



# Examiners' Report January 2011

# GCE History 6HI01 A





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can contact our History Subject Advisor directly by sending an email to Mark Battye on <u>HistorySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</u>.

You can also telephone 0844 576 0034 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

## **Results**Plus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

January 2011

Publications Code US026427

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2011

#### Introduction

Examiners reported very positively on the overall quality of candidate responses for Option A. The majority of answers were planned, which meant that most were able to sustain a clear focus on the question set. Answers were supported with a range of relevant and developed information, though some candidates provided extended narratives of accurate material with only limited explanation or analysis. Some stuck quite rigidly to the PEE system of point, evidence, explanation. This process worked well for many answers, but for high Level 4 and Level 5 marks answers needed some sort of overview, with candidates standing back and reflecting on how to evaluate their response to the question. There were few candidates whose quality of written communication weakened the impact of their answer; and, happily for examiners, even fewer whose work was difficult to read.

A common weakness, which has been mentioned several times in previous reports, is the failure to develop an accurate chronology of events. This influenced the effectiveness of some answers, notably questions 5, 6 and 12. If candidates are not certain of the course of events, they will be unable to understand the ways in which some key events influence subsequent developments. Candidates sometimes failed to cover the whole timescale set in the question. Question 12 focused on England's relations with Spain and Burgundy during Henry VII's reign. Many candidates failed to get beyond Medina del Campo in discussing Anglo-Spanish relations.

The answers on the role of weak leadership tended to focus on the weak response of the Anglo-Saxon kingdoms to the Great Army in the mid-860s. There was extensive reference to the civil wars in Northumbria and the general disunity of the Anglo-Saxon leaders in the face of a common threat. Alfred's weakness received a lot of attention, which seems rather unfair given that Wessex proved far more durable than the others. Some answers did struggle to make the links between weak leadership and Viking success and were content just to describe the bickering of Aelle and Osbert. Many other factors were raised. Some discussed the importance of Viking settlement in the Irish Sea region during the 9<sup>th</sup> century and described it as crucial in the success of the Great Army; and there was a good range of discussion of Viking leadership, tactical mobility and the changing situation in Francia. Generally the answers were well written and explained.

(This page is for your first answer.) To what extent was Mu weak leadership of M Anglo-Serxon  Mingdoms responsible for M Ming suspessess in Me year 5 713-877  Mercia nessex morflumbia-cini nar  Mercia nessex morflumbia-cini nar
1758 705Berr, octouring
• leadership of Halfdoane + gullium • leading in EA; hoses • Phunder lack glass et pushing them stom seandinavia lack-znorway control grew som by zsnoden
Petreen 793 and 877 He Vikings had menny success in Heir compaigns in England lesse in dude real beadership in Anglowson Kingdom Teir successes close when, Viking Leadershipger teir seasons sorcoming to England in

(This page is for your first answer.)



## **Results** Plus

**Examiner Comments** 

After a slightly hesitant opening paragraph, the answer develops a detailed survey on the weaknesses of Anglo-Saxon kings. Set against this factor is the Viking success in establishing themselves in the northern islands of Scotland and in Ireland, allowing easy access to England. There is a secure section on the strength of Viking leadership, and a useful commentary on the Vikings quest for land. There is an attempt to evaluate material with a good number of factors analysed: low Level 5.

Question 2 posed more of a challenge as many candidates found it easier to list what Alfred did rather than analyse it as a 'cultural renaissance.' Some candidates focused on the meaning of the world "rebirth" while others argued that the reforms only impacted on the top echelon of society. It sometimes seemed as if candidates wished to answer a question on why Alfred introduced these reforms rather than assessing their consequences. However, the candidates were clearly very knowledgeable, and it was is encouraging to realise that young historians are being taught about Gregory the Great's "Pastoral Rule".

For the most part candidates did not have any depth of knowledge regarding William's experience as Duke of Normandy and sadly this was not confined to candidates at the lower end of attainment. A question calling for an assessment of 'To what extent' was obviously hampered when 'the given factor' lacked rigour and depth. Many candidates tended to produce imbalanced answers to compensate for this.

William had 30 years of sole rule in Normandy which gave him both military and political experience, including the submission of Brittany. Other examples might be the feigned retreat, the planning of attacks and the use of cavalry and archers. These factors translated into playing some part in his success in 1066 as did his powerful reputation. William was able to attract adventurers from Flanders, Brittany and further afield to his invading army. There was an expectation of military glory and reward. Despite the lack of explicit linkage to William's experience prior to 1066, many candidates were able to offer a multi-causal answer to explain William's success. The factors included were the difficulties created by the number of challenges to Harold Godwinson, along with the king's own personal weaknesses and poor decision making. These factors were played alongside William's luck. There was much made of the change of wind direction, but little comment on the propensity for horses to suffer acutely from sea-sickness. There was also some confusion as to the impact of Papal support for William's success via the symbolic gonfanon of St Peter. The symbolism was not lost on any of the participants - it was not a one sided message. However, it should be recognised that there was also some linkage of Papal support for William's campaign to the scandal surrounding what was regarded as Stigand's uncanonical consecration as Archbishop of Canterbury and the exile of Robert Jumièges. Papal support was not just legitimising the visit of William to England in 1051 during which King Edward's intention and William's agreement were probably made. There was a wider statement which William was able to capitalise on.

(This page is for your second answer.)
To what extent was William's experience as Duke of
Normandy responsible for the success of his campaign
against Harold Godwinson in 1066?
- He has the strongest arry in Europe - bear France
3 Hms
- Harold only broughts together intrained gamers-12
he had waited could have brought 50,000 men-
ushed because of Halays comet
- Wind change - meant William cressed channel ) Luck
earlier than expected
- Hat = =================================
- Harold just beaten Harald at Strangerd Bridge -
weak army but overly confident
- Castles

(This page is for your second answer.) ......... Begins Around the time of Edward the Confessor's reign there were three potential heirs to the throne. These included his son in law Hardd Grachwinson, his cousin William the Conqueror, and seared warrior Harald Hardrada Villiams Fiture success of the throne at thostings in 1066 could be considered to have happened for a number of reasons. The first important point to consider is William prenions success as Duke of Normandy. His reign had actually resulted in the defeat of France 3 times, something unch Harold Godwinson would have had no chance of achiering. Because of this repititive success he had consequently built up the sorongest and most powerful army in Europe. Again, Unis is not something that Harold could possibly compare. bo. In some ways some wealth of William's success is his use of cashles First used as a transportable form a probedion that could be built in a matter of days, they later developed into a sorong and successful defense system. The creation of the Mother and Boiley. casples in particular secured a strong Norman defense making a form of protection

(This page is for your second answer.) for the ermy to stay in but also acted as a milestary base from which they could abtack arguards but would come to no harm when they stayed inside. However, as well as Williams achievements that led 6 Ms overall success it is also important to consider how Harold failures gare William the advantage. One example would be to compare to William's outstanding fleet of highly brained knights. In comparison thorold was United with mainly low trained farmers, many of Whom were even left behind become they did not have the ability to access a horse for transport If he had waited several more weeks he would have had more time no only to broin his men but even could have increased the number to 50,600, which pobertially have given kin a great advantage are William. Harold also was, it could be considered very archy confident going into bottle at Hastings. He had only recently been in battle against Harald Hardrader at Stamford bild Bridge and had succeeded in defeating then killing him. Whereas it would have been important to rebuild up the army before another bottle having goined many casualties in

(This page is for your second answer.) ..... the last, his considera overcome him a pushed him bowards lighting again. He was under the belief that If he used the same tactic of artacking he would once again succeed. A final failure of Harold Godinson could be considered a factor of luck. Adding to the over considence he had gained at Stangard Bridge, Harold was also under the strong religious belief about Haley's comet. He thought is he didn't attack almost immediately it would result in a bad ofmen on his part; something he greatly wanted to and A. Another factor of luck has the Sad there was a change in wind direction at the last minute To meant William and his army were able to cross the channel to attack much earlier than he expected, giving him even less time to prepare and gother men together to fight-To conclude, despite Williams previous success as Duke of Normanday, it is misleading to believe the strong army he had gained because of it was the only factor resulting in his success at Hastings Without the chance of the events happening to Harold Godvinson and his army he may have been able to build up

(This page is for your second answer.)

better defense and consequently could have had

more success in the long term. Therefore, if he had

the same on ourt of preparation time William did, he

could have created a much more powers. Some



The answer notes William's successes against France and the buildup of his military power. There is also mention of the portable castles transported to England, and to the overall strength of William's forces. These points are set against the weaknesses of Harold's army, especially after Stamford Bridge, when the candidate notes Harold's possible overconfidence after his victory. Although the Battle of Hastings itself is not mentioned in any detail, there is sufficient evaluation of the given factor, and of other relevant factors, to warrant low Level 5.



