



Examiners' Report January 2011

GCE History 6HI01 F





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can contact our History Subject Advisor directly by sending an email to Mark Battye on <u>HistorySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</u>.

You can also telephone 0844 576 0034 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

January 2011

Publications Code US026439

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2011

Introduction

General Comments

As in previous examination sessions the candidates for Option E were, in general, very well prepared for each Topic studied. Candidates are increasingly aware of the need to answer the question asked rather than write about the question themes in general but there is still some need to focus more on the key words. Supporting evidence is generally secure but candidates often do not select the most relevant or appropriate material, and there is still a disappointing lack of chronological awareness and an apparent lack of confidence in using dates. At the lower Levels, however, there was a tendency towards the description and explanation more appropriate to GCSE than has been seen before.

The scripts reflected the full range of levels. Most students wrote between three and five pages for each answer, though a small number were unable to write more than a few Level 1 sentences or paragraphs. The overwhelming majority of students followed instructions, writing their answers on the appropriate pages and indicating the question number in the appropriate box. Legibility was generally not an issue, and, where it was, this seems to have been about the colour and type of pen used.

Students understood the questions but some key words and phrases were misread, misunderstood or misinterpreted. Some candidates found difficulties with focussing directly on 'economic grievances' in Question 1 and 'political problems' in Question 5 apparently assuming that the question referred to grievances and problems in general. As has been commented on before, many candidates find it difficult to discriminate between political, economic and social factors or themes. Responses to the very popular Question 6, in particular, often failed to focus on the key phrase of 'growing power' assuming that the question referred to growing support.

Most candidates were able to identify and evaluate at least some key points. However, some scripts, especially for questions 7, 9 and 11/14, were conspicuous by an absence of appropriately selected factual evidence. In such scripts, worthy points were often supported by very thin detail and candidates of often resorted to repetition. As suggested above, however, there were more Level 3 scripts this session with a tendency towards adequate narrative supported by brief commentary. This was particularly so in the case of Question 13 where Gustav Stresemann's contribution was described and commented on rather than analysed.

Some responses, although showing obvious evidence of knowledge, could only access Level 3 and in many cases Level 2, particularly Question 7, because of a misreading of the time period referred to in the question. For Question 1 some responses focused on the whole revolutionary period up to 1848-9, some responses to Question 3 assumed an end date of 1871, many Question 6 responses only focused on events up until 1922 and there were still problems, as noted in the summer, with knowledge of the dates and chronology of World War II in Question 14. The most significant misreading of dates, however, occurred in Question 7 where a significant number of candidates appeared to ignore the dates 1931-36 completely in favour of a discussion of the event of the Spanish Civil War.

Future candidates might consider whether a generalised 'prepared' opening is an effective way to focus on the question set with only 35 minutes available to plan and write a response. A large number of answers began by repeating the question set, prefacing this with 'To a certain extent I agree that...'. Others declared that 'many historians' agreed with the point made in the question, but were never able to substantiate their claim. Better answers avoided these approaches, producing their own opening statement which usually suggested individual confidence and a personal viewpoint.

It is highly recommended that centres read the reports and exemplification documents produced for the other Options available in 6HI01. Please note: the majority of the exemplification scripts related to this paper are to be found in the exemplification document for Option E.

F1 The Road to Unification: Italy, c1815-70

Once again this was a popular and well-taught Topic. Although there were more descriptive style Level 3 answers than have been seen in past sessions, candidates have very good knowledge and are well-prepared to answer questions on all aspects of the unification process.

Question 1

This was attempted by relatively few candidates. The best answers were able to refer to both the general economic grievances which developed in Italy during the 1840s and more specific references to the situation in Lombardy, Venetia and the Kingdom of the Two Sicilies; these were then balanced against other relevant factors usually with some consideration of the different causes in different parts of Italy. Some candidates, however, wrote about the causes of Italian revolutions in general or wrote weak narratives of the events of 1848-9.

Question 2

This was by far the most popular question and was, in general, answered very well indeed with a secure focus on the extent to which France was an obstacle to the unification process. Even Level 3 narrative responses showed a sound knowledge of events and were able to access the higher bands. Most candidates were able to identify examples of both help and hindrance to create a well-balanced answer. However, many responses chose to concentrate on the middle years of the time-period with only a brief reference to the events in Rome of 1849 and a cursory mention of the withdrawal of troops in 1870. There was no requirement to refer to other significant obstacles to Italian unification but many were able to compare effectively. Too many candidates wrote whole essays referring to the 'obsticles' to Italian unification.

F2 The Unification of Germany, 1848-90

As with Italian Unification this is a popular and well-taught topic to which most candidates bring detailed knowledge. There were, again, more descriptive answers than have been seen in the past but the knowledge was usually secure and able to reach Level 3. Candidates show increasing awareness of the inter-relatedness of the key factors involved in the process of German unification.

Question 3

Very few candidates attempted this question. A few candidates misread the end date of the question leading to poor responses but several candidates produced analytical and well thought out answers which were interesting to read and achieved the higher Levels. There was some good discussion of the concept of 'progress' and the extent to which the revolutionary years created the foundation for future events.

Question 4

This was overwhelmingly the most popular question for this topic. There were some narrative-led responses and a significant minority which mentioned the three significant wars briefly but failed to discuss their contribution. It is vital in teaching both 'unification' topics that the chronology of events is made clear, as weaker candidates often make valid analytical and explanatory points but confuse the events. Many responses showed a very good understanding of how the different factors influencing the unification were inter-related. The best were able to suggest, with supporting evidence, that the military success of Prussia was only possible through its economic superiority over Austria combined with the diplomatic machinations of Bismarck. However, many responses were unable to move out of Level 4 because of the generalised and, often assertive, nature of the comments about the way in which factors were inter-related. It is not enough to say that there was no 'blood shed without iron' there needs to be supporting evidence focused on the comparative significance of the given factor. The very best answers also referred to the specific territorial and political gains made at each point of the unification process, for example, the creation of the North German Confederation in the wake of Austrian defeat.

