the party. The most Appaganda pictures Hituras a charesmatic leader, a leader and beginssy to regno membrahisent and manted so voto the people. This increasing the NOW york because the economic croch of 1929 had up people vimerable open to in Francisco Si entre trammado a vivinte ano capação a contacto a anto we umuse to upos none are now bonen donned than 1230 to 1932, in 1930 the NO21 Party now 107 voices, by 1932 they had an exernateoring 230 votos, therefore Hither was responsible for the increased support for the was party. Further more supported by the fact that HILLE was able to Play of the Deobes feat of communion, he used the SA to beat up the Jews who were damped for the Theory of Vescius in 1919, but syling into his anti-semetic ideology. The fear of communism that controlled the German nation made it easy for there to tap into the German physique monder to goin increded support forthe MOSI PORTY Corpequently Hiter's chargematic anti-communist personality 40 to his res to power in 1988 as a result of

(This page is for your second answer.) increased. Note Support.

The economic cross of 1920 also ensured increasing support
for the Novi Party. The economic cross life many feeling
unwanted and rejected apecifically. Formers who had already
been hit by high interest rates and faving agricultural prices.
Melberaid formers benefit from the unemployment benefit!

of 1924. Consequently the formers became the Novi Party's

CONGREDOUDED TO SEE OF OLLOWOOD HIELD TO MONDELLOW SNAM INCO NOTING FOR THE GLICE TO THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINCIPLE VICTOR OF THE VIC In those who were affected by the A29 sometic ones Furnamore, the NOSI Party area found an increase in verse from the national opposition, stressemen's foreign paicy, in particular the Young Plan, 1929, armed to examine reparation payments which enemed the weimar Republic exability As a result of the Lasa scoveric cuers are found transmic with inpular appasition from German's was believed the young Plan exposed the fundamental macknesses of are Meimar REDUCIO HITTER CONDEMNED THE YOUR PLAN WHICH gained the NOSI Porty mosses of Support because 1929 - 1932 Therefore the economic cross of 1929 exposed the flans of on helman Prepublic in which Hitzer was abre to manipulate in ardento gran increased support for the Mazi party. The portional scructure of the Welmar Republic also ensured increased numbers of support for the Masi Party. The provisional

(This page is for your second answer.) GIOVERTIMENT OF THILLIER CONDESSO CHUE TO SEED THE THE DUP OFFICE OF THE MADILITY TO A GETTER TO MADILITY TO A GETTER THE ADDRESS OF THE MADILITY TO A GETTER THE ADDRESS OF THE MADILITY TO A GETTER THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF

BOTO SO IN NOTE OF US CONTROL OF SO SONE HOUSE Brusing's dicision to use one fresident's emergency powers to pull or pir in orthorn censes borracos apercebaccios The German people felt nostile towards the government as they went seen as acong in a democratic way; merepore MOKING THIM HE LOOK TO YOUR FOT ALLEMOSTURY YEN OF CHE NOU DOUTY TRETEFORE Chis RUSHISHIS CHAT THE DOUBLES STUCTURE OF the LEMAN REPUBLIC, IN FOUND TO DEOU WITH THE economic crisis, made people was confidence in the dismocratic System This resulted in to an increased support for the Novi forty as titler proposed deads that were to be impromoted in order to dear with the aftermath of the sconomic estas - which or malwar botropic way somed to go. (This page is for your second answer.) In soncusion, 1.00, agree the bersonal population of there was one of the main reasons for the judicasa succolar subsoll for the Masi Youth in the Reals 1928-1935 or the appeared to the German teophe in or time of aleccontenement somere occurance Hamoner I pod to grobber that the be so the base worn used be control or over the based encount of the secondary current of 1929 and the political structure of an historial Bedrails Shaped are vales for the MOSI PORTY OF A REMIT OF THE MEIMOUT PREPUBLICS MODILITY FO gran man pur soon owie cuise of 1858



This is a lower Level 4 response. It is well focused on the question and understands the key issues involved in the growth of electoral support but at times drifts into a discussion of the rise to power. The given factor is developed with several other factors and connections between them are made but not clearly explained. The conclusion asserts that the economic crisis of 1929 and the political structure of the Weimar Republic are more influential on the growth in support but this has not been convincingly argued in the main body of the response.