You will find it helpful to have some understanding of William's position as Duke of Normandy, and of the military skills which he had developed before 1066.

Most candidates had enough information to produce a balanced answer, yet there was a tendency to offer one-dimensional answers. There was a general awareness of the extension of forests, the importance of castles and the Harrying of the North in 1069 to link to the twin traits of cruelty and oppression. However, specific examples of William's less brutal response to the risings of the English such as those of Eadric the Wild and those in Kent and Exeter were generally overlooked, leading to a lack of dimension to this aspect of William's policies. For many candidates, William's response to rebellion from the English limited their interpretation of the way in which he fundamentally went about gaining control of his new kingdom. There was some lack of understanding of William's differentiated approach or the need for him to change his approach over time. For example, William took over the English administrative and judicial system in its entirety and allowed the native magnates to continue to operate as under Edward the Confessor. On the other hand, he did break with the past from the outset, when it came to defence. The castle building programme and the appointment of new earls such as William Fitz Osbern could be seen to bear all the hallmarks of oppression. The building programme clearly aped the continental model and William's distrust of the English nobles was clearly evident. However, after the great insurrection of 1069-70 and its reputed cruel and oppressive response from William, there is evidence that the English gave their support to the king to put down any further insurrections, which indicates a growing acceptance and a change of perception by some regarding oppression.

Few candidates, even at the higher levels, tried to consider William's policies as oppressive rather than cruel - this differentiation might be applied to the introduction of feudalism. Conversely, the role and responsibilities of the sheriff were augmented under William as they were to be solely responsible for summoning the fyrd. This also showed how they were integrated into the feudal system. The collection of information for the Domesday Book was interpreted by many candidates as oppressive yet the gathered information also served to settle the disputes about landholding which arose with the arrival of the Normans.

There were many ways in which england run by the way the normans of the reasons include cashe building, the land the horalness of punishments my preliminary and the horalness of punishments my preliminary cruel and gressive is that it was however there were lives of Anglo-sorans in England after 1066.

was through the process of castle building. When William (the conqueror) landed at Pevensey in 1066 his first move was to piùoge and desmoy the towns, then build a defensive castle to depend the South east coast. Apper the rebellion at Exeler in 1070 castles

(This page is for your first answer.) were another control to quell disorder and keep the people (mounty rebels) where control. This meant many towns were destroyed in order to build the castles. However, castles were not completely appressive as they could reup prevent entire civil) was if a rebellion got out of hand which would a frect the entire population. Therefore, costles can be mainly seen as an appressive and enel method but alid have some uncruel uses.

Another reason was how worman rule in England was oppressive and also keep an eye on what people award. Under Henry II, the Damesday survey which how also a similar method of getting people to pay tax:

However, the Damesday survey cannot be described as fully cived and appressive as it was the first major.

because some people were being over-toxed. On
reflection, the Donesday survey seems to be not be a

(This page is for your first answer.) Very avel a appresive method as it helped keep the taxation system fair and aid not really affect the people of england's (eves very much

A third way the Norman rule after 1066 can be described as Cruel and appresive is the topological and appresive is the from the beginning or William 115 (Rufus) reign, porest laws were extended so that most of the farest belonged to the king. Laws stated that if anyone & attempted to kin a deer, they would have punishments put upon then ranging from blinding to castration to death or the right hand being cut off. These laws appressed the people of England because it restricted part of their lives and appected their lives severely. Toxes were also placed upan people who insisted on living in the faests which also could be seen as a cruel method of extracting money. Overall, the forest laws were cruel and appressive and can provide evidence of how the peoples' lines began to be controlled apter 1066, as begine 1066, Edward the Confessor had had porest laws in place but they were nowhere near as restrictive a bash.

finally, another piece of existence which can demonstrate that England run by the wormans after 1066 contract

was creet and appressive was the boush punishments inclined on people for quid provided preeches of the law:

(This page is for your first answer.) One example of this was in the william De Briguze case in 1108 when he repused to pay a tax. His wife and so were taken and kept imprisoned until they both storved to death but only after the mother had eaten her child at af sheer desperano- This demonstrates now over the methods of punishment were after 1066 and in Norman Nie: Another example of hash punishments was the introduction of the 'murdrum' fine this meant if any Norman was found dead, then the whole village would have to pay a hepty pine if the person who killed them wasn't found. The only way people got raind this was to put the body into another village and blame it on them a prove that the body did have an Anglo-Sovan bloodune. The mux drum sine also illustrates how housh punishments became after 1066 and drove the people to extreme measures because they part garassed Overall. The nepty punishment introduced after 1066 daes describe how the people were oppressed and treated avelly:



## **Results**Plus

**Examiner Comments** 

The answer might have benefited from clearer organisation. However, the building of castles, the Domesday survey, the forest laws and the legal system are all relevant to the question and are reasonably developed. There is an attempt to build up an analytical focus, and the material of that goes beyond the reign of William I: a high Level 4 response.



## Results lus

**Examiner Tip** 

Sometimes you will find that a question asks you to consider two separate points. In this question, 'cruel' and 'oppressive' refer to different features of Norman rule.

There were some very good answers on this question. Candidates clearly knew this topic well and were able to write in detail about Henry's policies towards the nobility and castles, the judicial system, finance and other aspects of governance. Many set the reign into some context by making a brief reference to the disorders of the civil war, and to Henry's increasingly bitter relations with members of his family towards the end of his reign. While many referred to the restoration of royal power throughout the country, there were few references to Henry's policies with regard to Wales, Scotland and Ireland. More might have been made of the restoration of royal finances through the reform of the Exchequer and the updating of the system of knights' fees. Many potentially excellent answers ignored the 'to what extent' aspect of the question and talked only about the ways in which Henry II strengthened the powers of the crown without considering any weaknesses in his rule.

(This page is for your first answer.)
To what extent did Henry 11 strengthen the
powers of the crown in England?
Pan:-
PM :
central watron: -
itinerant judges
manes of sherifts (1170)
made church sub-ordinate tacrown
Assizes of Claram (1166) &
Northampton (1176)
Cons:
Becket caused prob with
Church SMS.
Cenc: pro

(This page is for your first answer.)
The powers of the crown were very weak
before Henry II, the civil war between Stephen and
Matilda had destroyed any system of law and order.
Antico previously held by parties loyal to the crown
had been unriped by barms Henry perfected this
1 1 U U

system, adding his own so that the country was unified. However, two public displays of defrance Thomasa Becket and his own children made the crown weaker Markenson, I believe Menny Arengthered the grown enormously Although, there is an argument that he weakened the Crown Fristy, in his attempt to control the Church. he came up against an old friend. Thomas a Becket, Archbishop of Canterbury When The Becket had been Henry's chancellor, he had directed policy and the two had been mestly of the same mind But his promotion to Archbrishop in 1162 had changed Beckets news He now wanted the Church to be independent, which meant he and Henry clashed ever clerical priviledges, such as the right to be tried in a Church, not Kings court. Becket defred Henry, and then fled to France, leaving England without an Archbishop of Canterbury for the coronation of the young Prince Henry When Becket found out that Henry had erowned his son anymon with the Archbishop of York, he excommunicated the Archbishop Even after four knights rid

Henry of Becket in 1170, Becket's murder within his own cuthedral put a shadow on Henry's regin to hight for law and justice.