F3 The Collapse of the Liberal State and the Triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896-1943

This is a very popular topic which results in responses reflecting the whole range of levels available. It has been a pleasure to see candidates increasingly willing, able and confident enough to tackle questions on the 1896-1914/5 period; it is now only rarely that responses to these questions focus on Mussolini. Many candidates do, however, continue to make insecure statements about the number of Prime Ministers who governed Italy over this period. Many of the textbooks refer to the period from 1870-1914/15 as whole and it may be necessary to identify specifically the situation in 1896 at the beginning of the course rather than refer to general statements about the post-unification Liberal State.

Question 5

Although not as popular as Question 6, a considerable number of responses were answered on the pressing political problems of Liberal Italy. Most candidates were able to identify the general, if not specifically political, problems facing Italy in the 1890s and to describe and comment on the response of Liberal government or to comment on the situation in 1914. However, fewer were able to combine these together to create an evaluation of the extent to which the problems had been solved. The best answers were able to focus on political problems such as the lack of representation, influence of the elites, challenges of nationalism and the consequent rise in socialism, determine the extent to which governments, particularly those of Giolitti, were able to deal with them and reach a judgement as to the situation in 1914 as Italy decided whether, and on whose side, to go to war.

F4 Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931-75

This is an increasingly popular topic within centres and candidates are becoming more confident in writing about very complex situations. However, there is still a tendency for many of the weaker responses to assume that every question set on the topic that refers to the period before 1939 is about the Spanish Civil War itself. Many candidates clearly find it, understandably to some extent, difficult to distinguish between republican government in the years before the outbreak of the war and Republican forces during it. This is a topic for which a strong chronological foundation needs to be created and those candidates who do master it produce some very interesting and often thought provoking answers.

Question 7

This question gave the candidates the opportunity to discuss the long term opposition to Republican government by elements of the army and the dissatisfaction with the Popular Front government in 1936 which led to the rebellion in July in relative comparison to other factors. Although the early months of the Civil War have some relevance to the question the time period specified was that of 1931-36 and it was focus on this period which was rewarded within the mark scheme. A significant number of candidates did not recognise the key themes in the question at all except as a simple Republican versus Nationalist battle and focused wholly on the Spanish Civil War itself. Responses in which there was little recognition of the period before 1936 often only achieved Level 1 or Level 2 whilst a few were written in such a way as to achieve low Level 3. Candidates who did focus on the years 1931-36 often wrote generalised answers with much detail about church reforms and political in-fighting but little about the opposition of the army except the dislike of the initial army reforms. There were, however, also a significant number of very good scripts at Level 4 and above that were able to deal admirably with not only the complexity of the chronology but gave detailed information in supporting evidence. Some responses suggested that an underlying distrust of republican government was evident throughout the period, and that pro-republican politicians did attempt to divide and rule the army hierarchy, but despite spreading them far and wide geographically significant army generals were able to use this to their advantage in the planning to overthrow the Republic in the spring/ summer of 1936.

Question 8

This question was designed to allow a discussion of the situation in which Franco left Spain on the occasion of his death and the extent to which the 'nature of Franco's provision for a successor' and the 'role of Juan Carlos to 1975', as stated in the content clarification, was a success. Dealing with the very end of the topic this was focused on a very specific period of time but it was expected that candidates would deal with this by a consideration of Franco's legacy and why a monarchy was acceptable to Spain at the time. This was exactly how the small number of candidates who attempted the question responded to it; the majority of responses clearly met the requirements of the mark scheme. It did not require a detailed description of the events following Franco's death or a need to question the extent to which it was 'so successful'. However, any candidates who were able to use this in their analysis were clearly rewarded. Most candidates were able to give a variety of relevant and, often very interesting reasons, as to why they felt the immediate transition to monarchy was so successful; there was a clear sense of the nature of Franco's legacy, the traditional role of the monarchy in Spain and the popular need for a new style of government. The responses were differentiated mainly through the amount of explanation, development and supporting evidence candidates were able to provide for their analysis.

F5 Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945-91

This topic had very few entrants and the responses were either very secure in their knowledge or understanding or very weak descriptive answers.

Question 9

Very few responses covered the whole of the time period involved. The best answers were able to show how the influence of the USSR both in political and economics changed over time and that East German influences were also very significant in themselves. Most answers were limited to Level 3 as they focused almost wholly on the period from 1949 to 1961 or referred to the period from 1945 through to the 1950s.

Question 10

There were even fewer responses to this question and those candidates who did respond tended to concentration not on the period of re-unification but on the downfall of the East German government. A few very good answers were able to compare the role of Helmut Kohl with external influences in the international community.

This is an examples of a low Level 5 response that shows direct understanding of the focus of the question, very good coverage of the time period required and compares with other factors.

(This page is for your second anguer)
(This page is for your second answer.)
USP Plan
Minal myerperena - COMECON - COMINECIRM
250/0 OF Spellonich
Staling Inc
Collectivisation Walson Pack
Emigravion
Building of well - tradepartner.
- Garbachev's refams
(nremai - Ulbisanr colleannionan - failmara
MONE WIE OF FOLLOWING
- 1970 Moneiner
- 197
excernal - 1973 oil qu'in - emignerica
FRG:
(This page is for your second answer.) The UDDR was a significan
Pachac Ku jullarurius Non general ment at
the GD'R's economic and polivical & espiron
to a certain extent However the USSR's
intluence wer not the only impaired focker
Inrunally politraion, within me COR
devermented development and content yearor,
also dictored certain development.



