



# Examiners' Report June 2009

**LEVEL** 

### GCE History 6HI01 Option E/F





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternately, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034



ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- Raise attainment by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- **Spot performance trends** at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- Personalise your students' learning by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper
  you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit <a href="http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home">http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home</a>

June 2009

Publications Code US021421

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2009

### Contents

History 6HI01 Option E/F	
General Comments	2
Option E/F – The Expansion and Challenge of Nationalism	5
E1/F1 – The Road to Unification Italy, c1815-70	5
E2/F2 – The Unification of Germany, 1848-90	14
E3/F3 – The Collapse of the Liberal State and the Triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896-1943	21
E4/F4 – Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931-75	27
E5/F5 – Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945-91	34
E6/F6 – The Middle East, 1945-2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalism	35
F7 – From Second Reich to Third Reich: Germany, 1918-45	38
Grade Roundaries	47

### 6HI01 - General Comments

Centres are to be warmly commended on the good preparation of their candidates for the examination. The range of knowledge deployed was quite substantial overall, and most attempted an analytical approach to the question. Answers were in the main relevant and substantial, particularly in relation to the time allowed. The main problems occurred when candidates did not read the question correctly or ignored or misunderstood the set timescale.

The quality of written communication was generally good across the whole range of scripts. Most answers were organised into coherent paragraphs and candidates made a real attempt to shape their answers into a balanced argument. Key marker words and phrases, such as 'however' and 'on the other hand' were regularly used in answer to those questions which invited a comparison. In most cases candidates did attempt a conclusion to their answers, though these were sometimes not very explicit. It was also encouraging to see many students jotting down some sort of plan before beginning their answer. Sometimes, however, these were very detailed indeed and covered two sides of the booklet; on occasions extensive planning seems to have worked to the detriment of the second answer.

Analysis was implicit in many cases. Candidates need to make sure that the points they are making are supported with sufficient historical knowledge to make the points stand up. There is a case for centres providing more guidance on the difference between choosing relevant examples and generalising. Above all, evidence has to be focused on the question, which means explaining the significance of the examples used. Although most candidates' knowledge was broadly accurate and relevant, there were many, even those who showed evidence of a sophisticated argument, who lapsed into tracts of descriptive free-standing material. In many answers, however, there were examples of very significant inaccuracies. In A12, some believed that Richard III came to the throne after defeating Edward IV in battle, while many in A13 and A14 were convinced that, since Henry Tudor had spent much of his life abroad, he was a foreigner. Several answers to D6 believed that Nicholas II was a leading opponent of the Bolshevik government, while others enrolled Martin Luther King as a member of Black Power. Some candidates in F7 were of the firm belief that the Wall St Crash occurred in 1924, and that hyper-inflation continued through the whole period of 1924-29.

Several answers were weakened by candidates' uncertainty as to the meaning of words and phrases in the question. In particular, there was a lack of understanding of key words and concepts commonly used by historians, such as 'economic', 'social' and 'political'. Many were unsure about the 'economy of midfourteenth century England' in A7, 'social change' in D4, 'personal dictatorship' in D8, and 'power and prestige' in D14. There were a small but significant number of candidates in E/F2 who failed to understand the concept of Bismarck's diplomacy, referring to his ability to be tactful with other politicians or his inability to maintain a calm situation.

Many candidates had been well trained to consider a range of relevant factors which contributed to a situation or outcome. It has been very pleasing to see that most candidates were able to access Level 3 which requires some attempt at analysis. However, there were several questions where such an approach was inappropriate and where considering other factors proved counter-productive. Question A14 required a focus on the significance of Spain and Scotland in strengthening Henry VII's security. Some answers dealt with these two factors, only to consider others, such as relations with Burgundy, tackling noble power and improving royal finances. Question D10 asked candidates to consider the extent to which Black Power hindered Black civil rights in the 1960s. Again, the significance of Black Power was considered, but other points were also mentioned, such as King's failures in the north and the growing alienation of white people and successive presidents. The relevance of these points was marginal. In question E/F1 candidates often penalised themselves by writing excessively long answers through assessing the positive and negative influences of the Catholic Church on Italian unity and then producing a list of other factors which hindered Italian unity when only the first part was necessary; this in turn led to less time being spent on the second question.

In questions where the focus of the question was based on relative importance many good responses were unable to achieve high Level 4 or Level 5 because of a lack of balance with reference to the given and other factors. In the majority of cases this occurred when the candidate dismissed the given factor as being unimportant and produced a list of relevant of other factors without assessing why these factors were more important than the factor given. In question E/F4 candidates often wrote a generalised statement about Republican divisions within the Spanish Civil War before giving a detailed account of the contribution of foreign intervention (which was the question set in January). A smaller number of responses referred exclusively to the given factor with little reference to other causal reasons.

One way in which centres might be able to improve candidate performance is to familiarise students with the different types of questions which can be asked in Unit 1. For example: 'How far do you agree that the Black Power movement hindered Black civil rights in the 1960s?' is a question focused entirely on the role of Black Power. However, 'How far do you agree that the Black Power movement was the most important reason for the failures of the civil rights movement in the 1960s?' is a question requiring consideration of a number of different factors, including Black Power.

Equally, the following examples require a consideration of several relevant factors:

How far was Harald Hardrada's invasion of the north responsible for William of Normandy's success at Hastings? (A3)

To what extent were disputes over religion responsible for the Dutch revolts? (B5)

To what extent were the weaknesses of their opponents responsible for the survival of the Bolshevik government in the years 1917-24? (D6)

However, the following examples require a narrower focus on the issue raised in the question:

How far did Henry II exercise effective control over his many territories? (A5)

To what extent did Luther's challenge to the Catholic Church change in the years 1517-21? (B1)

How far did the position of Black Americans improve in the years 1945-55? (D9)

An understanding of chronology is an essential quality for historians to display and this is perhaps the area of most concern in regards to underperformance of candidates. Many seemed unaware that 1489 saw both the Yorkshire rising against Henry VII and the conclusion of the treaty of Medina del Campo (A14); or that 1521 saw the Edict of Worms issued against Luther (B1). However, it was in Options C-F that there were many startling misunderstandings of the given timescale. D3 referred to the years 1949-57, but too many went on deal with the Cultural Revolution to no effect. A remarkable number failed to notice that D6 addressed the years of the Bolshevik government between 1917 and 1924. Candidates appeared to notice 'weaknesses of their opponents' and 'Bolsheviks', and wrote exclusively on the period of the Provisional Government. For D8 several wrote about Stalin's elimination of his opponents in the years 1924-29, though the question focused on 1929-39; and many answers to D9 went beyond 1955 to consider the outcome of the Montgomery bus boycott and the events at Little Rock in 1957. In the E/F Option many candidates failed to take into consideration the time parameters set in E/F1, E/F2, E/F3 and E/F6. There were a significant and worrying number of responses to Question E/F 13 which displayed little understanding of the chronology of events in Weimar Germany in the years 1924-29. There were also many examples of a lack of chronological security when using supporting evidence within paragraph construction. Candidates often gave different supporting examples out of chronological order with no references to dates and so undermining the contextual security of their responses.