Henry's actions towards his own family also meakened the Crown He refused to the let Prince Menry after his covanation, or Prince Richard, after he was inverted.

with Agnitaine, have any power. This lead to them both seeking help from Lows VII of France, nearly hulmmating in civil war in England. The fact that they had very little power caused competitiveness amongst The boys, making then tum on each other this love (and reluctance to find Tand for) his youngest son John ahenated his eventual heir Richard, leading to both John and Richard betrapping Henry 8horty before his death in 1189 by siding with the Cap King of France, Philip II However, concerning Becket, it was not all Henry's fault Becket believed he would glastrong control Menny, so liven when Henry attempted to make amends, Becket became antagonostic. From the rage that prompted Buckets' cleath was net meant - although history has made him pay His sons, when they first rebelled, were defeated, and when Richard and John allied with Richard in 1188. Henry. although broken, held England together for Richard to inherit on his death Henry did many good things to strengthen the Crom

Throughout his regan, the most important being his reforms of law Fristly, the linguest of Sheriffs in 1170 ensured that all the corrupt barons were removed from the posts of Sheriff and repraced by loyal civil servants. This ensured that Henry knew exactly what was going on in the land His use of itinerant judges meant that

England became ruled by one set of lans, not dispersed ideas, and these judges conned this through the entire country He did finally make the Church motly subordinate the Crown, which meant in England, Kings Law controlled rearly everything. By using the cartae Baronum in 1166 to update Doomsday Book material, he ensured that he was getting the required amount of meney from the bearing for their retainers. The Assizes of Ctarendon (1166) and Northanpron (1176) ensured that the law was reformed to a judge and twelve men on the IMM Overall, the conclusion is that despite the mirtales made, Henry turned our anbalanced from inherited by en to a powerful monarchy that withstood Richard II absenting it for the Er Third Trunade. That is what he should be remembered for, not the murder of his Archbishep on the grounds of Canterbury Cathedral.



## Results Plus

**Examiner Comments** 

The answer addresses "how far" in a balanced response which is contextualised by reference to the disorders of Stephen's reign. The answer notes that Henry's relationship with Becket, and with members of his own family, weakened the powers of the Crown. The strengthening of Royal power is illustrated essentially by Henry's legal reforms only, which is a slightly narrow focus. However, the balanced nature of the answer, coupled with the effective introduction and conclusion, merits low Level 5.



## **Results**Plus

**Examiner Tip** 

Any question which starts 'to what extent' is asking you to consider a number of points for and against the statement in the question.

Most candidates who attempted this question were able to consider Philip Augustus' role in the collapse of English power in France, though with varying degrees of success. At the highest levels candidates considered the king's political and military skills against the Plantagenets. The invasion of Anjou, the cynical alliance with Richard in 1189, and the manipulation of John were all addressed. Some were less secure after 1204, with the War of Bouvines receiving little attention. At lower levels of attainment, Philip's role was ignored altogether. Most candidates, however, were able to address the role of Richard and John in these events and the best responses came from those who were able to weigh up the significance of Philip Augustus' role in relation to other factors. Some candidates, however, focused too heavily on the events of John's reign at the expense of Richard's which led to chronological imbalance.

(This page is for your first answer.)
(This page is for your first answer.)  Los  Come home your crucade.
I was opended by what had happened to jather
- financial rejoins  Thursed Dahn against Ed Rich Sasking for permisson
Thursed John against Ed Lich I asking for permission
tran't
Lietard made good Tohn annayed barons
So They swopped sides
- toxis:
- mercunari ea
Le Goulet / Imarriage
Lyllad 33 Clarent State
Seath of Eleanor of Aquitans:
There
Phillip Augustus played a huge role in bringing
about the collapse of the English power in France
He was driven by the revenge for the Angevin

is former monarchs had (This page is for your first answer.) empire as it that disabled insulted his pather, as Charles VII as King However, there are many justors that brought not do not not about the fall of English power in France, mos the many of which occurred in the first of John's reign John was seen as a tyront. John signed the treaty with Phillip called Le Gaulet Almongh in some ways this was seen as a triumph for John it was un jact a huge disadvantage as John had to pay a huge amount of morey to Phillip and he also, indirectly lost control of two for his vassal in France This showed that John had already lost power in France as he had lost control of vassals that under his brather, fichard's reign had been loyal to the Argerin Empire John was also seen as a tyrant- Bod Dwing his years a when altempting to gain regain areas of France he had lost to Phillip, he had to charge huge tasces upon on his bosons paraing trem to become bitter towards John John also had many javourites among his barrons as he did not trust many of them, such as Gloucester, due to This he used morcerosies to pight for him when trying to regain France. This increased the distine

(This page is for your first answer.) of John among the Barons John's marriage to the previously engaged ischel - Isabelle of Goucester had produced to children and so after getting the marriage anulled, John searched for another wife, He chose Isobel of Angulence and ho who was already movied to a prench while. This created another army pungui enemy you John and justine decreased his power in Hance instead cy, as he had hoped, immedia his power by manying into The lands in transa his jather, had done by marrying Eleanore g Agritano Eleanore of Aquitare was loyal to her son John allow not her journess ky and as governor of Aquitare ensured that this this area remained layed to England However, after her death in 19 1203 This saw Aquitane come under French powers Many of these acts however, were were created by Phillip, apart from the death of Eleanare of Egu tare. Phillip began to medalle in Angevin appairs long before the death of Richard in 1199. During the Third crusade, Phillip returned home to France, Whilst Kichard was still politing Solading \$ 50 he could work on destroying the Angevin Empire

(This page is for your first answer.) My power that This proves that Phillip was determined to Exing about the downall of the Empire and he had a number of ways in which to do this Whilst Richard was being help couptive by Legald of Prestria, whilst trying to make it home from his crusade, Phillip had approached John encouraging him to said troops in England and create a state of chois within the progression Empire BB for a luge ressard from Phillip. De During the reign of The When John was coursed in 199, he declared himself Duke of Namardy to make sure that his cousin Bethur could not try and dam his title of King of England, as Armu technically had a much more secure down to be throng However, it was tradition that a new king must ask permission from the King of France before claiming their titles. As This hard been irrelevant in the time of for Menry 11 and Richard, John did no as tray were considerably more pewerful than France, It did not occur to John that this was necessary. Phillip used this to his advantage and removed past of Normandy from Jaho's power and gave Brown ports of France as he had sought permisso This shows that Phillip used Johns painety to his

This page is for your first answer.) advantage and remaining some of England's power in France

Diverally I believe of Phillip Augustus was played a pundamental part in the decline of power. I also believe that be was bucky the planned the losses of power by taking advantage of people John and Richard's weaknesses and errors.

Afterally It The Phillip had not wanted a discusse the England's power in France tren its would suited and not your tren its would suited and only 5 years.



The answer might have benefited from clearer planning at the start. The candidate deals with John's relations with Philip, his supposedly tyrannical rule, and the importance of his marriage. Philip's treachery is also noted, but there is little here on the French king's relations with Richard I. Sufficiently analytical in shape for a high Level 4 response.

Few of the candidates for Option A4 attempted Question 7, perhaps finding the period 1349-81 a more attractive option. Most understood some features of town life which helped to explain the devastation of the plague of 1348-50, focusing their answers on insanitary conditions and river pollution. Better answers noted that towns were linked by a network of internal trade, and that many coastal towns traded with France and the Hanseatic towns. However, there were several answers which misunderstood the focus of the question. These were often framed around the impact which the plague had on towns and their inhabitants.