This is a clear introduction which focuses on the question and suggests a variety of factors. However, it could have been made more relevant with some reference to the significance of the beginning and end dates of the question, briefly putting the influence of the Soviet Union into some context with some evaluation of the extent of influence.

One way in which My Jourex Union influenced the GOR in my years 1949-90 was Mouch the eccentar of COMERCON and in run COMINFORM in 1945-50. These two policies tred na GOR to the Davier Union took economically and politically. Following rea inviodución of their two polícies the GOR had they timey become perr of the Eastern Blackand mence it is a reason why the GOR's economic and political development was due to the lavier union as the GDR had now bein isolared wine the west as well es inregered its economy with the UJJR Anomer reason uny the obserto USJR significantly influenced Nie

(This page is for your second answer.) development of Ruce The GOR politically and economically was The confinction of industry in 1945 and the Bimplementation of Skymon Themes, the Following we end of he ALD . & war he Sovier Union yell justified vo e tone 75 % at Ga Easy Games andwiring as i'r had lair 24 million men during he war the the process of democranianon in the East was also WECKATO POLICE IMPLEMENTED COMMUNAL ruice and society was to be conformed to rollow socialist rules. This is a ciaran way me UDD was lighty rearry snymmal as the postorican eguires Sacrelijk polivical system Mar Neusse inricanced in East Gilmony dominared Ecir German Politics oil he way up ro 19890. Also the GDR pailed to develop economically - following conficency Ly UDIR and It is a reason why My GOR or ruggerd knowledger its extorered Anormy reason why ru Ulik was inklummer wer me to building of the Belles Well in 1961 This propped The heamarchage of shilled labour and

(This page is for your second answer.) people in Serecel ycom me GOR tank From 1950 - 61 the GOR's population went from 18.5 million to 17. 4 million. The Wall eased relation, berneen superponer ond made people winin me GOR accept like within The GOR This is a reason why the UDDR WED SAXWEAKIEL ED BE PERSONNEL ED a consequence the GDR was able to concentione on economic development and and corructing. However me wall also separated remilies and so initially thire wer a cop increased appearings to ne GOR soveramen, one me reconvery Proprier Most imparrantly rue USSR Were significantlyinglyingial on Me development of Me GOR polinically and Socrelly as was Colbechevi repoin in 1985 as Glesnoss and Peressioine There rivorms called for economic restruction and Freedom of specch, free ciections etc. 76% This Da viena ung hu toller UNR were inxhumial as Golbacheur, reyons, gave more salvapay allos ay whom were unappy n m GDR to express mor

(This page is for your second answer.) opposition to the GOD greatly increased, Also yoursong mes Gorbacher visited me CDe an nue 6 October and sard may be would not help M GDR economically. This person smooned he park to reunification and not turn despensed the concinic coisis of ne GOR Despite muse there tapte example, of he GOB's minures here were and orner inverses and exercises influences mar influence or development of he GDR One such injudence being Ulbriant WI and Florecker Ulbrich was Me leader of the DED in the early years and eleaned Me paris has sacretism in the GOR Ulbrichy was key yor diviliance the SED's dominence and in my & made the GOR into a 'one pary ware'. Ulbrichy also craves the Stari in 1950 one tous collectivisanon which led to the 1953 uprising & Way UtBrieby Si & Rocking any Honecker demonstrance vo pauli in 19871 and introduced a

(This page is for your second answer.) palicy for Sucrative and economic unity which provided me bally to me GDQ's economic development and meany may iv was a te-m mary economic city juccentral work in hid Ecorera 18100 · Ulbricht and Morecher & are born reasons why the UDDR warre novasignificany influencial packer on my played decriping LOIK) IN AFREIGDING SOCIETI'M and ME ECONOMY end it was Manecher's reluctioned to report may convibured to me CDR's collapse in 1990 Finally, of the OU GOR La, m idea Mer me UDR voice significant *Aximania, becraf The inreadments of the Mermon plan in 1947 Means mos he FRC developed muse conomically much parter man he GDR and conveibured ro man emission. Also the FRG had Inric German reade with the GDR Dalla 1. org GDR', comomic Sycingth Overall, despite m Usia having beins Day influencial over he revelopment of tu GDR by rumplementation of Jairlim ere, exercinal and internal vectors were

(This page is for your second answer.) MULL MOLE DIGNIFICANY

GACKOCI OCUCLOPED ME FRC POLITICALLY

AND SOCIALLY SIGNIFICANTY AND CUMP

SUCH ON ME OIL GENIN OF 1973 AND ME

OPINING OF HUNGRY'S POIDERTS OF WELL OF

OF FRC LED TO ME OND OF ME GRA

AND SCIENT CONCENTS, and PULLYCOL



Whilst not a necessarily well developed introduction it does explicitly state how the response will be developed and is clearly focused on the question asked with reference to more than one factor. The first paragraph is focused on an aspect of Soviet influenced - clearly engaging with the given factor. This is followed by further development of the given factor with reference to events across the time period with some reference to the USSR's attempt to influence events towards the end of the period. The answer then goes on to develop two alternative strands of influence - the leadership of the GDR over the time period and the influence of the FRG - which is described as an external factor. The response suggests that these may have been of greater significance towards the end of the period. Although the development of the Soviet influence may have led to a less clear development of the other factors there is an explicit understanding of the key issues and enough evaluation to warrant a low Level 5 mark. In particular, the whole period is given coverage.