Candidates should be reminded that they are assessed on their quality of written communication. It is important that as well as writing legibly, with accurate use of spelling, punctuation and grammar, the style

of writing is appropriate within the context of a history examination.

The best responses were those that answered the question set and made direct reference to key words or phrases in the question with clear understanding. For example, in question E/F5 the best candidates were able to address directly the 'appointment of Mussolini as Prime Minister'; in question C5 the 'effective operation of slavery'; and in question D7 the 'essential contribution' of collectivisation to Stalin's transformation of the Russian economy.

Interestingly there was often little difference between the quality of the first and second answer, particularly with stronger candidates. Most candidates answered the questions in chronological order even if they were less sure of their first response and as a result many of the second answers were stronger than the first. Very few candidates obviously ran out of time and it is commendable that so many candidates were able to apportion appropriate time to each question.

### Option E/F - The Expansion and Challenge of Nationalism

**Option E** was a popular option with most of the candidates attempting questions from topics E1, E2 and E3 and a significant number of responses to E4, E5 and E6. Responses were generally well written with a clear analytical framework; however, there was often a disappointing lack of security and accuracy with reference to chronology in particular. Most of the candidates prepared for topics E1 and E2 covering the unifications of Italy and Germany were very knowledgeable, although some needed to select their supporting evidence with more discrimination. It was also pleasing to see so many centres studying the newer AS topics such as post-war Germany, Spain and the Middle East.

**Option F** was a popular question with most of the candidates attempting questions from F3 and F7 with a significant number of responses to F1, F2 and F5. Responses were generally well written with a clear analytical framework; however, there was often a disappointing lack of security and accuracy with reference to chronology in particular. It was also pleasing to see so many centres studying the newer AS topics such as post-war Germany, Spain and the Middle East.

### E1/F1 – The Road to Unification Italy, c1815-70

Many of the examiners commented on the excellent preparation of candidates by centres for this topic. Good candidates, however, sometimes penalised themselves by failing to address the specific question asked; this prevented some candidates from accessing Level 5 marks

### **Question 1**

This question focused on the influence of the Catholic Church on Italian unity and although other factors hindering and/or helping Italian unification may have been pertinent the best answers should have focused on positive and negative contributions of the Catholic Church itself. Candidates were well prepared to discuss the role of the Church in hindering Italian unity but often found it more difficult to suggest positive influences. Weaker answers made generalised references to the reactionary nature of the Church with limited and, often, confused references to Pope Pius IX. However, the majority of answers were clearly able to access high Level 3 and above with clear chronological understanding of the development of Church attitudes over the whole time period. There were some excellent nuanced answers which differentiated between the Church as an organisation and the Pope as ruler and clearly showed the differing responses of Pope Pius IX during the later period. The best answers clearly attempted to evaluate whether the Church 'significantly hindered' Italian unity.



Below is an example of a high Level 4 answer. The response is clearly focused on the question set with direct references to the Church both hindering and helping moves towards Italian unity with specific reference to the role of Pope Pius IX. There is also mention of other influences which may have affected Italian unity such as localism and separatism. The conclusion addresses the nature of the extent to which the Church hindered national unity. The focus on the period from 1848 onwards and Pope Pius creates some imbalance in the answer.

Put a cross in the box indicating the first question you have chosen to answer 図.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box 图 and then put a cross in another box 図.

		and then put	a cross i	n another box ⊠.	
Chosen Questic	n Numbe	2 <b>*</b> ;			
Question 1	<b>2</b> 3	Question 2	8	Question 3	
Question 4	Ø	Question 5	X	Question 6	
Question 7	Ø	Question 8		Question 9	<b>23</b>
Question 10	Ø	Question 11	<b>E</b>	Question 12	
<u>Les</u>	PLA	<u> </u>	Dr Tusc		
- Pau	3/K	13 / Lib. Byns, 1	Jako co	demolitarion Fig.	-> 655
	met ch	A JACONE		degitherial ll	Stored Lacidsuss
- Ul	Socie	s lawere		over 1994 – Proposition of Control Con	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
Itali great it wo	s It	Talson Vinte	e rah He le the	re react the nisula and The mould	rove or impact
7	apazei (a jāv pažvyla 1993)	Marine and the second s	******************************	9564351980pul-vayerxaziybberx?dopbberabbeciybberi	t it was was de temporal e point where

avow and Piedmont where advancing Lowards definate war with Hustia, many sufferte critially called from various Souther, and addition of the support gove 1 a significant and runeous millary garce Haveve during this period of mobilis issued his "Allocution" wherein he dearly st also emphasised the point that being the leader of a soule Not only died this d'ametically Pedmonts military gave due to the high rumbers of Catholic volinteers who returned to aveas such ord Sicily in pear of some form of divine retibu Italia shattered the Neo-Guelias ideadogical beliefs of a sederal state under the R many New-Guelph Nationalists Meaning the the pocess of Wynotic Significantly and was a significan hirdweince to the cause This example raises are reg note about I to at the time, which also had an egget upon the pocess

of uniquation. This was the part that in Italy at the middle of the nineteenth Century, many people were not some allied to their canty so much as to the chienon and Locality. This fortiverist attifude was breed into Italian society the The eighteenth and seventanth Centuries due to the cognerted native of Italy and the ingluence leldby the Chickin socie This impacted upon the cause of Unity, as many people did not see themselves as possessing my real, with gam ga National Conciousess Ingact it was it with the time of Morrisian paragles, and the spead of ideas that it begin to emerge in the widdle-doss This hindered the cause of Italia Wightater, asct near that on Italy duided by particularist religious views and loyalties could not agenise a coherent, welled gont Emulish to mant a companying Uniquation Whates more its outs neart that new Just werent interested in it particularly the Personty who can rarewords gorthenselves Towever there were occasions were the orcusion 1847 and as the actions of Pape lins 1867 Pius IX had been the renty appointed pope of the Romer Cutholic Church and had inherited the title at the begining of what A.S.P. Taylor eyes toos the Revolutions Period. The new pope was bombarded by calls sem liberals