The growth of towns does not epplain the devestation
The granth of tains does not eplain the devestation coused by the Black Death 13 18-1350 very for
It was definitely as important factor in spreading it so rapidly but whimstely was not an important
it so rapidly but ultimately was not an important
factor causing it, which were the more important reasons
Without Cousahinal factors, the growth of towns yould
have had so importance. The man donastrhan was auged hylacly of
predical knowledge of = next paragraph.
The growth of towns was Cestarly
medical linewlood of next paragraph.  The growth of towns was Cestary important. With small more people inhabiting towns
Who can ork on S be come party in Greasing y will hypothe
and Sarifiation - wise This is definetely important as
it, allowed the plague to rapidly spread wand
These towns which ment that not any did
the population decrease a the foreston, but kerease
It ingestily quick towers, communication links to

Ho rout of the lander be Cited
(This page is for your second answer.) The TEST of the Cashty Of while
proved more devastating, of No matter how hig
These nowns grew to it was only nationally
Spread because of rwal-why have trades
If there were no travel roots the plague
Could have become isolated within my town
Ever if towns were small and there were
have/ Communication raites, the player Sh// ward
have spread want England Guesing greater denstation.
This shows you camunitation / travel rates were
more important lauce of devastration They the grants
of props.
As previously shown, Communitation / travel rooks were
incredibly important. These allowed the plague
to Soreal to every corner of the Castry
and indeed was an important Becket in course
The wide sore of duns by him. However, over cons trade
und me market been then this It was only
because of 100000 knde that alland the clause
to white ended 3 years before the Name it had
Corend House every and was as the work of land
is he find I The shows that me will be come
110 trajas, rae plagar aus any ago 10 cos
ove to esque de mage of mage of more

(This page is for your second answer.) was so that the player
England. The only reason have Communication/howel likes
were able to spread the disease was blause it was in England are to sees trade. As Shown,
These have links was wer could only spread plague
if it come into england by trade Showing how
its more importance than the growth of towns also.
The player wouldn't have been located in these growing
Can try Shaving its dependancy.
into England and an be seen as very important
in Causing devastables, What is ever more important
That have was the rate carrying the plague itself. The plague was would never had reached
England if it werest for the plague corrying
hade Ships had plagued rats on them. Those
That dight had nothing to spread Shawing Keir
Tate tid let plane into England and
on top of this, the rats were the save of
The plague showing their greater importing

(This page is for your second answer.) That avoided that
However, the most important reason place caused Such devostation was the last of medical knowledge
Ever if playue originated in England reverse know a useless factor) it was atmost certain
The playue wast obvastation. Without travel links, The playue wast not have spread but wast  Still have caused also of Jevastation in
a Certain area of However, the fact they Couldn't keat it allowed many people to other
was a cure as with some outstake in the yaker, wall. No yatter town quickly head trave links
the plague into Englay or how a sig truns grew
Over all those affected. This show how the kerns of a lack of medical knowledge was the most
To covered growth of trans was certainly
It allowed quite and twilestern Spread of the plague in isolated wens, However, even if howing did

(This page is for your second answer.)	GARLED , an Exercise
of medicine would	ulmotely have estables sullised any
Devastation on any	level sharing how this is the most
important factor. This	Shows I how the growth of
tawns weggest doesn't a	explain very for at all the devisioning
Caused by the Black	Death 1348-1350.



There is some fairly generalised material here on insanitary conditions and overcrowding in many English towns. However the candidate is aware of some patterns of domestic and overseas trade, and makes an attempt to categorise factors by reference to the state of medical knowledge. The answer is attempting analysis and does have some developed support: thus low Level 4 is appropriate.

At the lower levels of attainment candidates were limited in the factors they addressed in dealing with challenges to the existing social structure. Some only compared the consequences of the Black Death to other factors in causing the Peasants' Revolt, whilst others looked at short-term consequences and omitted reference to the Peasants' Revolt. Other weaknesses included a descriptive approach, with a consequently weaker focus on the level of challenge to the social structure. Better answers made good use of challenges to the social structure and resistance to those challenges through the Ordinance and Statute of Labourers and the Sumptuary Law as well as the causes and outcome of the Peasants' Revolt. Candidates need to bear in mind the chronological limits of the option, since some candidates sought to address the overall decline of feudalism within their answer. The best candidates were able to produce a clear analysis of the consequences of the Black Death and show both the ways in which it challenged the existing social structure and the limitations to that challenge.

Many candidates seemed comfortable dealing with a number of reasons apart from the given factor which explain Henry V's decision to invade France in 1415. The weaknesses of the French crown itself were well known, especially the growing insanity of Charles VI; many noted the king's belief that he was made of glass. Some noted that Henry felt able to capitalise on the division between the Armagnacs and Burgundians which had been so clearly demonstrated in the conflicts of 1413. Others noted that Henry had been determined to go to war against France for many years before his accession, to the extent that he was even stockpiling arrows in the tower of London. However, few noted that Henry was determined to establish Lancastrian legitimacy, which had been uncertain ever since Bolingbroke's usurpation of 1399. Henry knew how popular war with France would be, and that his own claim to the English throne would be assured by a short victorious war.

There were only a few answers to questions 10. Higher level responses noted that the Burgundian alliance was largely insignificant in terms of military support, noting that Philip of Burgundy was unwilling to commit his troops too strongly in promoting the English cause. Candidates noted that for most of the period 1419-35 Philip's support was lukewarm, and the best that could be said of him was that he was not siding with the French against the English. Bedford's marriage was mentioned, though it was sometimes given more credit than it perhaps deserves. Weaker answers did not cover the entire chronology. The sealing of the alliance following the assassination of John the Fearless in 1419 was often overlooked, as was the treaty of Arras in 1435 when Philip brought the English alliance to a formal end.

(This page is for your first answer.) The alience with Surgurdy which of John of Rurgurdy, helped modified the English position in France.
This was because the do French hated the English.  For example in Joan of Aris line the English who being referred to as 'Godon' which meas God dom What kept the English in France for so larg was the fact that the Burgundians haded the Armagnaex more than then hated the English. This meas that they was willing to help dostrou the Douphinists. Thus may Frenchman accepted to be rolled by the English while they was allied to Burgundy. This is crided in the fact that after the Treaty of Arros is 1435.  Those was uprisings all also Normandy.
In addition the Burguidians who controlled Paris Letone

(This page is for your first answer.) king Hanris occupation had to qualms with co-operating with the English. This meat that the English had a loyal bureaucrocy, 71-15 Normaidy and Paris. Is these faxes were very severe they would have not here able to collect them without the support of the Burguidian airi servats. Bedford cotionly Hought that the alliance was importal as he pad Phillip the Good over \$ 190,000 to moving it. Furthermore, the Burguidious supplied the English with troops. At the bottles of Vorincel and Croval there was a large contingent of Burgundian soldiers. Les Endand was itself very short of Funds at this time, they proved very vselul. Resides, three was also diplomatic co-operation as is evident from the fact, Phillip the Good marched with Henry into Paris to and also signed the Treaty of Troys. This gave the English more of a moral right to the Harone. Furthermore, once Burquidy Was a very powerful duchy and controlled the Lou countries this

1	He English	and Jess	Frenchman would
he think of Joining most Frenchman			
Hie Barquidias, Fle		1	
Redford wated to  that he married  the Gloncestr  he invaded it in	Phillip's s withdrau	istor and	made sure
It can also  to the year  English cause  coincidence that  Treaty of	began to Mis year	the ger	This fle
Explish marco  Explish marco  Lormado J. The  Badford Soils  Lived a the 1  and Folling  Explishing for	ed to mointi	on this go to be a subject of the control of the co	position in line remotable  Horry V.  people  Statly = aiding  the fact