F7 The Middle East, 1945-2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalism

A small but significant number of centres enter for this topic. Candidates invariably attempt questions set which cover the time period before 1979. Those candidates who attempt to address the later period often produce responses with weak analysis and detail and are often confused chronologically. A significant number of candidates appear to mistake Iran and Iraq for each other. Centres should be aware that the nature of the specification is such that questions can be asked across the whole time period and across time periods covered by individual bullet points; a lack of preparation for the period after 1979 could result in candidates being unable to meet the high Level 4 and Level 5 requirements of the mark scheme.

Question 11

This was the most popular of the two questions. Although a chronological analytical approach can result in high Level answers, with the number of wars and the time-scale needed to be covered candidates who write such responses often run out of time; a thematic approach using the discussion of different factors is usually more effective. Most candidates were able to discuss the effects and influence of foreign intervention with the very best responses showing a clear understanding of the changing circumstances over time. However, some of the weaker answers became confused between outcome and causation.

Question 12

There were very few responses indeed. Those that did attempted to show how Iraqi actions were connected to different events in the Gulf between 1979-2001 with references to the Iran-Iraq war, the invasion of Kuwait and the resultant Islamist response to the western presence in the Gulf region.

F7 From Second Reich to Third Reich: Germany, 1918-45

The vast majority of candidates entered for Option F study Topic F7 and one other Topic. As a result the scripts reflect ability at all Levels. Candidates appear to have a good general knowledge of the period but often need to provide more relevant and detailed supporting evidence to justify the analytical and explanatory statements made. There were a large number of scripts that failed to achieve above Level 3 with a tendency towards description and assertion rather than analysis and discussion. The very best scripts, however, provide balanced analysis and an acute awareness of change over time. Once again there is still evidence that candidate knowledge of the fourth bullet point in the specification, which covers Germany during World War II, is very insecure resulting obvious inaccuracies which can only place responses in Level 2.

Question 13

This was by far the most popular question. Most candidates were able to outline or describe some of Stresemann's contributions and were aware of his significance in the 'golden years'. However, many of the response appeared to be more in the style of GCSE responses and, in particular, there seemed to be a significant number of scripts which did not attempt to balance his responsibility with anything more than a few references to the underlying economic problems which his policies may have caused. There was very little discussion of alternative reasons for Weimar's years of stability. At AS level also candidates should show be able more knowledge of Stresemann's political offices moving from Chancellor to Foreign Minister. A large number of candidates seemed to be under the impression that Stresemann was responsible for the social welfare schemes introduced in Weimar Germany in the later 1920s. As in previous sessions some candidates are still confused as to when hyperinflation occurred and when unemployment began to grown. The very best responses were able to evaluate with confidence, and security of knowledge, Stresemann's contribution in both economics and diplomacy and balancing it with the underlying issues that his policies in turn created and other factors that helped to bring security.

Question 14

There were very few responses to this question. Many of the responses appeared to be confused as to the time period involved and many evaluated the success of Nazi economic policies up to the outbreak of war. Some candidates were able to discuss a variety of factors which contributed to the defeat of Germany but they were often imbalanced with references mainly to economic problems with a brief mention of other factors or vice versa. The chronology of the war was often confused and there was some assumption that the 4 Year Plan began during the war itself. A very small number of responses confused the Second World War with the First World War. The best answers were able to show how although apparently organised to go to war in 1939 the German economy was not able to cope with the requirements of 'guns and butter' and that German war production was slow to adapt. Once 'total war' policies did begin to gather pace the growing competitive edge of Germany's enemies and other factors put Germany in a difficult position. Candidates who were able to evaluate the failings of the Nazi economic policies in comparison to other factors and come to a reasoned judgement achieved at the higher Levels.

This is a Level 5 answer. It is focused on the question directly, shows explicit understanding of the key issues and reaches a judgement through evaluation.

Put a cr		ou change your m	ind, put	uestion you have on the land the land the land the land the land land land land land land land land	chosen to answer 図. box 図
Chosen Questio	n Numbe	er:			
Question 1	X	Question 2	×	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	×
Question 7		Question 8	\boxtimes	Question 9	×
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	×
Question 13		Question 14	\boxtimes		
Vang-c	hanged Sch	reptueign Impomont acht-neu N	long Marh	er 1929 de	
Other Daillings	of balls of	mets	to de	(but inpressed	n had effects at Coman action) Yilliar? Though no could not and

(This page is for your first answer.)

The period 1924-29 is often considered to be the bleimar Republic's 'golden years', as it enjoyed a period of relative stability, a change from its fearly years and steady breakdown of democracy that followed the golden years. Gustav Stresenann was Chancellor of Germany from August 1923 to November 1923, when he resigned and became Foreign Minister of the new government with his death in 1929. Both his short time as Chancellor and the Following years had a resording effect on the stability of the Republic during the Golden Years However other figures and causes also played a role in the relative stability of the time.

While Stressmann was Chancellar, he made several maces that improved the economic situation in the country, particularly having effect during the Golden Years. First, he anded the passive resistance of the industrial Rubr district, which had been encoraged by Curo and had detrimentally affected industrial atput for Germany. The increased industrial atput that resulted from this reversal happed the German economy back on its feet. The German economy had been further demonstrated by hyperinflation from a late 1922, which made the Reichsmann essentially northless. To address this Stresemen on appointed Hislman Schacht as head of the Reichsbank in late 1923 to restrictive the Mark and

(This page is for your first answer.)

And the hyperinflation. The new Mark come in early 1924,

and helped deal with the problem. Steemann also cut

Government expenditure in order to the devaluing

of the Mark in late 1923. This deal strategy resulted

in a diastic inprovement of the status of the Mark

and German economy as a whole. The improved economic

situation meant the German public here more content

and the increased ponelly and come from hyperinflation

has muted.