and Nativalists alice and was ultrately good, inade to present violence to introduce a pockage of regards both Social gel political The tensor Here your who were not hugely liberal t timed out to be rather consenative in the Nevertlebers the pages decision to allow region sortu nessage to It alies or eiter side of the state North-South divide The nessage was that regard was possible is it was sought for Vide thor otherise on This nessage belied the cause of Italia Unity, Lyencarogeing libeals and Nationalists to Eight and Capaign gar Sin; regions Key examples of this include tombody Tuscony, and Ever Pedmont whee this every and even agenest from the paper regions evertually led to the genting of the "Statute" Clearly Her, the actions of Pape Pius IX were at me stage a less and berejt to the course of Italia Unity. Overall it is reasonable to determine that the hack's principle rybuero or Italia Chity was a stoing don the cause of Unity ever disillusing smeper all togethe with the Abtractist and libertauses The lefothat Vius's regarms greetate ause were in roulity, arestadard by later for less liberal events and whit is more the revolutions of 1848/1849 are generally Todgedosa gather by Historians such as Aliana Stiles So ory lelpgier by the chuchwas short-term, and conterocted by otherworks subastle allowing

### Question 2

This question required an assessment of the role of foreign influence and intervention in shaping Italian unification between 1858-70. Weaker responses often failed to address the time limits of the question with extensive reference to events before 1858 and/or failing to cover events up to 1870. Many good answers at mid and low Level 4 lacked a balanced discussion of other possible influences that may have shaped the unification process. However, there were many excellent answers with candidates clearly secure in the chronological process and able to discuss the concepts of both 'influence' and 'intervention' with reference to Austria, France, Prussia and Great Britain. Some of the best answers referred specifically to the 'shape' of unification with reference to the geographic creation of Italy comparing the role of foreign powers, Cavour, Piedmont and Garibaldi.



Below is a Level 4 answer which is clearly focused on the question set with direct reference to the influence and intervention of Austria and France showing awareness of the key issues involved. The response suggests other factors which shaped Italian unification including Garibaldi, Cavour and the Church. Paragraphs are explanatory and use adequate supporting evidence but show a lack of specific exemplification and a lack of secure chronology, for example, references to Mazzini.

torigh influence and untervention from the wees
of Garibaldi and Cavair was to a certain extent,
responsible for shaping Italian unfication from 1858-1870.
During this time period, several events such as the
Franco Austricus war, Arm Austro Prussian and Franco,
Aussian wars, contributed to unfication positively.
However, there are also hinderances such as the
Armistice of Villafranca.
Garibaldi was one of the most important figures in unification. As a soldner, he was brove and a strong leader whist as a fighter he was allowed to helping the wave of unification. After returning from excle, Caribaidi afferd his support to Charles.  Albert in the 1859 1859 Austra Franco-Austrian war.

Suspicious of Garibalai and his switch in alliance from nationalist to regalist, Albert refused However, Garibaldi led his troops into the war enginery. He was successful to a certain extent and remound an Italian publist. The influence of France in this war however, which moore insportant in orguning muhiticationimillapoleonimisentimillo, 200 boops....taliq to help drive out the Austroans, His contribution of soldier was significant in bringing about the defeat Of the Austrians at H Magenta and Solferino. A consequence of this war was the Armistice of Villagrania which ensured Predmont would gain Lombardy, Inscary, Modera and Parma rules mould be restored to the duchae's and Austria would remain in control of venetia. The fact that hapden signed the Armstice helped further Me cause of lingication Napoleons unbervention was the crucial factor uniaHaladagi unification. At the Meeting at Biarn'tz in 1865, he struck a double deal to ensure that whatever the outcomes of the 1866 and 1870 war, he would get vereas and he could then pass this on to Italy to be annexed. The Austro Prussiani war of 1866 was a

Sada Ti Caraci and the same
short war at Sadana. The consequences & this
war was that Turcany would be annexed
and Moderna, Parma and Romanga also voted for
annexation. Que to untervention from France especially,
unification eras almost complete
He Napoleons lost action was the most important.
Foreign unfluence and drickery of Bismarck made
Napoleon III declare war on Prussia. One to
the economic and multary restraints in France,
Napoleon was forced to remove the French garnson
un Rome in 1870 to help him in the Franco-Prussian
workcled. Rome was the last state required
ispetetted. Rove was the last state required
to complete unjucation. In this aramstance, due
to Bismarck's Skill, Rome was eventually united
with next of Italy is 1870 after the Pope was
was Carret Ok I da daga was an
жэнсисинденно-дання придавления при
However many of these events can also be be
Seon as hindering unitication. The Armistice
of villagranca by Napoleon entervention actually
delayed ethylicator because Venetia Guastill
under Austriau power. Therefore, it took more
bloodshed and war to acquire it. The Franco
Prussian War in 1870
<u>พระกายกรรมสมาเกษาการการการการการการการการการการการการการ</u>

Although foreign intervention and influence was an important factor there where alle other factors that helped shape while canon. Prise to the 1859 war Carour shod whether as Anti-Austran speech which heightened Nationalism. Many more people wanted unification, and supported at This helped leaders such as Victor Emmanuel II to justify actions such as outleacking the Rope, he was doing it for his people was were used as popaganda, Rept Unyicator on the agenda - and first their dimether Callisan The actions of Leader Such as Garibaldi was helped shape Italian unification also In 1860, the led an expedition to Sicily where he was able to unte Sich and Daple and land their over to Victor Enmanuel Such actions helped to continuously annex land and work touards unifrication In conclusion, the actions of Napoleon were the most significant to achieving Italian' almylication. Through intervention and help in the Atranco Austrian war, Mistria was weakened which created Nationalism. His removal q the French garrico complete unification—in 1870: Other factors altico will tretien a color of traing support and feeling of Dationalism

### E2/F2 – The Unification of Germany, 1848-90

### Question 3

This question focused on the changing balance of power between Austria and Prussia between 1848-66 and required an assessment of the importance of the Zollverein in this process. Weaker candidates described the creation of the Zollverein or the changing relationship between Austria and Prussia achieving Level 3 or below. A significant number of candidates also failed to define the Zollverein itself making the assumption that the function of the Zollverein was obvious. Most candidates demonstrated good understanding of what the Zollverein was, although many failed to acknowledge the date parameters of the question; a small but worrying number of candidates made no reference at all to the war of 1866. Many candidates were clear on other economic advantages that Prussia possessed and the best were able to support their points with accurate statistical evidence. There was also an awareness of the other factors that tipped the balance of power in favour of Austria, including Austrian weakness and the Prussian military.