(This page is for your first answer.) at Crount and he was
responsible for countless other successfull buttles
which the English Fought.
Furthermore there was Bedford, who has been
described as a great Anglo-Frenchman. He
genially leved the French and he issued letter
which protected household and villages against
pillaging by the desorters and garrisons, in addition
he was a great victory at Land Voneuil.
he wou a great victory at Norman Voneuil.
have trapposed had be married at Barges in
the afternath of the battle instead of complained
the congrest of Arjon and Mair. Some mointage
the France would have captililated.
Henre ver Hore were also indale < 1 these
netable commandos upre aso statted by an efficient
beyed at Soldiers. The most notable being Lord
Jallied, Lord Scales and Sir John Fastolf.
Tallook for instage was constartly on the attact and
Endish position in Normandy and Minner.
1 DOSITOS IN NOMENCU ALCA PILARES
I-leweves, a part, from these commandes the hatred

(This page is for your first answer.) He Burgundink and Ar magnass felt towards each other, also kept the English is
Normandy For instance ox most considered showing Francois  I the skull of John of Burgundy commented that
The Skull of John of Burgudy Commented That
'this was the hole through which the English entered France'. The Latral High they tell towards each other
cen be seen in the fact that When the Burgundins
took Paris, thousands of Armagnacs were tilled.
This was the reason why the English managed to
had Normandy. Furthermore was also revulsing
terrods the Armagnaes because they had hilled
John of Burgudy 11 cold slood and throng Frond
Burgudias Foored reprisals if the Armagnacs
ever retent Pariso
In add Floverer the poverly of the Dauphin and his mental proplems bent the English in
Normandy For may years.
For example it is estimated that while Normandy
brough in 100,000 - 200,000 erows a
new, the forritories controlled by the Dauphin
brought Aliree and perhaps even five times that
amoul so clearly the French had the resources
to Fight the English. Furthermore the South of

(This page is for your first answer.) Frace, which was the territary that
the English controlled had not been plundered and its
habitate not mordered by the English. Thus Charles
could also raise man to fight the English. However,
he did not because he was dominated by his fallowither
whose only intrest was to enrich themselves. The most
natorolus of these was La Tremoille, a mo
m musderer, who plundered the revenues of the
Dayelly.
A. A
In addition, due to the Dauphin's mental proplems
and immortaring, the toves were not normally collected
\
The Dauphirs Figure also caused many proplems  For his cause, as he was not a bleader and
people were reluctant to join his cause.
Furthermore the flower of the French Chiralry had fallen at
Agincoust and cutil late in the war, the French could
not Feild any decent commander. This led to
Many deteate; slid as at the 'Rattle of the
many defeats; such as at the Bottle of the  Herring's' I Where the French Commandos inability to  Lowerd the Scot's mean that they were manted.
CENTED the SCOLES MOON MAT they was souted
For II addition the English Well counters victories
Fox In addition the English Don Courtess victories
against the French and bestigned only took many strongholds.  They took Rown, Faloise and many other places. They English
LITERS FOOD ICCMIN), FAIOSE, MID MONY OTHER PROPERS. 11-30 ENGIN

(This page is for your first answer.) also don and hickories at Covolt,
Voricel, the Bottle of He Herring's, this meant that
the pauphies army was destroyed and could not Fight
the Endish. As they Frach needed as army to Fight the
wo, the English kept Loncostron Normany, mit the
French and red a real army.
In conclusion, the it would be four to say that
the alliace with Burguidy was an important factor, although
Factors such as the English harring good generals and
the pourty of the Dauphi also played the role. The
most importal factor was probedy the powerty of the
Dauplin '

# Results lus Examiner Comments

The answer notes the important role of Burgundians in providing the bureaucracy which underpinned English rule in France. The candidate demonstrates the importance which Bedford attached to the alliance, and the steps he took to maintain it. Set against these factors is consideration of a number of French problems, notably the importance of Agincourt which led to the death of so many French nobles. There is an attempt to develop an overview of the significance of the alliance: low Level 5.

### Question 11

Generally question 11 was answered well with most answers in level 4 and 5. There were no answers in level 1 and few in level 2. Generally the lowest marks seemed to be on papers where it was the second essay and was either rushed or unfinished.

Some answers within the Level 4 attainment band spent too much time on narrative at the start of the essay, focusing on either a comparison between Henry VI and his famous father, or on a description of his fairly bleak childhood. Most answers included his mental state, which every candidate agrees was that of catatonic schizophrenia, the effect of the war on finance, the substantial ambitions of York, and the role of favourites, most notably Somerset. Others in addition mentioned Margaret, her unexpected pregnancy, and the implications of the birth of her son for the duke of York. The role of the war was not developed by all candidates. Some only mentioned it in passing. Others discussed it along with a lengthy description of York's time in France and after, and tried to draw a comparison between York and Warwick. Very able candidates compared the varying fortunes of the Lancastrians and Yorkists, and linked this to Henry's treatment of favourites. Even the weakest of candidates understood the significance of York and Henry's treatment of him. A few misunderstood the question and framed their answer on York himself, with Henry getting barely a mention. A number of candidates mentioned Cade's rebellion but not many referred to the defeat at Castillon; neither of these events was linked to their effect on the prestige of the crown.

In the year 1455 the first of a long Series of battles broke out since named. The war of the Roses, between two rival English factions, the Houses of York and lancaster. The revens for the outbreak of the wars were as follows: English military defeals in france, the weaknesses of Henry VI as King, Royal debt, the involvement of Margaret of Anjou, Doble rivalries and the power ambitions of Richard of York. It is clear that defeats for the English in france did play a role in the outbreak of conflict, However, what is for more important is then VI's weaknesses as king historius to control a divided notifity and record/pranote notices his

(This page is for your first answer.) Personal Mental frailties Which made a fight for power almost inevitable. Before the Reign of Henry UI his father, Henry V established a large English Empire in Prance holding large anounte of territory and posts a syripicut rilitary Threat to the Forch leadership. Englands Military accomplishments culminated in the flusheric Dictor at Aginacourt houser, after Henry V's deal, a new King Herry VI was crowned who was certainy not The warlike leader England had grown to Lerve During Menn's reign England Suffered Humiliating depeats to forde hads both under the command of the Durier of Suppolk and someset whoweve give The lieulerants of Gance in the absonce of a warrior King England lost hose overs of land and so typhe end of 1450 were left with only a small area of france, Calais, protected by its english garrison This loss of land and wealth did not directly cause the outbreak of come cittet Civil Conflict in England, However, what it did do was antagonise Richard of York,

(This page is for your first answer.) He large northern Magnate who holding lards in france, felt he should have been in Charge and last his land unressured without real reason. What is also clear is that he depeats in france seved served a nuch more important role, in showing Henry UI's inadequacies as king. Instead of the corrier taking land from the Hostile french. The Pious new Vi-, dedicated most of his time to church and university building back at home Henry UI had many Sisrificial Weaknesses as long. The first was her wability to rule stike his father due to his pions native in the redieval world a warror Wing was required to keep England on he map his absence ment England was to suffer grently at the hands of its evening 12/so, Herry had a very & poor concept of patronage and, with the guidance of his wipe, Margaret of Anjos initially supporting De hapless Suffolkand Olen after his death the divisive. Soft soverset, Henry only served to alienate de powerfil robles, such as Kichad of york, who were left out

(This page is for your first answer.) and left without a true place at court because of his Henry's fouovition towards unhelpal or problematic allies. Further more, Henry's nest important failure and weakness was his Mental insecurity which after his collapse in 1453, left a power vaccon vaccon where his wife Margaret and the next heir Richard were to come into greater confict over who should rule England, Henry's Conbination of failures treat that those whose alliance Herry could have used were abandoned and possible everies were not reginal in but in fact artagonisal to apoint where apower Thougale became inevitable. Henry's lavish use of ill jedgede patronage and spending on failed campaigns reach that the English crown was lept in a state of debt never seen before in English Mistory. Hern gave rone, to rables such as Soverot along with large areas of Crown lands inted of paying of his debts and leavily The crown open to attack. Hen's greated debtor was in fact his courin