Stresement also called for an international conference to discuss Germany's future reportains payments. Hintee Chancellor-The reparations have straining the fragile German economy and here a mark of shame as they came from the Treaty of Versailles in 1919, which German people felt was infair. The Dames Plan' resulted from this conference in 1924, and it provided reduced payments of the reporation will 1928 when Germany was less on the edge of bankruptcy. This plan allowed Germany to gather itself, and as it allowed the country to avoid the bankruptcy threat gave Germany an economic stability to sort more findamental problems at ferance Germany was now paying reparations again, France and Belgium orded their occupation of the Rubic district relieving

(This page is for your first answer.) the national + Fever that entailed . The Oames Plan Further provided Germany with American leans to prop up its econom, and in 1924 it was receiving more look than it paid out in repaietions. While Foreign Minister Stresomann achieved a recovering of German's diplomatic position and some economic concessions which continued to stability. He arranged the Locarno Treaties in 1925, which allowed international (including French) recognition of the Rhindow agreement of Versailles. Germany ; cined the League of Nations in 1926 de to Stresen ann's actions and he ordinated the peaceful Treaty of Berlin with the Russians the same year in 1927, the committee responsible for charactering Cermon domittaisation left as well. All of these actions tramatically improved the status of Germany diplomatically as well as its prand mage in the eyes of its people. The renewed faith in Germany led to an influx of foreign loans, which con indirectly be seen as coming from Stevenson's restoring of German prestige The loops, while causing instability after the Creat Depression, proped up eroomic growth and political action during the golden years. Before his death Stresemen also arranged the Young Plan a permanent reduction of reparations to a quarter of

(This page is for your first answer.) those demanded in 1921. While it did not come into effect util 1930, and could some drusius in Millians grand coalting parliament the prospect of it provided hope for the public and increased schembal the economic stabilty of the times. Therefore, Stresemann's activities as Chancellor and Foreign Minister greatly shaped the economic and international political stability of the Heimer Republic during the golden years. Honeve he is not wholly responsible for this foot. As noted before the fereign investments from the Dames. Plan and later greatly stabilized the German economy. Honever these loans come about largely as a consequence of Stresemen Without him the Mark may now have recovered from inflation, deterring investment, and Commy may have gone bankrupt His netwater of the international German image also encuraged the American investment. Therefore the investments were partially thanks to Stresman The willingness of the Allied pones to conditate is also significant. They brought about the international tentus signalling greater occoperation that encuraged breign leans. While Streson and's actions containly facultated the cocperation it would not have been possible without the actions of the Allreds specifically France and Britain.

The recovery of moderates specifically the SPD in
the 1924 elections also stabilised Heiner politics all the 1924 elections also stabilised Heiner politics all the fight refresh from
the Republic and consequently stopped some of the
extremit actions such as the Consul Consulations
and Freiliaps White Terror Homever they refused to
join a coalition leaving the Reconstag important in the later
colden years, support for extremist parties also was on
the rise specifically the community paty. The stability
of Heiner therefore does not have much support from its
politics during that it time.



This response has a good contextual introduction and a conclusion that reaches a judgment based on the argument put forward in the body of the essay.

Streaman's actions hady rescued the Weman Republic.

There were other factors, including the cooperation of Faeign powers and the Foreign investment but these can be traced to an extent back to Streseman's policies. The economic and relative political stability during 1924-29 may have been fleeting but it was these Streseman's death maked the end of the stability, both symbolically and actually as he was the geoled factor bringing it about.



The opening paragraphs develop a separate point with reference to Stresemann and stability. Each paragraph is developed with supporting evidence showing awareness of change over time. The response shows balance with reference to other factors which are also developed. These could have been more developed with more balance but it is clear from the judgement in the conclusion that the candidate is of the opinion that everything is connected to Stresemann.

This is a low Level 5 answer which directly focuses on the question and creates a balanced answer by acknowledging Stresemann's role but also questioning the degree of stability he brought and suggesting an alternative reason.

Your seco	nd quest	ion choice must be ou change your m	on a diff ind, put a	erent topic to you	e chosen to answer 図. r first question choice. box 餐
Chosen Questio	n Numb	er:			
Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	\boxtimes	Question 3	\boxtimes
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	\boxtimes
Question 7	*	Question 8	\boxtimes	Question 9	×
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11	×	Question 12	\boxtimes
Question 13	\boxtimes	Question 14			
Cne			************************	564	``````````````````````````````````````
Le wich	me you	of W.Cassie	Ch	mandapaham)	L Page } BRIGH
	innimaiamainum			5and	Winer?)
-streety		they see Com)	Rouge in	nuc
					pue- Ques Plan
	-371			in notingeness for	me vales (les
W. Rp Pox	Thronour T	andray	-Aes	monine specific	- Londone Con
with pop	The section of the se	PLAN	-A. 2.	monine specific	- Londone Con
W. (*) (*)		PLAN	***************************************	COUNTER .	Yang Plan
W. (*) (*)	The state of the s	PLAN	***************************************	COUNTER .	- Londone Con
with pos	note	PLAN	***************************************	COUNTER .	Yang Plan
with pos	300		***************************************	COUNTER .	Yang Plan
with pos	3		***************************************	COUNTER .	Yang Plan
wite po	moits.		***************************************	COUNTER .	Yang Plan
table.	moits.		***************************************	COUNTER .	Yang Plan

(This page is for your second answer.)
Guyan Surano es fois minte in de seus
1929-29 planed on important order to the stability of
the Winet Political San politically and
the important the second second
and brought that several several
allowers by the Commence of the book by
of 1923. Yet, it may be much both the the same
the state, and that Stephens roule the lighting lies
take plically on his carin use again. The sel
the last stilled as the last of the last
philip gooding
Stephens () 32 in the consider the construction of the constructio
the hypering 1923 to pirth 5 to saint
g the wine Rolling Page
as devicely be stilling the energy though a
mules y the less thank y the last the l
Silvery it is a second of the
Sees of stability 1924-29, he played a stability
hein the matter The Dones Plan of 1924
though which the USA agreed these Georgia reportion
posts be made upper it was to this exercise
itution som inter stop. Sindly is 1929, the Young
Plan estable this year in the learning system but
was week to a longe amount. Season of the in selling