Below is a L4 response which is clearly focused on the question and understands the key issues involved but lacks balance and security in the discussion of other factors.

PLANS — Most in peta 8?

- Island Part Marting Charles Upon Established College of Burney Stability College of Burney Stability College of Burney Stability College of Burney South Stability College of Burney South Stability College of Burney Coll

## Results Plus Examiner Comments

Paragraph 1 – introduction – brief contextual introduction of Zollverein – date of 1829 may refer to agreement between Prussia and Hesse – with some suggestion that will address the question asked allowing Prussia to take advantage.

He enavagement of free tracle by the Zollwein ultirately bette Prusion revenue invaviry by around 35% invaviry individual wealth in all but the proved aversof Society. This allowed Prusion to inclustrative and modernise her every against one of mensoriety Muling was able to inverse against property of a greater level.

of wealth in some and over While the boost to the economy

Jung-stated the development of a Possian Conciousness.

These gospossells soutors ultimately led to Possian

becoming the leading industrial and economic state is what

worsto become Germany, this nearl that is turn its milliony

and government and be peneloped is later year by people such

or Bismork So while Partie may still however the leading

foregal state out of the true.

This shows how the Zalwein was a rejeriquence in the

grath of lossia and its increase in power within they goes

1848-1866

Examiner Comments

Paragraph 2/3 – shows how the Zollverein led to the economic development of Prussia with adequate supporting evidence but not in specific relation to the time period – suggests that although Austria still the political leader Prussia was now economically dominant with potential military power.

Hnother equally suggisted in the North of the gature Genery!

The possession size toole, and increased arome or a result, then

States towards pression to the fairly where area! 8 of the states that

and bleave Gennay had Joined the Johnein States such

or Bourisa took the step for the and the Bourisan King was

cepated at the time to here gone into talks with the

King of Passia Wilhelm

The formation of these trade into withouters to the allowed

Pression to become increasingly significant within the

The formation of the etade form withothe states allowed Pass a to become increasingly significant within the confederation soming allegiones (normally) with other states.

This changed the balance of fave between the state due to their copied to Join. This allowed Passia to become a doing fave within the Passia to become a doing fave within the Support of the Brook of the south of the support the grown as a result of the significant souther to this copied the Brook significant sector in the changing relationship between the two times fave on Austices for this within the relationship between the tractules of regard stans for within the relationship between the tractules of regard stans for the tractules of the second between 1868 and 1866.



Paragraph 4/5 – links the growth of the Zollverein to Prussian influence and relations with other German states – direct link between economic unity and a decrease in Austrian influence.

The arolation of Italy required Austria to investive everyly more time troops and interest in the evertein Italy. The ment that sandring period the firsting government last trace of the everts or This allowed Prussia to overless Austria on the demirant power not justin their relationship but also in the Gerner Cayede ation. Shipting the balone of pare squarely onto Prussia.



Paragraph 6 – suggest that other factors also important.

A further ceasor for the Stight is Pave of between Austin and Poussia during the period between 1865 and 1866 was the so called "Schleswig-Holstein" Agrain The second of the two events agarding Schleswig Holstein, this had a massive impart upon the two states of Poves an and Austria.

## Results Plus Examiner Comments

Paragraph 7- the challenge to Austrian power in Italy diverted Austrian military resources and political attention away from Germany allowing Prussia to take advantage.

Due to Otto Von Businesses side all calculated society placy
Pression was able to appear as the victim in the again and
the was that everly all goldened Bismer allased the Austius
to become hooked by his paracute into two regarding the
two Bother Dudwe of Schlesnig and Holsten By dainy this
without violating the appearants previously made regarding
the trustates Taint sovereignty one Schlesnig and Holsten
Bismer pearled fast in as the aggress in the relatioshy.
These manipulational politically balliant decisions by
Bismer previous the power to shipt Slightly massisting
towards Passia as a degensive son-aggressive procedure
Tweby creating a sources occurse his late interties of Americalia
of other Gerner States.

Corcluding that the zollverein was the most significant sate in the developing and charging retainship between the two states is very viving and conducting it was the appears of the greats of other forters such as gareign power like Flats mettle last later returns of the Agreein Policially degrarine Otto van Bismere.



Paragraph 8/9 – refers to Austrian inability to deal with Bismarck's diplomacy but here the selection of material and the obvious lack of time left produces weaker supporting material with little specific reference to the events of 1866.

However gives the 20throsis impact and its native as
the preceding forter out of the agreement and parties it seems
dear that without the 20throsis, Passia would not have been
in a position where of the states right allog around it and
cetainly would not have paided Bismers with the flatform be
reeded from which to launch his placial traties & So in this
espect and to conclude, it is dear that the 20throseis was the
initial and most significant research the charging
Austro-Pression relationship



Paragraph 10 – conclusion – attempts evaluation and shows an integration of factors which is clearly shown in the earlier part of the essay but is less secure in later paragraphs

### Question 4

This question focused on the process of German unification and required an assessment of the importance of Bismarck's diplomacy in this process. Most candidates demonstrated an awareness of the role Bismarck played in the unification process and the best candidates were able to focus their answers on elements of Bismarck's diplomacy with accurate and relevant supporting evidence. Weaker candidates struggled with the use of the word diplomacy especially in terms of Bismarck's engineering of wars and this had a clear impact on the quality of the answers produced; some candidates interpreted diplomacy as Bismarck's tactfulness in dealing with other politicians or countries. Most candidates were able to suggest that Bismarck was aided by a range of favourable circumstances although only the very best candidates attempted to weigh up the relative importance of different factors.



Below is an example of a L3 paragraph. The paragraph attempts analysis and shows some understanding of the issues but shows misunderstanding of the historical term 'diplomacy'.