(This page is for your first answer.) Richard who even after giving his tring hoge soms of money was passed over time and time again leaving Henry still indebted. Maving a large smof his wealth field or in The only served to antegorize kickerd futher and reduce there's ability to fight back in the overlage a violent Queen of England, Margaret of Anjos. also Played a Key rolein re outbreak of conflict in 1455 Allough not a najor player before 1453, The collapse of hor husband and bith of an heir neart that she then had a sympteat role to play in the politics of the ration. Margaret let her support to Richards prival, Soverset, and even bried to have Richard Killed on a number of occassions, furthermore, giving lark to a new direct heir to he Throne sent reach that, Richard of York was now left with little position at court. During Richard protectorate Margaret also shove to protect the Claim of her son, so much so that

(This page is for your first answer.) after the recovery of her husband all Richard advisors a court were expelled and someret, Put in Su tower by Richard Was reinistated as Chiefaduisor Margaret artagonised Richard at everytorn and is so doing created OF Hamed between he two which Certainly added to Richards growing level of duco-let leading him to rise UP IN 1455. The greatest robble rivally in England was that between He lyngs lancastrials and Richards Yorkisks. This Rivaly, extending from The questionable rise of almoastrian to The More left Richard of York seeking a Those that should be his from a man in competant to rule. Another producty rivaly also existed setuen Tork and Somerset. The interse hatred be twee a de two and then somersels loss of freigh land, meant York was left isolated at court and in creasingly rebellions. Also and much more inpolarly, throughout the countrylocal risalaies existed in which the y failed to intervene which by far was one of

(This page is for your first answer.) The Main Causes of while Support for both sides. The two largest rivalries occured between the Bonvilles and courtering in he South word in which Richard personally intervene and between he Percy's (lancastres) and the Yorkist Neviller in he north. The failse to cortel rivalrier near dividing lines were drawn and support rou grev for an opposition to Hen who lost terpers to brew and spill over. The final factor in the o-Horeale of he wars was he ambition of Richard of York Swept from de Olvone due la de langustrian taleaugr in the certify precious lept Richard fooling left of and belonged whilst also me birk of Hear, 5 Son also reart he was no longer he heir and so power could only be advanced Throgh action Herry, indebted to Richard Failed to reword him and inclead left him antagonused by evil advisor? who sorth to keep nim out. The final straw come when after Herris rental collapse

(This page is for your first answer.)



The candidate provides some appropriate contextual material on the reign of Henry V, and has a clear understanding of the significance of the loss of French lands in causing the Civil War. There are some effective links made between English losses, the growing antagonism of York, and the personal weaknesses of Henry VI. The role of Margaret of Anjou is addressed, and there is a substantial section on divisions within the nobility. A well focused conclusion and secure evaluation overall: mid-Level 5.

#### **Question 12**

This was a popular question, but candidates should be reminded of the need to read the question carefully and to take note of any dates. There were quite a few cases where candidates had focused on either the first or the second reign of Edward IV, which meant that their answers lacked chronological range. The best answers dealt confidently with the whole reign and were able to consider issues such as Edward's changing authority over time and how far he managed to restore it by the time of his death. Again, there was a tendency amongst some candidates to focus on one aspect of his reign, for example his relationship with the nobility at the expense of considering other areas of his government such as foreign policy and finance. Weaker answers had little to say on Edward's first reign, when the king established his power throughout the country, notably in the north. Only a few noted the extent to which Edward relied on his brother Gloucester who, unlike Clarence, was completely trustworthy. The second reign was known in greater detail, though more might have been made of the restoration of royal finances and the significance of the Chamber.

(This page is for your first answer.) How for did Edward IV restore the authority

0) the monorchy in the years 1461-83? · Got nid of Lorcustion threat after 71. - Howeful soogunates regional magnitus - prein alliences Edward IV restored the authority of the monarchy in the years 1461-83. He did this by being heavily involved in Government and restring political stability. After the reign of Henry II, the country was almost bankrupt, but by being more involved and opining finances checeve possible, he repeat restore authority. After boding overhow by Wew: Us Merry II: 1470 he soon returned to 1471 and made sure to get ind of any possible Loncostrian Claimont. He also set up powerful regional magnetic see around the courty who were not overnighty and this relied on him for power restoring authority. Only problem was how young # Eggs Edward II was when Edward III died as this caused many problems, but this can really out of his

(This page is for your first answer.) hords, so during the years 1461-83 he reals did everything he could to restore regal authority. At the start of Edward's reign it was clear to needed bring about political stability and establish himself as King, unlike his weak predecessor, Hony II. He made she he boxed Henry II up so he could commune with his reign. Killing Many was a problem that would exertically come book and depose him though Warricks rebellion of 1470. However er, he leant from his mistakes and made sure he tilled Henry II in his second reign. He also made she he got rid of any possible heirs of Hemy II that many try and overthow him leter on, but weight Edward, Edward of Loncouver (formally prince of Wales) was Filled in the Battle of Teukson in 1471, leaving him clear of any possible Lancastrian chaimants With How I'm Edward elimanted Worwick was also week problem during this reign, niceroned the "king make; as he had helped to get both Edward and Henry on the throne. After his rebellion of 1470 however, Edward had oppor bear from his mistakes and get aid of him. Worwick at the Battle of Barnet thus ording his extra-Killed ordinary coreer in politics! Educat had eliminated the only possible Lancastrian Claimonts and exertally got rid of the overnighty subject, where the resource his royal authority booses at the start of his second reign. & Edward also did not distorce himself for the

(This page is for your first answer.) nobility od cou vey had on h monaging his magnates. He established his most toosted magnates and the country, not forming a certain few like Henry head doe. He placed his brother, Richard, Duke of Glovene in the now wo had proved to be very loyal to him and allowed him to bild up a great power base there. The Grey brother were situated in the south - west, Edward, Prince of Wales and has protector, Earl Grey to use put in the Welsh Marches, after total All of these notices reved on Edward to their power and thus remained leyel restoine the authority to the monorthy. Edward also had to do alor to turn around the regal frances. His prediction had not moraged them very well see bourses freely giving our money b his favorises, this head now left him a very good position. Edward belong opposited secret commissiones to make she has getting his rough free where it was due. He did this through the means of rough looks, custom revenues and feudal alos. He also explained some establishment has people right and garned more through, wordships, recent bishoprics and judicial fees. Not only this but he looked for entre ordinary more where he could find it mes cooled by adding through on pandage to like a execut to example. By the end of his reign. Educal oxed solvent and thus seek respect the authority of the morety Finally Eduard hardled his foreign allower well. He invaded France in 1475, but soon managed to sign the Treaty of

(This page is for your first answer.) Picquiony, where Edward was basically bought of and by the Frech and left with a Frach person.

Also upon hearing of the South attacks, he seek the trailed.

Richard, Dive of traces in Scotland and successfully gained.

Bowill you - Tread. In reality, Edward brew that gaining land a Goodfood as France and Scotland would cause more trouble than its worth, and readly only worked their alliance, and this as is what he got. He also made a lot of more of the smoot lived French invalve, as he aid not have be speed the money held raised because to French are so quick to come to terms. He established brownell as a focus to Europe and regained some of the respect low or belongly of Henry III on his

Jew mitales his first reign though his monge & Elizabeth had been to his first reign though his monge & Elizabeth had been to his house he leant from his mitales of having overnight subjects and possible Locatric claimant will alive to overhead him. His brief was wrupped to 1470 as yearly a big learning and the Edward and may after consideral kings to one & Edward and may after contract the power to him his reign.

The other positive problem he had a see leaving a miner Edward II to mile. This coursed a let of problems with Richard Dure of York and the Washvilles and lea to to everyall dispressure and possitive minde of his two sous.

Clearly though. This was not Edward fault of his own

(This page is for your first answer.) as he died natually is his bed. Upon his death it can not be deried that the authority of morally ned bee resored. He had mass importably of all apt ridg on Lacastria daimate with Henry I and his son dead, and the made sure there were no everyothy subjects could possibly feel ill-treated and attempt to seek reverge. He and this through the death of Wers: whe and execution of Closice. He established himself mor firmly in government and appointed several tusted is undermightly incorporate orand. He county become Also and expere his authoris. He also died solver, howing fine timbs secured the throne's traces by opening special commune to make she was getting money where his money was due through both ordinary and extraordinary income. It was an incredible conserveret ogblight his death it is taken his death it is clear that royal authority had Most definitely been restored in the years 1461-1483.



The answer has secure range and depth of material, with an effective introduction and a clear conclusion. The threats posed by Henry VI and Warwick are addressed, as well as the significance of the territorial control exercised by the Duke of Gloucester. The restoration of royal finances, and an effective foreign policy, are both considered well. A good range of developed information, coupled with a clear overview. Mid Level 5.



Remember that 'how far' is asking you to reach a judgement and draw conclusions. For this question you should mention ways in which Edward did restore the authority of the monarchy, and ways in which he failed to do so. Then you reach a judgement!

(This page is for your first answer.) The Nobility were extremely powerful during Edward IV. reign and the monarchy Ly always in doubt as there in always internal and ever sometimes external throng England bere in civil was for a lot of the 15th Century and it continually affected the authority and also the Prestige of the monarchy because the king during this period my never sofe. The End of world or King maker as he work troom uns ver important in Edwards rule as he helped Edward take the throne from the ill Herry VI. However after Edwards marriage to Elizabeth poodwille the Earl of Granvick lost Power as he same himself grave. Thus what he actually was and after Edward most cyclish War Wiks proposal to mary a french lady Extrema Warrick turned by Edund and make an attenst out there UI & when was tropped to the lover buck on the throne so really be ause or carrick not getting his muy he west against the authority of the Crown Showing the lack of authority. In 1460 whick used his brother Churence to start a rising in the North and when Edward was off Fighting

(This page is for your first answer.) in the best worwick and his troops landed south and nucled on Cordon and restored Hears VI to the throng for the second time while Educa was busy fighting in the North Educat Fled From England has so as not to be captured again a Sharing the weakness of the Royal authorits and the countrat one man who was not the king variable had so much power in figliands to be able to make a king in Edward IV and tun to lanke the comes from him and restore the previous trong Hear, U. init undermines the gover or the mornin. Edward distor have to wit long honever in earle he along with his brother Richard who later became Richard Dake OF Glowester and Richard III decented way with in a buttle while the Kingmuser was simuly trilled - and then Edward began took by thronge For the second time. Edward Still had to deal with a lot more problems after that Herry VI for one who was still where and his son Edward Direce prince of water. In 1462 Edward IV and Edward to exince or Vales figures Froget in the buttle of Tecksbury with Education Winning but not only did he win but Eduard prince of hall, has Killed in bottler and Henry Wil Law Custored again by Eduard and eventually as the Listorian Colin renderly said "numbered" in the tome house - he me was suffering from schizornenia sa he could of died of nutural courses but still with Henry us dead and his son killed in buttle adoud and with Herry Tudor in exile in France factored was the againsthed moment which groved to be the end of civil war in forgland until founds death

(This page is for your first answer.) Edward 10 un vers Uzver anickly having people about him whom he could trust and who would never fight against him His most prayed soldier his brother Richard was named Richard Duke of Glancester and he was sont to the North Which we the nost trablesome was a with the Scottish his one from d Cord Hustings Controlled the middle une all this made England a lot more stuble which in turn required by course greatige was extlying ofter the theat to it by the Earl or warwick and Eduna e-Trice or under Edward vay quiring a lot of forer in the any he reled he even had his from Charence kinex by being dranger in a burrey of wine after he called Gaunia a bistand and Josiny Le should sit be Kings Shoring Gamas Strength the respect which any not the For the crown before wind were Hears U lost agricult in France was reducted under the varior hing. Edward being the majented king through his attention to incremina Royal prestige over sees with a war in fine wee he lest trease out too. until frace speed a treaty with England were the laid England many this was the as white home to be Edward and For the English Cour A bis Problem in England has the descripts and how the country was to be ruled and who would rule it after following diea Edward reader a son and he had true children the older Faura who was to be come the next to my of England ofter his fales dath. This left England strong the denuts was seeme and Royal authority my growing and growing ugaer Edward and that is so clear to see that letter the

(This page is for your first answer.) Death of warnick and Flaure prince of bules ther was no internal threats against Edward Ofter that period · htm. 100h 1462. Royal authority was lost under Henry Ul after he failed too keep francourt putich his futter terry u was Un in 1415 against Englands main enems to French. After that England were in constant civil was named the over of the Roses with Significant bottles; the bottle of towner were terrs of my captures and the buttle of tertusburg 1462 like-e Eduard pine of myle, any Killed were both a decisive with victorios for the Xurkists and it was the who rathered the authority under Edward at when he was because und squited king in 14 62 he used that too limit the gover nobley had are give great four to his most trusted ex Richard Dake of Growester: The Rosal antho-ity entry at it's peak under foliand until his doubt in 1403 when it was questi-ned by exemply most typolica friend and Groter Richard Dike of Goulester.



Much of the answer considers the role of personalities only, and is unclear on the developments during each reign. There is only a limited range of relevant material in the answer, but there is an attempt to frame an explanation. High Level 3.

#### Question 13

Perhaps the wording of the question required a need for candidates to re-arrange the information from the oft used format. This seemed to challenge many candidates from across the levels of attainment. For those who chose to focus on Lambert Simnel and Perkin Warbeck there seemed to be a lack of understanding about the fact that both in essence were Yorkist inspired and led threats. Many seemed to think that the involvement of Margaret of Burgundy somehow meant that English nobles were not involved and hence concluded that 'the nobles did not pose a threat to Henry VII'. Several simply focused on Simnel and Warbeck, thus producing a one-dimensional and imbalanced answer. The issue of the way in which Henry enforced noble loyalty and hence lessening the chance of a threat was overlooked by many candidates. Bonds and recognisances, and effective measures to limit retaining are two obvious examples, coupled with the work of the Council Learned in Law. Some candidates tried to show that the nobles only really posed a threat when they allied with foreign powers, but there was little evidence of this observation being developed into a discussion about the relative threat posed by individual nobles rather than the nobility as a body. Candidates tended to see the noble threat at a constant level of seriousness in terms of events. For example, they noted the long term threat of Warwick and Suffolk rather than considering the wider picture where changes were taking place which in reality lessened these threats. Furthermore, although Henry may have felt constantly challenged by noble threats until the end of his reign, in reality his position as king was strengthened through his foreign policy and the improvement in the finances of the crown, both of which had an adverse effect on the ability of the nobility to pose a serious threat. Equally, the ease with which Henry dealt with some of the rebellious nobles should lead to a conclusion that the particular threat under discussion was not serious. This question showed that many candidates had not really appreciated the inter-connectedness and dynamic nature of the strength of noble threats versus the power of the king and in so doing the assessment of 'how far' was mechanical by necessity.