(This page is for your second answer.) elonaire tellite in those years was well in the three, In addition to ensure addition, Stageness at worked to NESS in 1926 my the en ingulated the integrated by 5 Se Testes Varilley Stessmon's plice in Complete to

petint, the Cotons Park Conjunct German bookers that did not include land that was German prior to the treaty. Therefore, though Seasons is petining of emore Germany intermediately personally they aim include to income operation, they aim include to income operation, they aim include to income operation in the petining between 1929 and 1929 are larger than the years and last of petining appointing in those years (antay to petining to petining appointing in those years (antay to petining to petining to the years (antay to petining to petining to the years). When years (antay to petining to petining to the years (and to petining to the years) that years and that could later regime the beauty of shalling. The NSDOP that would later regime the beauty guarantees and that instituted the 1923 Amich Bear Hall Potada see in discourse of the years, and guining thought as a patient of the years of the years, and guining thought as a patient of the years of the years and the same intermed and the period of the years of the years and the same intermed and the period of the years of the years and the same region to the years of the years of the years and the rein region to the years of the years	(This page is for your second answer.)
treating. Theregoey should Successfully Succ	getil, to Como Porte Conjunt Como Dadas that did
George international resonanting they and livele to weare applications to the pass 1924-29 It may be argued that the min reason for the incerned spiritify in George between 1929 and 1929 was not the world of Steerman not be governed of the learner lighting, our indeed plin what for the Vainner Rather, it was the vertices and lack of political appointing in those years. Contain to pre-1924, where the Wainer hand to opposition from the Spectacing Randon's and 1919, the 1920 keep looks and the 1923 Marinh Bear Yell Possel, there my little to me and appointing as the Wainer contribution in the years of substing. The NSPAP What world taker replace the Wainer government and that wintighted the 1923 Marinh Bear Hall lotted has a political appoint of the lacinar by 1928 amonths. This so mainly Ame to Hiller is impressed and the high rather than by around carry dictant. In admitting the KPD and other parties	Comment of the Control of the Contro
the major be argued short the main reason for the increased stability in Germany between 1929 and 1929 was not the work of Stessamon nor the government of the Vainary of the Spetalist Kennetains of the Spetalist	treating. Therepay though Season 15 plining & each
Le may be enqued that the min 1920 and 1929 was Seesan not the governed by the Lain Capthie, not indeed public support to the Veiner Butter, it was the necessary and last of political experition in these years (anting to ge 1924, where the Weiner hard to see your for the Spatialist househing 1919, the 1920 trop last and the 1923 Minds Been Will passed, there are listle to me and appointing the NSPAP The NSPAP The works white the Weiner governed to the service of political and the control with a pass, and governed to the political appoint of the years, and governed to the political appoint of the works are to taking pass (equility sector than by example to thirty or appoint to the PD and the parties appoint to the total or addition, the PD and the parties appoint to the total or addition, the PD and the parties	
Leiner Robbins, or indeed policy some the government of the Vainner Robbins of the Vainner South of political supportation in these years (antity to perfect the Spectrum to S	42 july 1924-29
Leiner Robbins, or indeed policy some the government of the Vainner Robbins of the Vainner South of political supportation in these years (antity to perfect the Spectrum to S	
Restrict to the services and leave a political services and leave a political services and leave a political services and the services are political services and the services are political services and the services are political services and the services are services are services and the services are services are services and the services are services and the services are services are services are services are services and the services are services are services are services and the services are services ar	It may be agreed that the min season for the
Restrict, it is be seekens and letter of political special spe	Comment to the first of the second 1929 and 1929 was
But to the point of the point o	State of the state
White Special	Mene Rolling our indeed public Support for the Mainer
1919 1820 1820 1820 1820 1820 1820 1820 1820	Ruther, it is the necessary last of political
212 State 1220 State 1	sporting in the year Contag to ge - 1929, where the
Posterio De la constante del constante de la c	Weine had be do opposed for the Spetial Contain
De la constant de la	1919, de 1920 kg last at the 1923 Mich Bar
De la constant de la	mu Park, the in the son the
	the will liter your the Wain or grant extitute
Airport State of the State of t	intigles to 1923 Mil Bar Hall Cotsus
Airport Service Servic	Aistone The Service of the Company o
disposed as to taking poor legally active you by	port 3 to Weine by 1928 amos Tis to winds
pris to the Wine to the same of the same o	me to liter is gigant and the ingrishment
sporting to the West of the San	dispersent as to taking par legally and to be
	and comp detat to addition, the LPD and other
it my othing I amount do to the nime to the	sportion to the Wine the sale to these years That years
	the way to the second the the river to the

(This page is for your second answer.)

Station Special Station Statio



Always try to use the opening sentences of paragraphs to create a discussion of the question asked - give an opinion, show comparative relevance etc.

Sandalista of Control State of State of

Prince State of the State of th



This answer is focused directly on Stresemann's responsibility. It has relatively few paragraphs but each paragraph about Stresemann has a clear integrity - it makes a point, establishes Stresemann's significance and then questions it. When addressing another factor it establishes the political problems which existed before 1924 and shows how they less of a problem. The other factor could have been more strongly developed but this is still a high Level response.