As Bismarck's wars had left him in a dominant position in a country which was almost under a dictatorship it cannot be referred to as a deplamanc policy. Bismarch got on well with wilhelm iv as long Bismarck could do as he wished making his policies and ideas undemocratic The southern states of Germany began to fear French attack, their lack of support for Prussia, unification and The North German Concederation left them runerable to invasion and attack. and relies need for strong army Prussia could clearly provide. was agreed

### E3/F3 – The Collapse of the Liberal State and the Triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896-1943

### **Question 5**

This question focused on Mussolini's appointment as Prime Minister in 1922 and required an assessment of the importance of the growth in support for fascism in this appointment. Most candidates were able to access mid to high Level 3 by outlining the reasons for the growth in fascism or Mussolini's rise to power but in many cases candidates lost focus on how this enabled Mussolini to become Prime Minister just asserting that this 'must have' helped him to power. A significant but worrying number of candidates failed to refer to the events of the March on Rome leading to Mussolini's appointment by the king. A few candidates persistently referred to the king as King Emmanuel .The best candidates were able to offer statistical evidence to show the limitations of fascist support and thus lend weight to the fact that other factors were more significant. Most candidates attempted to offer other factors to suggest why Mussolini became Prime Minister although some struggled to differentiate this from the growth in fascist support.



Below is an example of a L3 answer. The response attempts analysis with reference to the growing support for Mussolini and the Fascists using a clear paragraph structure and relevant supporting material. However, the answer does not clearly focus on the question asked with only implicit understanding of Mussolini's appointment as Prime Minister.

To the years 1919-22 Hussolini gradually introduced Fascism to Italy as a maximum tradher than a party. It was because of this new and unique idea that brought a change into the political actains of I taly that people were unbiqued and days to this new regime as their lack of faidh towards Socialism etc was increasing. As it appealed to a wide audience the was increasing. As it appealed to a wide audience the was discoursed to pain a range of support which lead to his the appaintment of frame Munister in 1922.

In 1919, Mussolini gave a speech to a small crowd of Italians about his ideas of introducing tascism. Obviously support was minor at duis stage and afav months later he only gained 5000 votes in the elections as apposed to the Socialists

Jens or hundreds of thousands. So originally it appeared that Faseism was donned to fuline

Nationalisticuleus in Fascism 20 as to appeal to both areas;

the main political parties within Thaty at the kine This meant that more people agreed with his aims so he was able to generate a wide support. This propert that As both opposing political parties agreed with hum the it it seemed that Mussolini would bring an end to the divide within Italy and join everyone to are party or require. Therefore as he samed to reflect everyones wish of a united Italy. The increase of support led to his appointment of Prime Minister. Many people whe the working class and middle class.

Many people whe she working class and middle class, collectively known as the Petry Bangoeise were anti-Socialist as they felt no bester off from them or the Watanahists and were whely randidates for the support of a unique and outsider party, which is exactly what Mussolini's Fascist reguire was with this support, Mussolini was able to be soon as a perfect post option for Prime Min ster as the appealed to so many.

After the first world war many Italians felt betrayed and angry at the defeat as propaganda led them to believe that a military defeat as humilar as it was was most unlikely. Therefere the support for the leaders of I toly was law due to these clishnest as as Mussolini introduced the taseist regenie it brought

Today that Italians were keen to see which in creased the likelihood of Mussolwider Prime Manister.

Many Idalians that were bored of their way of life pre-Museolini, for example the feedents and youth all wanted to find samething more exciting with more action. So joined the Faseist require Gradually Mussolinis support was graving, appealing to many social groups including the elite who were becoming in idaked with Socialism also. It was due to Mus support gained that Museolini became appointed Prime Museolini terame appointed Prime

KHOSOKUTS FOREIST HOORS

The mage that plusselini created of foreign was are short reflected a strong leadership a united Italy, national prestige and a pawerful and respected nation. This was something that all Italians aspired their country to be, as the war had created a weak whage of Italy and a political and social divide between the people. He pluselini seemed so determined and sure of reaching thuse goals, people were pleased to see such an effect made and felt inspired to be a part of this improvement of Tody so support for him sourced. It became evident that this poverful leader was an ideal andidate for the role of Prime plurister of Italy.

To carclude, Mussolini introduced Fascismat a dine when Italians were desperate for change. His fascist

arms secured to hick the boxes of many peoples wishes which meant that support rame from a number of different social classes all over Italy. If though Socialism was very strong and widely supported in the beginning, many people but ignored or betrayed by their weak actions so were bounded by something new. It was because of all the support Mussolini reviewed for his topset regime in the years 1919 to 1922 that mount that Mussolini was causidered a perfect person for Prime Minister and thus was affairled that position in Italy in 1922.



The following is an example of two paragraphs from a Level 5 response which clearly address Mussolini's appointment as Prime Minister.

reason for Municipiis appointment had to /domin the he conscioued and appointment tel jocter, ernontialle charged Musselini on Prime Minister

### Question 6

This question focused on extent to which Mussolini's foreign policy during the specific years 1933-41 was a failure. Weaker candidates outlined Mussolini's foreign policy with general comment often omitting key aspects of his policy, in particular, the relationship with Britain and France. Most candidates showed a real awareness of the successes and failures of Mussolini's foreign policy and were able to offer specific examples of policies in support. There were some chronological issues where candidates focused on events outside of the given time frame such as Fiume and Corfu or failed to refer to events up to 1941. Many candidates also tried to weigh up the relative nature of success or failure for different events which was impressive although only the very best were able to offer an explicit statement of how far each event was a success or failure, leading to an overall assessment of 'complete failure'.

### E4/F4 - Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931-75

### **Question 7**

This question focused on the reasons for the Nationalist victory in the Civil War and the extent to which this was due to Republican divisions. Many responses dealt with the divisions on the republican side in very general terms and concentrated on other factors particularly the role of foreign intervention and General Franco. A few candidates confused the topic with the rise of Mussolini and made references to Italy. The best responses were able to address the issue of 'how far' and were able to give detailed explanations of Republican divisions referring to a 'civil war within a civil war' whilst integrating the military, strategic and organisational strength of the Nationalists as well.

#### **Question 8**

This question focused on the reasons for the length of Franco's rule and required an assessment of the influence of his repressive policies in maintaining his rule. Very few candidates attempted this question, and it was often weakly answered with few achieving above a low Level 4. Some detail on repression in the aftermath of the civil war was covered but few responses were able to cover the whole period. A limited number of candidates were able to provide additional reasons as to why Franco was able to rule until his death, those who did argued his political skill of getting the support of both monarchist factions, as well as the church and army were key. The best answers were able to produce a balanced answer referring to a variety of factors, often suggesting that a combination of repressive policies and economic progress created a semblance of political stability.