(This page is for your second answer.) How far do you agree that the noblety pover posed a wheat to them Mrs M's role?
After winning the Battle of Boswarth Field in 1485 Henry VII's main priorities were securing the throne and ensuing his dynasty. Although he wont
about this in soveral ways, the his main concern
was control of the nobility. Henry VII witnessed Luckily for Henry,
he there was no 'kingmaker' like the like is Budsingham
during Honry Vis reign or the Earl of Wartholk during Edward streign. These so-called over-mightly subjects; Which had plaged the reigns of previous kings,

This simple fact already means Henry MI came to penar.
This simple fact already means Henry has no need to sear one overly penagel noble altempting to stead the throne by himself. Henry knew the nobles would have to make allreres to overthiow him. However

(This page is for your second answer.) this did not make Henry any less suspicious of the nobility booms 1/13 suspicion proved correct though when, in 486, Lambert Sinnel, a boy of only ten, was masqueaded as the missing Earl of Warnick. This was of coase a he as Henry had improved the real tool of Warinda within the Fewer of London. What fewed llong most way the backing Simnel was recieveding from both both English motors and Irish nobles. The Earl is kildare declared him Eduard VI in Oddinia 1988. Oldin. Then in the m girl half of 1487 the Eat of Lincoln led Simuel's forces against Heavy Which collainated in the Battle cs Stoke. Although Henry proved victorias and the It leades of the affair killed , it deeply shocked him 1970 monging Henry While also showing the & gragility ext his rule. If the nobles would sollow a 10 year old son of a joiner, who I else could they rally behind? This was further emphasiscol during the workede Wartoeck repellion witch lasted from 199 1491-1497. Unsortenately for Hory this was par more reading than

widespread than the Simnel again and had fore was a far greater threat to his reign. The 1945 On the other hand, no the vast majority of the nobility vvelramed Henry VII as they saw ending the past 3° that years of algoristic cangled known as the Wass of the Roses

(This page is for your second answer.) Henry kept his premise many Elizabeth of York this uniting the mal hases of Kork and Lancaster. This would have pacified many Yorkists as the reason for the conflict has disappeared. More importantly however, Henry us a firm ruler, who knew the full extent of how dangerous a composed probably buly is Writing in the & Softenbeurth Cisteenth Contrary several year offer the death of Hony VII, Polydore Vegil states "he was either loved or hated". In mony ways this his role. Whose a per He was slow to remad but quick to punish meaning only the most loyal nobles received his dessing. Many notes were too again off of being atainful thouse to m which all your lands and tilles were remared, to ever clare rise against him one e or even show the slighter hint of disobedience In condision, it hald be size to sey the nubility did indeed pose a freat on exhanaly sators one is greatly exagorated there

Mony ca	the nobles			but neutral	
Herry and	Mrs &	6 the	degree	that mo	my did
not done	rise agar	st him.	le onythi	ny there	nere
much more	Sorius	theats of	Soyn fix		<u> </u>
opposed (	o English	nebility.	ĺΛ	the som	S.
foreign	nations	as oppose	ed bo	theats	Sun

(This page is for your second answer.) Whin.



The noble threat is illustrated largely with reference to the Simnel and Warbeck risings, though there is little information provided on the latter. There is an attempt to investigate Henry's relations with his nobility but there is insufficient information offered to make the points stand up. Low Level 4.

#### **Question 14**

Weaker answers described Henry VII's entire foreign policy without addressing the reason why relations with Spain and Burgundy were important. Most answers showed good knowledge of the main treaties between the countries, although development of this material was limited in some cases. Candidates needed to recognise that the question was about reaching a judgement about why relations were so important, rather than simply describing the relations, or asking which country was most important to Henry. Some students widened their range of supporting material to include reference to England's relations with France and Scotland. Weaker responses made basic comparisons between the relative importance of all four countries to Henry, stronger responses showed how relations with other countries, especially France, affected the reasons for the need to have good relations with Spain and Burgundy. At the lower end of the Level 4 answers concentrated on one main factor, usually the issue of securing the Tudor dynasty through marriage with Spain and countering pretenders with Burgundy. At higher levels candidates recognised how the disputes over marriage at the end of Henry's reign put a strain on keeping both Spain and Burgundy as allies, and linked relations to wider anti-French alliances. It is important to note that candidates were able to illustrate Anglo-Burgundian relations throughout Henry's reign to 1506. However, for many candidates the significance of the Spanish alliance was restricted to the treaty of Medina del Campo only. Future candidates are advised to develop their knowledge and understanding of Anglo-Spanish relations over the whole period.

When Henry first come to throne his security was extremien were as there was high posibility of his crown being isusped. He needed good relations with spain in crole to imprae hu rayor secrity. Relations with Burgundy stated off strained at the begining of Buguay heted him had vouped he brothe off the throne Likewise modernillion to woo very unreliable as he was districted by the italian was (This is shown in his factive to produce troops in Brittony). Henry needed good relations Buguay in order to improve end to stop magazet compland cocont him Hower things only deterocted after phillip took are direct

(This page is for your second answer.) Ne of Burgunay in 1493 Henry was extremien warred about his searty afte magaret continued to support persion Wolbeck He wote a lette to philip but when he got no reply he put an embogo en Ercole from 1493-1496 The Shoved How womed Henry was about his secreting and Why It was so important to him to have a good relationship with Buginay Hang and morage Henry's relationship with Bugnay and monege to slightly improve during the modile of his reign, this was important as he was able to get Suffolk back and treature improve his searify. In 1504 isobello of costille alled a Phillip and Federand both worked to be the new rule. Henry decided to back philip as he hoped to get suffalk book + improve his secrity Luckily, a freak stom meant phillip was forced to take retuge in england and signed the freety of window in 1506. Thu was very important as he was able to win suffork book who was greatly Enrectening his security. He the also gamed a marriage alliance between prince Henry L Phillips siste which ment his securely

(This page is for your second answer.) would be greetly increased

a they would no longer hobor pretendes

to his throne which was very important. Howeve relations only got wore again offer phillip died a year later and the treety of window was abandoned with phillips siste refung to many the meat Henry's secrety was row again as they could easily habour more preteroes. It was important that he had good relations So in tun his secrety world be improved but he fouled to do this with Buguay Unlike Burgundy, relations with spoin started aff well as they were both united in their fear of France Tho was very important for Henry to have a good relationship with spain as they vere dominant powe in Europe in the both contiles signed the treety of medino del Compo. The was extremely important as It stated spain wald not hobor pretended egiclant Henry which mostively improved his secrety. It was then renewed in 1499 which was again vital becase ment wobeck couldn't look to span to

(This page is for your second answer.) On allience and so incremed

Henry's secrety Another important

Another important term of the treaty

was first actioned Arogon would many

Arthur. The was important as it would Cement their Anglo-Sponon relationship However things stated to detellate when catheline rethred to many Arthur Colve to the instability of pekin wabeck on Henry & ferdinad agred are the size of cotheine's dawy Eventually cotherne did mory Ather in 1801 but Arthur died a year rate which greatly threatened Henry 5 relations with Spain, and trerefore his security, Henry quickly befored he son prime Henry to many cotherne Howeve Henry began to think his reletionship with span wort a impotant affe the death of 120bella in 1804. He Eraght they were no large the dominant powe , so refused to let prince Henry morry ocheme. To more matter work Henry onlyed Spoun by backing Phillip of Burgunay instead at Fedinard. Spain quickly Showed their dissolistation with Henry by moking an alliance with France and

(This page is for your second answer.) Showing him they reduced him This greatly reduced him security a france was notouristy known for helping pretended to the corne Henry's reduced to greatly with spour was important as it greatly affected his security. They were

a dominant and influenced power who Could easily help pretendes to comp Henry's we no longe impotent of If pushed them into moking on allions With France which left Henry isolated of vey income arcu, Henry's relationship with soon and Burgunay was very important to his secrety on the throne By failing to keep good relations with either confus he was self feeling isolated and vey insecre After the Italians was France, Brownay and spain Signed a freaty together which further 1801ctea Henry His relotions with spain + Bigurally directly offected his security a they were in a cay position to help rebellions egoint him and push hum off the throne, this morning relations with then extremely important



The answer considers both Burgundy and Spain. There is some lack of clarity on Burgundy, notably on the role of the Dowager Duchess Margaret, but information offered ranges over the whole period to 1506. There is some understanding of the implications of Medina del Campo, and Anglo Spanish relations are taken well beyond 1489. There is an attempt to analyse these aspects of Henry's foreign policy, with sufficient supporting material for mid Level 4.

All examiners commented that the standard overall for this examination session was very good. An increasing number of candidates are engaging well with periods of early modern history, and their enthusiasm often comes through in their answers. Some of the options are not very well resourced in terms of texts or other materials. Centres and their teachers are to be congratulated on effective teaching and learning for this unit, which is largely achieved by their own sterling efforts.

## **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx">http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx</a>

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US026427 January 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