This is an example of a low Level 4 answer. If it had been completed the conclusion suggests that it would have been a high Level response.

	nd question	choice must be change your mi	on a diffe ind, put a		e chosen to answer 図。 r first question choice. box 図
Chosen Questio	n Number:				
Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	\boxtimes	Question 6	
Question 7	×	Question 8		Question 9	×
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11		Question 12	×
Question 13	×	Question 14			
(This page is for	your second	answer.)		***************************************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Wes	te	Third R	ich	Crumbled	ona te
oulie	Sinva	uest Gari	nun H	ene luene	meny proteins
econ to	omic * te , tiller	pornies l Most d g Style	oeing c	one unity	can be argued S Gu as Anytur Sonn
there is commented in aussia te vie	a diff	it This event 188 in on to were mad	ares	Stendard to Cliffur This Nony be ca	For example It sation of what segments put a ne Singly 'n Stead ox
6e	3, this	would	201	culow	ter to proue

(This page is for your second answer.) Lock time necessities efficiently as the whole economy was sust like the nentoned example, British, wied 50% less l'escures any still art produced Germy, This to led to the pell of the third seich because the lack of I terderel Bertion news viter persones were wasted and boundart equip the army to fight for example Solla Germin Sadiers post had more tours then truy had fuel there was no figures dominal by the arm. The without the viter resames being deplaced on an on anised namer Shotoges become afterest for the army was could at fight with art ellupment would arentually be beaten no read to the face of ne lerch The ideology US Economy argument is also reason why the economy Strettened and cool to defaul. Nazi Moology Skatel that women Should Stery at home of the during war time they Should do the same this led to Cabour Shartages in the war before and deving the new, For example British used its women to ground the feeturies to Creuke more armements and soul limits berning of Hitled made Para I vilian names stag at have. Because Ideology took Precedence ones the Ronomy is secon be seen that Garman held lisely

(This page is for your second answer.) back with out trese extra workers, food and working supplies would be much lover, without the supplies the German cronoun simply could not holy off the allies and have to retreat within Germany than eventures face defent, min brought Autorey The New fran of 1936 which was supposed to make Germing indefendent feeled to meet requirements. They could not produce anough of row materious. For example they shortly had 980 of oil their New neared in 1934 So how was it going to un Us autobaha in addition the see feither of autoris and, bliktzkreig and the feet that Germany Lous' some taking up so many resorres mane it so the only way for Germany to swith was Drough conquest and flunder neutral resources from its musions which unaue the economy and Menegore was machine Mint on conquest which was a feel economic dosition becase later on in the cer to wen the lighting undercome to a hart and operation Barossa pailed to gain the rejonces needed how were the was the elenous going to cope, it didn't so the any become Inder equiped and in some points couldn't fight Therefore Perrised and led to agent.

(This page is for your second answer.)
Hitter can be blamed for the and depent
because he wonted to take over contries
at pints usen he didn't need to and Runtury
Went inscore and led them Straight to depent
be some he did not know how to control an army
as he was not qualifying and toll
For confusion with out a language on the war making the any Supply cased not be applied and could not win was home of liter was not asserted with conquests it may not open a survey is defeat at he want of a paint and a paint and a paint a pai



This is a good example of the importance of bringing an answer together with a conclusion even if you have not finished. The conclusion outlines the argument and comes to an integrated judgement.



This essay is not completed leading to an imbalance in the discussion of the essay but it does provide a good example of how a discussion of the failure of Nazi economic policy could be used to produce an integrated discussion of the reasons for the defeat of Germany in war.

This is an example of a high Level script - the first answer is a Level 5 response whilst the second is a high Level 4.

on Number: Question 2		Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer 図. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 缀 and then put a cross in another box 図.							
Question 2									
		Question 3	×						
Question 5	\boxtimes	Question 6	×						
Question 8	×	Question 9	\mathbf{x}						
	\boxtimes	Question 12	×						
	\boxtimes								
r your first answer.)									
1 states Roman Republ	ùc.								
o. Prissia war	in in income								
d in other way									
if cation of Italy. Let up in February NOI of Rome, however Lic. The suggests the	1849, who the Fren	ch saw revi Ch intervence Ch involven le efforts bu	oluhonaries ed and defeated ent was an ards unificiation as						
as rte	d le conficebre		d le conspication revolutionay us the Pope fled to Waples during the						

(This page is for your first answer.) for the Catholic Church to hinde the attenues for unitiation Nonetteless the French were videbately an obstacle as it can be argued that without this, the Bothston Rome would have been unde control of the newbuhanaries such as Mazzeni indicating that French involvement was definitely an obstacle to the unification of Italy This is also apparent through Caribald's attempt to take Rome in 1867 and 1862, as in 1862, Ganibald needed help from the French in orde for him to be able to take Rome havere the French did not give their consent and whomately defeated Gundardi in Appromonte in 1862 The involvemen Therefore French undrement was definitely an dotacle hindering unification as the fact that the French army defeated a revolutionary march on Rome in an attempt to unity Italy explicitly suggest that France were an obstacle preventing Uniheation. This is also evident due to the fact that Rome and this the Papel States were my enabled to be united because French Thops will down due to the borning Franco-Aussian war en 1870. Again this suggests that France were a definite dostactle to the confication of to Howeve, France did in somewood ways help the unication due to Frences unification process. In the war of 1866, Low Napoleon occupation of agreed with Redmand Pamenter Cavor that if they went to war with the hoa Proscio against Antic the apart Priscin upuld cede Venetic which was one of States to unified to France who would then give it to Redmont This shows that France did help the cerebration process and