Below is an example of a Level 4 answer which is clearly focused on the question and attempts an evaluation of factors responsible for the length of Franco's rule. The key issues are clearly understood but there is a lack of balance in reference to the given factor of repression and over the whole time period whilst the supporting evidence although adequate at times lacks security and clear awareness of chronology.

### If you change your mind, put a line through the box <del>⊠</del> and then put a cross in another box <del>⊠</del>.

#### Chosen Question Number:

Question 1	$\boxtimes$	Question 2	×	Question 3	$\boxtimes$
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	×
Question 7		Question 8		Question 9	×
Question 10	$\boxtimes$	Question 11		Question 12	$\boxtimes$

It could be argued that Francois use of repression with in Spain wast the main reason for his stoying in power for 39 years. This is backed up by the use of heavy repression and terror upon any of franco's opponents in the years 1936-1945. However # Simply the use of prepression during his regime is not enough in explaining his remained in power In the years Post 1945 Pepression was used much less, some Still existed namely this use of the 'quardia civil' and 'grises', who were groups of nilitary police who suppressed any opposition to franco (much similar to Hitlers Cheka in germany), Also the quite violent reppressions of student revolts in spain during the 60's and 70's. However these forms of repression were

Heavily Subtle compared to that of pre-1945 years. Another recessor for Francos setainment of power could be his reluctory neutrality during WWII. Franco and his nationalist were assisted heavily during the Spanish civil was by Adolf Hitler (germany) and Benito Mussolini (Italy). The supplied him with men in the forms of the German Condor legion (12,000 new) and the Italian black f bnes, also with military material and war craft. They greatly nelped him secure a victory against the Repulsion's. So Naturally it would have been thought that franco would join WWIT on the side of the Axis powers. In reality franco did neet with Alley in learly 405 to discuss forming Allie Hoverer was not join them and as Consequence was not wiped out glong with then upon the Allies victory of the end of the war. In reality however Franco did meet with Hitler in the early 405

discuss forming an alliance and spain doining the war on the side of Atis In the end franco was the leader of an exhausted country who had just finised a grueling civil was and had not much to offer to hitles war efforts, Fit seems that francos demands for land (including North Africa, Portugual cond cilbratter); Financial and military aid were all to much for Wither and thus no such agreement was met. Hitler was also set H claimed to have said he would rather have teeth extracted, than deal with franco: So frances neatrality was purely due to Chance Cather than a strategic

Another reason that rould be stated

Effective fearer franco brought over

and of his reign franco brought over

from reme where to the spanish throne

through the spanish throne

The Turn conos francos reasoning

sor this cos is order for laim to

be by his Side and be moulded into believing in his ideology a franco eventually passed the Erganic law which meant that spain will be brought back to the monarchy, but with Juan Carlos as King in stead of his father who should have been king. This was so Carbs could continue on francis El moviemiento's Thes appeared trancos supporters the monarchist. This deason for him Staying in power is limited as it failed to please all of his supporter such as the Follonge. It also does not account for the year prior to this. Yet another reason could be francos control over the economy's Franco Initially adopted a policy of autory Spain, blocking almost all international trade. This was good for tranco's Nettenalist Supporters as it made them richer = (seeing as franco per & Bonally issued all trade lisences). It did however bring about mass starration and poverty in spain especially for

Republicans. To combat this new problem Franco albued the apus de'i sect to bring about a stabbalisation plan albertung of free to morket economy. This helped him stay in power as He took all wedit for this new economy. A final reason could be the start of the cold wor. After WWIT spain was Isolated from International affairs, including trading blocks and the UN, when the cold this was due to his former ties to Hither and mussolini. wwhen the USA and WSIR entered a cold war, the USA Saw spain es a state g'ic base == against USSR and sour franco as a strong Anti-communist allie This led to Franco receving Add US Aid during the Bos. Overall I feel that the biggest reasons for franco stoming in power was his neutrality and his obiling as an unpire. He was able to

not get removed by the Allies

and played his supporters of the

one another the whole time

acting as leader from the top.

His ability to please his support

was also key along with controling

spains economy. All these factors

coupled together lead to set long

successful reign in spain as leader

with the use of appression boing

quite minimalistic.

### E5/F5 – Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945-91

Many candidates answering Q11 used the reference to the weakness of the East German economy to produce a generalised answer which seemed to answer the question set on the economy of both states in January rather than the specific question set for this examination paper.

#### **Question 9**

This question focused on the partition of Germany in 1949 and the responsibility of currency reform in leading to partition. Most candidates were aware of the events leading to the partition of Germany and were able to give clear overviews of the build up over time from the wartime conferences. However, many were unable to access more than low Level 4 due to a weak understanding of the role of currency reform itself preferring to ignore the given factor in favour of ideological differences. A small number of candidates confused the currency reform of this period with the introduction of the Rentenmark during the Weimar Republic and/or failed to mention the Berlin Blockade. There were also a few candidates who clearly confused the Blockade with the building of the Berlin Wall. The best responses gave a balanced assessment of the importance of currency reform compared with other factors and were able to integrate factors showing the complexity of events.

### Question 10

This question focused on the responsibility of the weakness of the East German economy for the collapse of communism in East Germany. There were very few strong responses and most candidates failed to address the situation in 1989. Most responses gave an overview of the economic situation in Germany from 1945 onwards with very brief references to the political climate and events in the 1980s. The best answers were able to consider the economic weakness of East Germany in relation to the political events occurring in USSR at the time, the nature of the East German regime and the influence of the West.

# E6/F6 – The Middle East, 1945-2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalism

There were a pleasing number of candidates entered for this topic. The specification covers not only the creation of Israel and the Arab-Israeli conflicts but also clearly outlines the need for centres to cover the successes and failure of Arab nationalism and the rise of Islamic fundamentalism. In some cases it would appear that candidates were only prepared to answer questions on the first two bullet points of the specification. Also a significant number of candidates did not acknowledge the time frame of questions adequately.