The beginning of each paragraph here states that the factor contributed to the increased electoral support. However, to make the conclusion stand up try to explain the relative significance of each factor or to connect the previous factor in the opening line of the paragraph.

Question 14

This was the less popular question but those who did choose to answer this question often had a good understanding of the events/factors influencing the defeat of Germany. Most candidates were able to explain a variety of factors which led to the defeat. Most centres are also obviously aware that an overview of the impact of the military campaigns is required rather than in-depth knowledge and there were some excellent summative paragraphs concerning the events of the war. The best answers were able to weigh up the contribution of the impact of Allied bombing in relation to other factors and also to show some understanding of change over time. However, a significant number of answers appeared to be 'stock' answers rearranged to fit the given factor named in the question and so failed to create a discussion. Too many answers briefly explained the bombings and launched into a discussion of Hitler's miscalculation and errors or the failed economic policies of the 1930s. There were though a number of interesting and well-written answers that inter-linked the various causes and painted a picture of the chaos of Germany in later years of the war.

Chosen Question	on Numb	er:			
Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	×	Question 3	\boxtimes
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	
Question 7	×	Question 8		Question 9	
Question 10		Question 11	×	Question 12	⊠
Question 13	×	Question 14	\bowtie		
Hithe's r	nistak	es - war on his ger troops	3 pro evals por (nts, under min , didn't ade Operation To	
Hithe's r	nistak	es - war on his ger troops	3 pro evals por (nts, under min , didn't ade Operation P - significant	ed the ordes quately prepa
Hitter's r	nistak Nors o	es - war on his ger troops of Allied	3 pro wals for (troops	nts, under min , didn't ada Operation To - significant guns hadn't rec	ed the ordes quately preparately achieversa achieversa achieversa
Hitter's r	nistak Nors o	es - war on his ger troops of Allied	3 pro evals for (froops	nts under min , didn't ade Devation P - significant guns hadn't red before docta	ed the ordes quately preparately advances, ne
Hitter's r	nistak Nors o	es - war on his ger troops of Allied	3 pro wals for (troops	nts underning didn't ade Devation Peration Perat	led the order quately preparation of war economies,
Hitter's r	nistak Nors o	es - war on his ger troops of Allied	3 pro wals for (troops	nts underning didn't ade Devation Peration Perat	ed the ordes quately preparately advances, ne

(This page is for your first answer.) Deceat of Gemany in the Second World War was due to the impact of Allied bombing as it severely hampered industrial production and lowered the morate of the German population. However, it was also due to the effectiveness of Allied troops and leadership, Germany's lack of resources and Hitle's mistakes. Defeat of Germany was due to the impact of Allied bombing as the Allies' attacks were targeted specifically of industry. During their raids which occurred both day and night, they paid special attention to Germany's industrial plants in order to limit the row materials and annunition that would reach the troops at the pont. In this they were successful and Germany suffered from a serious lack of resources during the later years of the war which made it almost impossible for them to compete with the better equipped Allies. The bombings also lowered the morale of the people back home as whole cities were devostated. This led to increasing apposition to the war at home and aportry. Thus the bombing was responsible as it meant that Germany was swiftly and swely lossing the vital industry that it needed to keep its troops at war. Mowere, the effectiveness of the Allied troops at the pont also played a part in the depent of Germany They were well-trained and under the

of men who would not give up and who came up with more and more daving raids against the Germans. Germany had steadily been gaining ground in Egypt, but under the control of Montgomery, the Allies managed to push them back and recapture El Armein. It similar story also played out in the USSR and the Germans were forced to retreat due to the superiority of men and gurs of the Red Army. Thus Germany was defeated because she couldn't match the skill and numbers of the Allied forces.

Germany was defeated in the Second World War due to he lack of economic resources. Before the war Hitler embalked on a policy of Autalky, with the aim of getting Germany ready for a start of total war. However, he was unsuccessful and Germany entred the war without full economic self-sufficiency. This meant that she did not have the necessary resources to wage war on three of the most successful economier in the world. The Not only was the USA self-sufficient-something which Hitler had been desperately striving for-it was also able to provide aid to the other Allies. The total This was something which Hitler could not provide his allies and so when the Allies began taking back the lands Hitler invaded, he could not afford to send more

(This page is for your first answer.) troops or amnunition to secure.

Therefore Germany was defeated as its economy could not cope with the strains of was.

However, Hitler himself should also be held accountable for Germany's depeat as he made a lot of mistakes that ultimately led to Germany being able to effectively combat Allied advances. The first fatal mistake Hitler made was invading the WSR and beginning a war on three pronts. Not only was the economy not stable enough to supply good and weapons to troops all therway across the globe, the very distance between the battlegrounds made it easier for convoys to be intercepted and harder to send back up troops over if teritory was being threatened. In short, Germany last because Hitle had ease engineered it so that instead of pighting one war, Germany was now fighting three. Moreover, he also undermined his generals in order to achieve a compromise that would suit them both and instead weakened both ideas. He was not militarily astute and this led to Gemany's defeat as he did not listen to his advisors and determined the direction of battle himself.

Ormany's depeat as it reduced the lowered morale and described destroyed a lot of German industry,

(This page is for your first answer.) which meant that it was unable completely destroy doubled amidst production actually was three war on both troops too thin. was Was troops who were is acrass stahoned



This is a Level 4 response. It is well-focused and shows a clear understanding of some of the key issues. It develops both the given/highlighted factor and the other factors while beginning to evaluate. The opening sentences of the paragraphs do begin to create a discussion but this is not sustained. The analysis is well reasoned but not always supported and, although there is a sense of chronology, the argument put forward requires more specific reference to when events happened.



This response clearly attempts to create a discussion. However, if each of the paragraphs had been organised in the order they were established in the conclusion then this would have become a sustained argument.

This is another example of a response to Question 14.

Chosen Question	on Numb	er:			
Question 1	23	Question 2	33	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	<u></u>
Question 7	\boxtimes	Question 8		Question 9	
Question 10		Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	23
Question 13	×	Question 14	\boxtimes		
(This page is fo	r your sed	cond answer.)		nightana spéalaid an ta theanaidtiú (1), a the	***************************************
There	men	e many n	lasas	as to	Why Berman
		In the			•
Which as	25 PL	la alied De	mbin	1 MIMPAIN	- Rottenan
		he alied be			
great Bro	tion 1	(GB) & 145710	a lus	SR) thu ma	ingect be
gwal Br	tion 1	(GB) & 145710 Domb ferma	us. us. u	SR) thu ma SIR would	inagect be bomb by de
great Bro Continuou V GB	tion l dy l by n	(GB) & 145710 bomb ferma Light . Thus	a (us: near	sk) thy ma ssk wowld t Germany	nagect be bomb by da y was const
gnal Bri antinuou V GB urder at	tion I ply I by M tack	(GB) & 145710 bomb fermal light. This and faire	a (us: ny, u mean d u	SR) Phy mo SSR would I Germany hard te	inagect be bomb by do y was const fight back
gnal Bri antinuou V GB urder at	tion I ply I by M tack	(GB) & 145710 bomb fermal light. This and faire	a (us: ny, u mean d u	SR) Phy mo SSR would I Germany hard te	nagect be bomb by da y was const
great Bro continuou V GB urder at many o	tion l by h tack	(GB) & 145710 Domb Ferman Light. This and fairs Imany's (G)	a (us: near d u	SR) Phy mo SSR would I bernany hard te wtral too	tragecl be bomb by do f was consti fight back ins were bom
great Brown	tion less by took	temb ferman Light. This and faux ermany's (G)	us (us; near d ut unifac	SR) thu mo SSR would I bernand hard te totral too Luring heo	tragecl be bomb by ole fools consti fight back uns were bor al quatros
great Brown	tion less by took 1 66 2 07	temb formations of the second formations formations for the second formation of the second formation o	us (us; near d ut incli unif ac try s	SR) thu mo SSR would Fernance hard te cotral too thung heo meduald	tragecl be bomb by old fight back ins were bor and quatres them in place
great Brown	tion less by notes to of of or of of of of of one of wood	temb fermand sight. Thus and found for the would to	a (us: near near not b	SR) Phy ma SSR would thereof to borbed. bombed.	bomb by do fight back ins were bom dol quatres Chem in place
great Bri antinuou. V GB under at many of and mo so Gern they below	tion less to the second	temb fermany ight. This and fairs rmany's (G) thad to would to	mean white and a control with the contro	SR) Phy ma SSR would thereof to churing hea nebuild in e bombed.	tragecl be tragecl be bomb by do the trace tragecl be trace
great Bri continuous N GB under at many of and mo So Germ they below material	tion less by took	comb fermandight. This and faux many's (G) thad to would to so get they be for the so get they for the soul of the so get they for the soul of the so	mean war	SR would hard to borbed. I hard to borbed. I wany be way be way be borbed.	bamb by do bamb by do funs const. fight back and quatros chem in place to keep up u
great Brown and many of and more so Germany below they below the vast	tion less in the second	comb formations of the many's (G) thad to would resonance of the many's formany to the many to the many to the many to the machine of the ma	mean mean mean mean mode	SR would hard to hard to hard to how hard to hard had hard had had hard hard hard ha	tragecl be tragecl be bomb by do the trace tragecl be trace

(This page is for your second answer.) . economies . A. the world. Germany was at Snother reason why Germany lost the wor may be down to the fact they were also 'the games' 'catrumbered' The population a germany could not out usigh the amount of people in the army or navey or british & from usor. Germany was lacking in amount of people. in the last 4 months of war they look more authors than they had in the privios 2 years. they lost 3 million bermans all bogether during the war. Nearing the end of the war BBd his allies were controlling the seas leaving beimany with no way of transporting food a raw materials. The german peoples times turning standards dropped dramaticly, many Bermans had no heating and wore enting below 1000 collores a day, There was overcrowding in warm places of everything was rationed like food, clothing, consumer goods. Germanys depart in world war 2 could be down to several factors another one of which is BlitZkneig [hightning war) due to germany lack of weapons & skilled sildier. it looked like germany was sure le Coose, a major factor behind the defeat of world war 2 could be down to Hitler continued mislata V moscalculations. One of the most series was the failure of the schieffen plan. Hitler believed that he

(This page is for your second answer.) and defeat Russia fast moup through Belgium or altack france. This failed as Russia gathered their troops fast and put up a good fight and Germany was left to fight a war on 2 fronts. Germany also clidn't expect Biction to declare our so fast of Germany and they were underprepared. Germany did not expect the war to last as long as it did & they work under prepared in terms of weapons it soldier. They ded not the ger expect was to enter so qued & with the 3 most powerful countries germany stock no chanco The Westyle of the German people was appauling it was in arrivally and many people were starting no exportations could come in due to alles controlling the sees. Mitter and Germans conclucting the was had be think of the auitian The picpaganda Usey may have been a reason for the defeat of Germany in world were 2 as many fremans had no idea they were loosing and even generals believed grottelles propaganda, it dissammed them to think they were stronger & more parerfull then they acknowly and. alrall I believe alred bombung campaigns did have consifer hup the defeat of Germany but I believe the combination of Hotlers

This page is for your second answer.) MISTARES and moderations

make it apparent that bermany just want to and the moderation of the second of



This is a Level 3 response. It attempts analysis and has an understanding of the focus with reference to some of the key issues. However, the organisation of the material is weak, there is little real awareness of change over time and the supporting material is insecure.

Paper Summary

Centres might consider the following ways in which future performance might be improved.

- Candidates must answer the question set, not the one they were hoping for.
- The whole chronological range given in the question should be covered.
- Candidates should be familiar with, and comfortable with, historical words and phrases appropriate to the course of study.
- Candidates should study the factors relevant to an aspect of their course as a set rather than as a number of disconnected factors; and their relative importance could be weighed up accordingly.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u>
Order Code US032404 June 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