(This page is for your first answer.) were not an obstacle to the undicution, as lows Napoleon also made a secret agreement with Autis Chat Cleywould code Venetia to France if they won. Due to Veneticis evident being given to this hedmont by France, U again shows that they were notion only an obstacle to some extent as they did aid the process of unification. Although DE Frances intentions were not to help the unification intead They were to singly ensusculate Ashe, the advis the process of uniheation was a by-product of this and therefore suggests that they were only an obstacle to some extent. This can also be evidenced through the meeting at Phonbieres in 1858, in which it was agreed that Piedmont would give Nice and favoy to France in exchange for Frances help in the War of 1859 against Antia 1/2 le Assaris were defeated at Magents on the 1th Ture 1859 and late at Solleins Pex the Bodays Lale Predmont were coded bombods, Clerefore Frances Lelp in the wars of both 1859 and 1866, beloed the process of uniheation siggiting that they were only an obstacle to some extent. Because Athough, I can be argued that He lutholic (huch were against more of an obstacle then France. Due to the Papel allocation in 1849 the Cutholic Church de by Ridmil completing the unhater power it suggests that the he Catholic Chird was the greate destade, as although France were an obstacle in that they

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer 國.
Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box 墨
and then put a cross in another box 國.

and then put a cross in another box 図.								
Chosen Question Number:								
Question 1	×	Question 2	\boxtimes	Question 3				
Question 4	X	Question 5	×	Question 6	×			
Question 7	×	Question 8	×	Question 9	×			
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	×			
Question 13		Question 14	×					
(This page is for your second answer.)								
he was - league of nations hellow Broad Pact, Dawes Aca (counter)								
he was - league of nations, hellog Brand Pact, Dawes flor (counter) ended power resistorie ended French service Run. there was no stability - still bad eranomy - WRM Street Crash - impacted by								
4			-	Cuert Danertina				
	<u>,</u>	7 Dawes Plan	# ************************************	war guilt.				
he wasn't evenony scarner ended France with Rur.								
	- 1	500000						
It can be argued that Stresemann was heavily responsible for the								
vicreased stability between the years 1974-29. During this pound,								
Germany was fast becoming a normal state party due to the								
important be even only. Which can be seen through								
Germany, admitteres into the league of Nations in 1926. The								
was orchestrated by Streseman and saw diplomatic activities								
between Comany and othe Courties. This increased stability								
in Germany as people were made to & realize that Germany								
was moving on from the travbled times embedded in their								
past 18 streseman was foreign minister at the time, this								
had a big inchement in getting Germany admitted into								
the league of nation it shows he is removable for the								

(This page is for your second answer.) period of stability in Germany as the country was necessing problems created from WW1. As Germany The Locarno Part signed with France increased stability in Gomany as it set out the border of the Country that had been in dispute therefore stopped the ambiguity surrounding German bordes the producing stability as this dispute had concluded As it was Stevenan who cretaled the regotation with France it can be croped that he was somewhat responsible for the political stability in German Also Stresemann was at the forebont in Figuring the Wellogg Brand Pact or 1928 which prohitted the use of wer as an instrument of rational policy which produced Hability is it ensured peace with between German and its just evenies during World War Ore This again indicate Act Stresenown was responsible for poly thatitation received stability as he was invoked in signing the Pack. However, it can be argued that Strevenan was not He only one moded in preducing increased stability in France After the hyperiflation in 1923 Germany's economy was suffering badly which led to the Daves Plan in 1924 Although Stresenan did play some port in this, Le was not the only one and as the Danes Plan produced Stability by recovering the economy part signifying the end of hyperiflation However it can be argued that Stresemann did in fact plas a major role in & stabilising the economy

(This page is for your second answer.) as Le was involved in the planning, Cleretore fulle reinforcing that he was responsible for the increased stability during 1924-29. However, as the Daves Plan involved borrowing money from America it only caused stobility in the short ten as it increased the inyact of the Wall Street Crash in 1929, Meretve indicuting that Steeman was only in some ways not responsible as effectively be played a next in deceasing the stability in Comany after the Wall Street Crash * Also stesemen was invoked in ending French occupation of he Ruhr, as previous to his the Weiner government had ordered he worken to go on white and My would be passed by be forement, this workening the economic cisis as they couldn't afford to do so. Therefore as Streseman ended the passive resistance in the Ruly wherein in 1925 which was costing the Government namey, he can be said to be responsible for the stability in Germany as to played a major oce in stabilising the economy. It can be argued that there was in fact no stability in Geman, between this period. As Germany were forced to face the war quilt through the treaty of versaille and this pay reporations to other countries which the country could in no way afford, there was no stability as although stresemann did go to some way tourcoon in attempting to increase stability I could be argued that the economy was still in humoil, and this ended in the wall steel Crash in 1979. As it can

(This page is for your second answer.) be argued that leve was no stabilly within Germany between the period Stresomen would in or no part be responsible for the increased stability in Germany. He Despite this, on the surface German people did believe the country had stabilised mainly due to the work of Stresemann, therefore no it would be argued that Stresemann was responsible for the stability as this was in the mid set of the people, despite the fact that perhaps it can be said that German was in fact unstable. Stresemann was responsible for the stability in between 1924-29, as he worked for Germany to becoming a normal date, and did acheire lis in the time period due to the nations admittere isto the league of Nation, and the signing of the Locums and Wellogy Brand Pact However, are was evident instability in 1929 due to the Wall Steel Coch which which we produced a bigge impact is due to the Danes Plan in 1924. So while Streseman did goto great length to produce increased Stability in the time period, and this can be said to be in a large was responsible for the stability, he also contibuld to the issability in time period though Germany's tragile economy



Both responses address the question directly and create a discussion through the opening sentences of each paragraph and establish a judgement in the conclusion. The second response is well focused but has some areas of insecurity putting it at the top of Level 4.

Page Summary

Overall, once again, however, centres should be commended for the preparation and obvious enthusiasm with which they approach the Topics; the knowledge of candidates is more tailored to the themes each year. The best responses are analytical, detailed and reach interesting, well-supported judgements.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US026439 January 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