#### Question 11

This question was focused on the reasons for Arab disunity in the years 1945-79 and the importance of religious division in creating this disunity. It was acknowledged during the marking process that candidates may have found difficulty in providing adequate specific supporting evidence regarding 'religious differences' during this time frame, although, some of the best answers were able to suggest that after the 1967 war and the decline of Nasser's influence religious differences played a much greater part in the success or failure of Arab unity. Candidates were therefore rewarded for their assessment of the reasons for Arab disunity. Many weaker answers provided an overview of the Arab-Israeli conflicts explaining Arab defeats and failing to cover the whole time period. However, many candidates who attempted this question did show good understanding of the religious differences between the different Arab states and many were able to give precise examples to support their points. Candidates were also able to suggest other factors such as self interest and the role of Nasser in causing divisions amongst Arab states. Some candidates focused overly on Israel and why there was conflict over Israel which meant that in places they lost focus on the question set but overall many who attempted this question did attempt to give precise exemplification.



Below are two examples of typical paragraphs in a Level 3/low Level 4 answer. The paragraph shows some understanding of a key issue explaining Arab disunity but the supporting evidence is generalised and lacking in secure detail.

This true that there were and rivalries between Arab States. The body war against Esrael was not fought as one Arab Notion against as I state hours and syria in turn. This was due to each having their own to individual war gains of more land if successful More Evidence of Rivalry. I where Egypt and Sandi Arabia on the point of the forms over the following the Arab States disnaity as not all were aught in avalry.

In 1929 president Sadat of Egypt
Signad a peace treaty with # smel

over at camp david sponsored by the

USA. This was a major falling affects

areh nationalism as Arabs had suffered

a number of humiliating defeats

at the hands of Israel (1918 war of

independence, 1956 snes war, 1967-6day

war and 1923 you kippur war). This

deepened Arab states diswrity as

not all Arab states diswrity as

peace treaty and still held Israel

as swar examics.

## **Question 12**

This question focused on the responsibility of the Palestinian question in influencing the rise of Islamic fundamentalism. Weaker responses gave an narrative outline of events in the Middle East connected to Islamic fundamentalism or ignored the influence of the Palestinian question in favour of other factors such as the reaction to 'western influences', the failure of secular nationalism and the consequence of the Iranian revolution. Many candidates were able to put the context of the Palestinian question clearly into the rise of Islamic fundamentalism with reference to the responses to the failures of the 1967 war, the refugee situation in the Lebanon and the role of religion in Palestinian politics. The best responses were able to give a balanced response integrating a variety of factors and coming to an overall evaluation.

# F7 - From Second Reich to Third Reich: Germany, 1918-45

This was the most popular topic for those centres choosing Option F. Some of the best overall responses were to be found in this topic with clear understanding and substantial relevant supporting evidence. However, disappointingly, many candidates wrote generalised answers displaying poor chronological awareness and deploying inaccurate or insecure supporting evidence.

#### Question 13

The question focused on the extent of economic and political stability in Germany in the years 1924-29. The best candidates were able to offer an answer which related well to the focus of the question giving precise and accurate examples of the extent of political and economic stability with reference to the fragility of the 'golden years' and the idea that Germany was 'dancing on a volcano'. Most candidates were able to explain what happened under Stresemann to stabilise the economy and many compared this to the pre 1923 economy. Candidates were less secure on political stability and many did not reference any events that happened within Germany (increase in votes for pro Weimar parties etc) and chose to focus on international relations. There were also many candidates whose chronological understanding was not secure and they focused on events that were not relevant to the question. At this level, there were also many candidates who were able to offer only limited basic supporting detail.



Below is a L5 answer. Although the written communication lacks cogency at times, the response is a balanced discussion of the key issues, focused securely on the period 1924-29 and with well selected supporting evidence.

Put a cross in the box indicating the first question you have chosen to answer  $\boxtimes$ . If you change your mind, put a line through the box  $\boxtimes$  and then put a cross in another box  $\boxtimes$ .

Chosen Question	Number:								
Question 1	×	Question 2	$\boxtimes$	Question 3	×				
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6					
Question 7	$\times$	Question 8	×	Question 9	×				
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	×				
Question 13	8	Question 14	×						
of How far was there economic and political stability in Germany in the yours 1924-1929?									
the years 19	124-192	192 elcett	on-April-	M24- 6-6%					
<i>(</i> 4			y and p	sitical stabil	noquet viet				
			0		•				
of wemar, 1924-1929, to the extent that these years are regarded as the golden years' of Weimar However, closp within it can be									
buen that Germany was mfort danang on distrano; Anvougre true									
masive infections of leans-ofwhich Gremany could not afford									
to report.									
The waimar constitution's background and manifortous important									
in considering the stability of Gumany as a mation. The constitution									
	9		4						
was formed in 1919, on 28th Trune 1919 - the resembling date of the twoody of Versailles. This is the ultimate milestone for Germany's									
funiliation with harsh claver, such as Clavere 231; the war									
A									
guilt clause. The constitution formed relied upon the runstationary									
from the very start, due to the fact the right-wing nationations									
were always to be against the huniliating defeat of Germany.									
However, it can be argued that due to the natural recovery									
of German	y ofter =	the hypern 1	abon o	-1923 was Q	rported. The renten-				

many introduced provided stability for Gremany's currency contributing do the recovery in 1924. Your the April elections the wilmar constitution was coming back on the platform of power, while externe porties suchas the Mazi's gained 30 seats. The following elections on Dec 1924, those seath dropped and to 375-6/6, and the hunistrating support in 1928 elections; just 2.6%, garing 12 seats in the reichtag. It seems had the slocker speculature words of stalning of weimour, such as extreme parties, were till renalde to contribute widely in the coaltion; position Movemer, the propolling proportional to presentation, és ene of true factors trait cause later as eitrer a positive economic stability, last whether if it was political stability was a question. The "proposional" voting system mean't trat small powerless parties were also to contribute to the PoschAcq as oppose to the British "Gost presstre post" system this is altimately a walness within weimar, of which is proven by hading 20 governments from the years 1919-1933, quide unrediable. Attrough it should not be disgarded that we mar was indeed taken to be one of the more democratic governments on Europe; and was the perfect 82mbal for the growing democracy. It can be argued that meimaras a constitution, was relatively stalle politically m the year of 1924-1928, yet, the economic slump of 1929 was the suicide for the wirmar constitution Interms of economic stability. In most generous person in Germany's portice yeems to no Guston Stressomonn

As the role of the foregon merister, Eurotau Storamenn, was able to Extrasure the Pawr plan, negotiated with the USA. This provided makine injustions of leans for winner, and was the beginning of economical grecovery after the classiff thee depression, wwi. This dranatically podued unoruplayment, through the confidence input being increased in Greemany Houses to fact Leat this only excounted for Short-term economic recovery is wer, treatuel only grow picture is shown. Germany was infact "dancing on the warnes" Swesamenn's Attornert, and this Alabity was only dependent on when farm, would make it collapse; evidence - the Wall Arrest Crapuin 1929. It can be seen that the economic Adsirity is actually the street cause and 'proportional' to the prefical Andriity and vice verna. The economic downturn overnight, caused polariana to lose their earned gratus overright, and Germony Once again, a failed state. The year 1929, is quite astonishing due to two death of Stressamen. A historian can predect that economic stability country last on snot term basis, social Stressamenn. However, thuis seemed to the years of prosperty for a brook time, cut the great of collapse deminate the Habity, both economically and postitically. One of the things, that generate pointral stability in POPSics, is shust amoung the President It is true that Hindenburg, 19 was elected on to power and that this is known smough public support However anchistocratic state of a general does not determine neliability of a possòcian; they are soil, espossòcian.

The fact that Hindenburg had the power of Article 48, declared that the weight of collapsing. That the weight of collapsing. This emergency and mule of decree, show the powercal unstablished that Germany would have to go through, exter evident by Hitler's enabling act.

However, the fact that Hindenburg did not use two
thouseon, shows
that it was a Stable constitution after all. The halt of
fear, could only be halted after it is written in history.
However, the fact that thirdenburg was given this

decree or a president, smasher open the nodure of Democracy
In Ullimar.

Furthermore, the main argument can be considered from
the Fresty of Versailles. This provided the partied appeturity
for extreme groups to agree to be the 'hero's and save
Germany Yet, thus has more than words tran prove. The
uping plan of 1929, by Stresamenn, was also an acceptance
of defeat in German powers, as well a winning exep the
fact that the repayment was reduced in Egain not
suche, and is very volatile due to the unstablishy "meconomics
This was achance for woman to be defeated in points, while
right ning national the believed that they derived to have
Germany which the depression of the Featy of Versailles this
campaigned to gain malrice publishy this mass that he
was alleste to the mine woman's position unstablishy;

the organism of the Theaty by the proposed in the case of the controls."

Moneover, the postical unrest within the years of 1919-1933, faced
354 positical murders, attragu, the fact that these murders
had been reduced during the "golden years", Snown the potentical
stability, in politics of we man towever interms of long-term
Sability this can be disgarded as the economic always, again, domina
defeat. The 'Red-Pent', was always the threat, to weimas
as It's coalthour were very untable.
I can therefore State, that as a historian the
Politics of werman improved Oliving 1924-1929 due to the remarkable
mput from gresomen, but whether or not, Gresmany was darding
on economical Arability is a question. The valuetoric of warmer
was action turning Daver plan and the Improved States
during huminitation on the part, yet, Weimar held own
political destability in it's own confitation - evident by
proportional representation. It was economically stable, to the
extent that public life in Greimany improved, but not to tue
exent that it could last forever; Greening lost the battle
with the boilingual cano.



Below is a L3 answer. The response attempts analysis and shows some understanding of the key issues during the period 1924-29 but is imbalanced in the discussion of 1929 and has weak supporting evidence.

Question 4	$\times$	Question 5	<b>X</b>	Question 6	×	
Question 7	×	Question 8		Question 9	×	
Question 10	×	Question 11		Question 12	×	
Question 13	$\boxtimes$	Question 14	$\boxtimes$			1924-29
HOW Fa	r was	there 4	econo	nic + Politi	cal	stability)
Dunna	y tho	years	1971.	-29, the	re.	was a
1	-	~				
			-			1974, peoples
Ars.					- may	in the treaty
10) Vers	auller	) had	been	hass h	222	, the governme
nt at	the -	time - St	YOSE	men in p	suf!	cular hard
				n that a		
				here Me		
			_		-	self bank
						For
*		,	·J	rompted to		
*						area for
						stians
momea	The f	ruch la	anng	the Rune	lon	al, and also
to the	US, L	n that th	e Pi	esident a	llon	red them
to gere	ious L	ours in	order	to bulle	1 +	er exonom-
						s to begin

to build up its declining occorromy once more, and thus allowed people the Stability they needed to begin to take out loans, star businesses, and begin to save. In esserce, eronomoully, the Gaman were beginning to ploursh. Politically, from 1924, it could be argued that there were the Weimer nepublics Igolder year 'in that the government, despte its many Coalmons, was doing a good too, and less and less people were interested in extremst parties, such as Hitlen. NSDAP. The Centre pary rand the Cathour pary which helped on make up the government were also wing for policies that would help to were pleasing people; for example, John were on the Increase soo However, on 29th November, 1929, the wall St. Grash occured, which changed the face of Germany both economically and politically-Politically, the coalition was a mess they can the clypenny passer could not make a docusion on show their electronals would be

helped or affected, and thus the weener republic began to crumble . The economically, German were runed. Hey to As the Us was but temply, that began to try and claw back money by recalling its coans to Gremany. This less Germany in an even worse position; money that people had carefully saved and mested was now workless, and business were but herdest the It has been estimated that perhaps a copto I mulion Germans died of Stanation. Worst of all, the 'Grand Coalition' of papers could not do much to stop the discort suffering a the Population, as each pass was principally envolved in trying to make their our pary-voor comforable In concor conclusion, most during the years 1924 to 1929. Germany Stated of on a rise, Charing to get a better exonomy and political songhold as well as a better use for most Germans. However As The Wall St. crash mount that the Political porties were at aloss, and the failing brought about hardships

## **Question 14**

The question focused on the extent to which Nazi policy toward the Jewish population changed during the years 1933-41. Most candidates showed a broad chronological understanding of the treatment of Jews in Nazi Germany and there was a clear focus on the intensification of persecution under the Nazis. Many also used the Berlin Olympics as an example of how persecution was not constantly increasing in intensity. The better candidates also explored elements of continuity and some were even able to assess reasons for this using structuralist and intentionalist historiography. There were however many answers which gave a narrative overview of the period with little or no reference to the question set and/or weak chronological awareness. Many candidates had problems placing the 'Night of Broken Glass' in 1938 which led to insecure analysis of change over time.

## **Grade Boundaries**

#### 6HI01 E

Grade	Max. Mark	A	В	С	D	E
6HI01 E grade boundaries	60	43	38	33	28	23
UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40

#### 6HI01 F

Grade	Max. Mark	A	В	С	D	E
6HI01 F grade boundaries	60	43	38	33	28	23
UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US021421 June 2009

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH







