



Examiners' Report

June 2010

GCE Government and Politics 6GP01



Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>



ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code US024023

All the material in this publication is copyright
© Edexcel Ltd 2010

Introduction

This was the second 'summer' sitting of Unit 1 and overall performance indicates that centres and candidates are now better prepared for the demands of the paper. In terms of popularity, the question on pressure groups attracted marginally the greater number of candidates as one of the two choices; however in terms of performance and raw scores candidates performed marginally better once again on the question on democracy. This reiterates previous comments that popularity and performance do not necessarily equate.

This was a General Election year and although the paper was set some time before its announcement, a detailed knowledge of outcomes of the 2010 results was not expected; however at the same time it could serve to advance candidate performance as any other political event. It would be rare if a student of Politics had not been influenced by and taken note of the events in May. The outcome was that for the vast majority of candidates, the General Election was a huge revision boost and it was used well.

Question 1

As noted, the question on pressure groups always attracts a large number of candidates, and sadly performance has failed to match this popularity. The weakest area concerns the distribution of power in the body politic with the actions of pressure groups have to be evaluated.

Pluralism and elitism are at the core of the pressure group power spectrum. It was disappointing to see that a large section of candidates ignored power and pressure groups altogether and introduced tangential issues to deal with these two concepts. Often pluralism simply meant toleration (there is a connection but it does not replace an accurate definition) and elitism, a type of mafia grouping who dominate society with wealth or birthright.

Question 1(a)

As noted it was a minority who managed to attain high scores. Many merely provided a definition of toleration for pluralism and a depiction of a public school section for elitism. Pluralism and elitism are not nearly as well known and defined by candidates as is desirable.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 13.

Put a cross in the box ☒ indicating the first question that you have chosen.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen Question Number: **Question 1 ☒** **Question 2 ☐**
 Question 3 ☐ **Question 4 ☐**

(a) Pluralism in a wide sense represents diversity and multiplicity and when describing a political theory describes a dispersal of power and a multicultural acceptance of ideas. Pluralists believe in tolerance of a various religious, cultural and political beliefs and that all are free to express their views and flourish together. The opposite of pluralism is elitism where the power is held by a few elite members and all outsiders have little to no voice or influence. Elitists do not believe in the dispersal of power nor of the freedom of expression. Pluralism allows different cultures to mix whereas elitists believe that power whether it be social, economic or political should only be held by a narrow section of government. We live in a pluralist democracy but elitism can be seen in communist countries like China and Cuba but also in military dictatorships and religious states like Iran.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

The response crucially notes power and its dispersal or concentration. Secondly it identifies the two concepts as 'opposites' and the principles which they are concerned with.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

All key terms need to be learnt. Be ready for these to be part of the longer questions but they may often appear for short definitions on part (a).

Indicate your second question choice on this page.

Put a cross in the box ☒ indicating the second question that you have chosen.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒
and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen Question Number: Question 1 ☒

Question 2 ☒

Question 3 ☒

Question 4 ☒

(a) Elitism is a very strong form of ~~the~~ indirect democracy. It basically means society is not ruled by the ~~by~~ the people but by a very small group or a single person. Many elitist societies do not even allow the chance to vote and are therefore corrupt. An example of elitism could be the house of commons. The commons is mainly composed of white males middle aged and bridge educated. There are very few women and people from ethnic minorities.

Where as pluralism is what it sounds like. It includes many people to work as one community and all make decisions together. The purest form of this is ancient Athens. The idea is to let as many people have a say as they want. But this doesn't often work in large communities as it would be impossible to fit that many people into one discussion.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

Here is a candidate who writes at length but barely picks up any marks. A precise and accurate definition is not presented to us.

Question 1(b)

Few candidates found this part (b) difficult. A minority moved to describe 'types' of pressure groups and their tactics which is not exactly the same as their functions. What came through particularly well were the educative function and the scrutiny function of pressure groups. Impressively more candidates are furnishing their responses with contemporary examples which displays their knowledge and understanding.

(b) Explain three political functions of pressure groups
 participation / inform govt / forming / implementing policy

Pressure groups are important to politics in the UK because they promote political participation. Most citizens can join groups to express their view on a particular cause or to represent their interests. Citizens can participate by demonstrating, signing petitions, attending group meetings or donating to the group. These examples are more typical of outsider groups like Greenpeace.

Another vital role played by pressure groups is to inform. Pressure ^{groups} may have expert knowledge on a particular topic that could add to Government knowledge e.g. the Howard League for Penal Reform. Groups also inform the government of public opinion at the time, and they are an important medium through which influence can be exerted between elections. The Stop the War Coalition informs the government about public views on foreign policy, for instance. Such groups can also inform the public on these topics and raise awareness.

An important function, mostly attributed to insider groups, is the formulation and implementation of public policy. Insider groups like the National Farmers Union are used both for advice on policy creation and to help implement policy. Insider groups like the RSPB, RSPCA and NSPCC have also

Insider groups like the RSPB, RSPCA and NSPCC have also been given similar privileges, with the latter being granted special powers in order to help prevent child cruelty.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is a succinct response, three functions are identified with a good example in each case which illustrates the definition. This shows that precision is a crucial element in reaching level 3 marks.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

This response shows how precision and clarity are crucial. Three functions are dealt with and the examples are up to date and accurate. Try to link your understanding of pressure groups to current events.

Question 1(c)

This was not a 'new' question and as such presented few problems for candidates. If issues arose, it was often because there was a lack of balance in the response and occasionally candidates tended to present all the good things and all the bad things surrounding pressure groups and thus marginalised the credit which they could receive.

(c) Within a democratic society, pressure groups are popularly viewed as a positive force within the political system. However, some have argued against the force of pressure groups, considering it to undermine democracy. ^{However,} in its definition of Government "for, by and of the people" Abraham Lincoln, and implications for participation and political equality, I would suggest pressure groups are an asset.

In the first place, the UK democracy is pluralist - in providing political equality it implies that competing voices should be heard and recognised. Pressure groups do so, by dispersing power away from a single party government, and spreading it to these wider political forces, which in having their say, can scrutinise and balance government. Therefore pressure groups can prevent "executive domination" in politics - which would be undemocratic.

Second, democracy is supported by the importance of popular participation - as to

make it indeed, government "by the people". Pressure Groups encourage this participation, where there been a failure to do so in elections. Perhaps the crisis of declining turnout can be balanced against increasing pressure group activity, in an era where partisanship has been lost to individualism and "new", or "issue" politics. For example, groups such as Make Poverty History encouraged masses to be involved in the issue of international debt, and Anti-Iraq War groups saw mass protest while traditional political activity had dropped.

Furthermore, pressure groups supplement a democracy which is ~~not~~ based largely on the wishes of the majority, or just the largest proportion of society. The FPTP system can leave huge minorities ignored, and pressure groups voice their interests. Thatcher government, for example, was arguably not geared toward the large working class, yet the TUC supported these peoples interests all the same. Thus a link to general public opinion is always provided for Government, between elections, maintaining the democratic principle of responsiveness - Government "for the people".

((c) continued) Nevertheless, it can equally be argued that pressure groups undermine the political democratic process as they tend to be selfish to one issue/sector of society, whereas a democratic system has to ensure the equal functioning of all policy areas, for all equal individuals. In being selfish, pressure groups can hold the country to ransom, preventing efficient Government. This was seen to be the case in the influence of the TUC, in which strikes by the miners caused huge problems for other individuals and for the economy.

~~STP~~ Secondly, pressure groups have been seen to be elitist and undemocratic in themselves. Again, Arthur Scargill of TUC, could be said to be working more on a personal, perhaps egoistical agenda than really representing his miner's needs. Thus pressure groups may wield alot of power, whilst not necessarily offering a true voice of the people.

Furthermore, democracy is based on the ~~idea~~ principle of the mandate - that the government has a duty to exercise policy as defined in its manifesto, & pressure

groups are selfish to an issue it cannot do so. If, for example, the Labour government proposed commitment to the Trident Programme, it would be undemocratic for the CND to be able to hinder this.

Therefore, in conclusion, I would argue that while there are many defects to Pressure groups, they remain a key element of the freedom of speech, and are thus desirable.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is an example of a mid range response. There is balance but the depth and scope is restricted. More could be advanced and developed. Examples are used but they are to an extent either dated or generalist. The reference to the miners is not anachronistic but there is much more contemporary material to use and bring the response to life.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

When as is often the case that the (c) response opens with the phrase 'To what extent...' a balanced response is required. That balance does not necessarily have to be exactly split 50/50 but it must be accepted that there are at least two common perspectives or sides to the debate.

Question 2

As with the previous question on pressure groups, a good few candidates were weak in part (a) but then recovered in parts (b) and (c). It is worth noting that for parts (a) and (b), countries other than the UK can be referenced. For part (a), the example of illegitimacy often came from Burma or Zimbabwe and for part (b) representative democracy was contrasted quite often with the Athenian model.

Question 2(a)

Candidates were often perplexed by the term legitimacy and gave some very vague definitions. It was an area where few full mark responses were seen. Elections were often cited as a source of legitimacy with few other avenues exemplified.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 13.

Put a cross in the box ☒ indicating the first question that you have chosen.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒
and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen Question Number: Question 1 ☒ Question 2 ☒
Question 3 ☒ Question 4 ☒

(a)

Legitimacy is the belief that you have been given the right to govern. It suggests that you have been given consent by those who elected you. During elections legitimacy is sought, the party with the most votes has the most legitimacy. You could argue that in the UK, ~~the~~ our Govt is rarely ever legitimate because they never get over 50% of votes cast. In 2005 Labour only got 21% of the whole electorate (those who voted and those who didn't) and in 2010 the conservatives only got 35.6%. This shows that although legitimacy is sought, it's rarely given.

In the UK the Govt also seeks legitimacy through referendums and different consultation methods.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

Here is an example of a candidate who has marshalled a definition plus a wide range of examples to help clarify the concept.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

Occasionally a question may be very direct and ask for an example to support a definition; here it is absent. However definitions of concepts supported by examples are a sure way of maximising marks. Often concepts are easier to explain and detail with an example.

Question 2(b)

This proved a very straightforward question. All candidates had to do was to explain three positive features of representative democracy. Often this was done in contrast to the flaws of direct democracy which was fine.

(b) there are a number of positives associated with representative democracy. One would be that within each constituency the electorate are provided with a choice of parties to represent them, with all their policies clearly outlined within the parties manifestos. Another positive feature of a representative democracy is that you as a nation will have a stronger government as you have qualified and highly educated people making decisions with regards to the nations goings on. A third and final positive feature of representative democracy is that the government can be held accountable for the decisions it makes by the electorate and of course voted out at the next elections, and also in theory by Parliament. However with the UK's ~~government~~ Parliament being used as an example it's very difficult for the

Government to be held accountable by parliament as in order to ~~take note they must~~ form a government they must have ^{clear} a majority of seats within parliament.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

Here the brevity of the response means that only three marks are collected for AO1, with no evidence presented to gain AO2 marks.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

Examiners do not credit on the length of the response, but here the answer provided is minimalist. Ensure that for a question which seeks three aspects, each one is given due credence and attention to detail.

Question 2(c)

Quite often candidates were able to write developed answers to this question and to draw on a vast hinterland of information in the process. These often included issues such as the constitution and the more recent expenses saga. It was not uncommon and it proved very expedient for many candidates to use this as a report on the previous Labour's government's democratic.

(c) ~~Democracy~~ Michael Crichton called democracy "the most promiscuous word in the English language" and thus it is a difficult concept to define. It is a process and state of mind that acknowledges the following: that government should be accountable, parliament should be representative and civil liberties should be protected. A successful democracy is one that manages to achieve these features and strike the balance between representation and governability. However many people believe that declining turnout, reduced trust in MPs and the increasing infringement of civil liberties has resulted in a loss of democracy in the UK. However one must keep these worries in perspective and remember that the UK remains a liberal democracy with limited malpractice in the system. In a representative democracy it is crucial that there is

regular participation ^{as it appears that it} ~~and the~~ * The Most significantly there has been a ~~significantly~~ lower turnout in recent years leading many to say there is a 'democratic deficit' and the government lacks legitimacy. In 2010 there was a 65% turnout across the country with turnout as low as 44.1% in Manchester Central. This is reinforced by low turnout in the EU elections where 34% voted (in contrast to the higher 43% ~~a~~ average in Europe). Furthermore the turnout in referenda has been poor with only ~~34%~~ ^{50%} for the 'Establishment of a Welsh Assembly' and a mere 47% for

* a recent MORI study argued that ^{poor} participation is directly contributive to a weak and unhealthy democracy

((c) continued)

'The Establishment of a North East Assembly' referendum. However arguably ~~the~~ in a post-Thatcher society of individualistic ^{consumerism} ~~individualism~~ people are just participating in another form - through conscious consumerism. A YouGov Poll of 2009 said that 55% of people think of the social ramifications of the produce they buy. However these limited forms of participation cannot make up for the fact that with a decreased ~~participation~~ turnout (due to a lack of trust in MPs with only ~~34%~~ ^{50%} of people ~~who~~ trusting their MP according to a Gallup Poll of ^{Jan} 2009.) people are not being represented as well as they should be.

The In a post 9/11 and 7/7 era, the government has been increasing its powers and civil libertarians have argued that they are infringing civil liberties (particularly of minorities.) ^{and thus being} ~~undermining~~ Between 1997 and 2005, the government has passed 600 pieces

of legislation increasing police power and the UK Information Commissioner spoke of the dangers of "sleepwalking into a surveillance society." The 2004 Civil Contingencies Act (2004) gave the government ^{extensive} emergency powers in an "emergency" and The Guardian called the Act "the single biggest blow to our democracy of this or last century." This combined with the 2001 Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Security Act and the Prevention of Terrorism Act (2005) have eroded our civil liberties to what many believe is an unacceptable extent. However the HRA (1998) which incorporates elements of the European Convention of Human Rights has enshrined our

((c) continued) rights in a document and 'semi-entrenched' them.

The judiciary now has the power to reject government legislation that contravenes the Act and is 'un-democratic' & the Belmarsh Ruling (2004) that overturned elements of the ^{2001 Anti-Terrorism Act which} permitted detention ^{in those} ^{charge}

Liberals argue that the electoral system itself just isn't democratic ~~that~~ as it does not give a fair proportion of seats to the amount of votes gained. Instead giving a 'winner's bonus'. In 2005 Labour won 35% of the vote but 55% of the seats whilst in 2010 Conservatives won 36% of the vote and 47% of the seats. It is unfair to smaller parties as the Liberal Democrats received 23% of the vote but only 8% of the seats. The Green Party received 219,000 votes and only 1 seat whilst UKIP won 900,000 votes but not a single seat. Thus democracy (deriving from 'demos' (people) and 'kratos' (rule)) doesn't seem to be rule by the people at all as there are significant numbers being left unrepresented. This is ^{problem} clearly continued in Parliament (*). There are many flaws ⁱⁿ the UK democratic system that

derive from both the people and the institutions. Arguably there is a great need for reform, with Nick Clegg promising that he would attempt to revitalize politics by the "biggest reform since 1832." Both reformers the electoral system and ~~the need for~~ adoption of a codified constitution so

To improve democracy we need more 'root and branch' reforms

((c) continued) we have an entrenched bill of rights to protect our freedoms. However the system does retain the basic democratic features of representation (through free and fair elections) and holding the government to account (through elections.)

(*) where minorities are heavily under-represented. only 6% are from ethnic minorities, 21.5% are women with only 3 Muslim women MPs in parliament. It is arguably an elitist undemocratic body as 33% went to Oxbridge, 35% went to private school with a disproportionate 20 Euros MPs. However there are continuing efforts to make it more representative and democratic with a policy of positive discrimination (ie Labour's all women shortlists.)


ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

Here both sides of the question are debated. It is clearly into level 3 territory with analysis and evaluation embedded in the response.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

Democracy in the UK is a key feature of Unit 1. In preparation for this, ensure that you have up to date examples to show you can appreciate the constant evolution of the UK's democratic system.

Question 3

Political parties continue to remain the least popular topic. However, what was lost on popularity was made up with quality. It became clear that centres who opt for this topic have prepared candidates well and they performed at high levels. Perhaps the boost of the ever so recent election sharpened minds and broadened the area upon which to comment. As always there is scope to improve and advance knowledge and the following scripts selection aims to do that.

Question 3(a)

The difference between left wing and right wing political ideas was handled remarkably well. Many gave the origins of the term (though this was not a prerequisite) from the French Revolutionary era. The most common link for illustrating the distinction asked for, was the ideas of the Labour and Conservative Parties.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 13.

Put a cross in the box ☒ indicating the first question that you have chosen.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒
and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen Question Number: Question 1 ☒ Question 2 ☐
Question 3 ☒ Question 4 ☐

(a) Left wing political ideas have been traditionally associated with socialist ideology. Socialist political ideas are usually favour working-class, focusing on equality of output and public ownership of the means of production, therefore, examples of left wing political ideas would be nationalisation of industries, universal welfare, healthcare and education amongst others.

Right wing political ideas, however, are more representative of the middle-

classes and businessmen. They seek to conserve traditional aspects of society, such as family values, and look to free the market from state intervention, therefore, examples of right-wing policies could be privatisation, a free-market and harsh, mandatory prison sentences.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

A clearly constructed answer linking political doctrines to left and right wing. It shows an impressive level of knowledge and understanding with the links to socialism and right wing views.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

On the previous 2(a) question, legitimacy can be identified with its opposite, illegitimacy. Here on this question both extremes are presented. All that is required is to highlight the key points of each. Again as with all concepts the response does not have to be solely built on UK examples and here many candidates cited fascism and communism in their depiction of left and right wing ideas.

Question 3(b)

On the surface this can be covered with the dichotomy between Old and New Labour. In reality much of that debate, although not sterile has seen the ground beneath it move in recent years. The Party has more divisions over policy and approach in recent times. These include overseas policy (Iraq & Afghanistan), economic policy and constitutional issues. As such this latter approach is more contemporary and realistic of the issues which segment sections of the current Labour Party.

(b)

The Labour Party is indeed divided upon a vast & diverse range of issues in the political sphere. This has become even more apparent since Tony Blair introduced 'New Labour'

Labour when he became leader in 1994.

Tony Blair pursued a totally different policy approach, entitled the 'Third Way', which was in stark contrast to the policies proposed by Michael Foot in the Thatcher years (1979-1990). This engendered a more prudent approach to progressive politics.

One issue on which many in the Labour Party is with regards to nationalisation of industries within the UK. Originally, Clause IV of the Labour Charter was committed to nationalising most industries. Many on the left fringe such as Tony Benn, Michael Meacher & Dennis Skinner are fervently committed to this clause today. However, since Blair, the programme of privatisation has continued. This was part of the 'Third Way' doctrine. This issue does divide

((b) continued)

many within the Labour Party.

Divisions are rife within the Labour Party with regards to foreign policy. Since 9/11, Britain has pursued an interventionist policy with NATO & the US. MPs on the left disagree

with the UK's decision to participate in the Iraq war in 2003. For many, the decision contradicted so much of what Labour stood for that many, such as Robin Cook, stood down from the cabinet.

There are also divisions with regards to unilateral disarmament. Gordon Brown remained committed to the 'Trident' nuclear deterrent in the 2010 election Manifesto. Others, many of them CND campaigners, seek to abolish nuclear weapons. Labour's 'third way' saw Britain defending national interests, especially after 9/11.

- There is also disagreement over state management of the economy. Labour & the 'third way' remained committed to *laissez-faire* economics.

((b) continued)

- Freedom in the stock market. Many in the Labour Party remain committed to the socialist principles of state interventionism & the idea of the state being the provider of welfare.

In conclusion, though many socialist principles remain, as is ^{evident in} the 1999

Introduction of 'the minimum wage', Labour's 'third way' is completely different to the rugged ideology which once predominated the Labour movement. Many ^{who} hold to former socialist principles in the Labour Party are at loggerheads with 'New Labour'. For this reason, there are significant divisions within the Party.

**ResultsPlus****Examiner Comments**

This is a good example of an answer that tries to bridge the gap noted above. It acknowledges the Old v New Labour factions, but also examines ideas and policy divides in the Party.

**ResultsPlus****Examiner Tip**

All parties are collections or groupings of ideas. There exists factions within each. It is vital that you identify the factions within both the Conservative and Labour Parties.

Question 3(c)

The request for policy and ideas hints that we can see two levels of material here for this question. The ideas, the principles of a political party and the policies, the actions they implement (or wish to implement) when in office. Many candidates identified that there has been a move to the centre in a post Thatcher type of consensus. In terms of policy this means a good deal of posturing over common held views. In practice the recent General Election provided a mass of detail for policy divergence.

(c) There has been historically a great deal of adversarial politics between Labour and the Conservatives. Emphasised in the 1983 election where Thatcher pursued policy of free market and small government while Foot pursued policy of high taxes, public spending and government intervention (described by one Labour MP as, "the longest suicide note in History"). However the recent shift of both Labour (under Kinnock, Smith and finally Blair) and the Conservatives (under Cameron) to the centre ground has resulted in increased consensus, although the recent 2008/9 recession exposed true adversarial politics for the first time since 2005.

The key policy recently has been the economy which originally Labour and the Conservatives disagreed on. The Conservatives originally pursued a "fiscally prudent" line with low government spending while showing up a tax "bombshell" for future generations. However Labour policy was for big government intervention with an 8% rise in government spending and

£500m pledged to the long term unemployed. However in September 2009 Mandelson

((c) continued) announced that the Labour party agreed that cuts would have to be made. This shows consensus politics as the only thing the parties disagree on is when the cuts should be made (immediately or after a six month period). Furthermore both parties now agree on a 50% tax on those earning over 150,000 per year.

The parties also agree on the NHS and in their attitude to crime. Cameron said in Jan 2010 "Burglars leave their human rights outside," displacing a typically right wing attitude, one which Labour surprisingly (given its left wing origins) agrees with. Gordon Brown promised 20,000 new prison places by 2014 mirroring the Tories tough attitude to crime. The parties have also agreed to ring fence NHS spending, another key area of consensus. *

However the two parties do disagree on ID cards and as such civil liberties. Originally Labour proposed ID cards for all, although it has since backed down and wants to force all non-UK citizens to wear them. The Conservatives however are totally against ID

((c) continued) card, saying they are a waste of public money. This is one example of a key area of adversarial politics between the two parties.

In summary since their respective beginnings both Conservative and Labour policies have drifted to the middle ground as both parties suffer from class disalignment due in part to their new status as catch-all parties. However there is still some adversarial politics between the two parties with ID card being emphasised because some see them as infringing on Civil Liberties. However recent times are in comparison to the adversarial nature of the two parties in 1983 however, the disagreements today are minor and infrequent.

*The shift for the Conservatives to the "green" party is another area of consensus, with Labour promising a £250m green stimulus package and the Conservatives a "green bank" giving recycling homeowners up to £130 per year.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This answer is at the borderline for Level 3. It is half historical (and correct) with some moves to illustrate contemporary similarities and divisions. This latter part is the weaker section of the response.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

Politics is a 'fast' moving subject. It is more than likely that a new Labour leader in the latter part of this year will create change in policy and direction in the Party, just as the Conservative Coalition has meant changed policy. The only secure route here is to keep as much up to date as possible.

Question 4

This was one of the best informed and answered questions on the paper. It caused very few problems or ambiguities. Parts (a) and (b) were handled exceptionally well: the only flaw came for a minority of candidates in part (c) where some took a line to condemn exclusively first past the post rather than concentrating on the case for PR (there is a link with the latter but it did distract a minority). Also the question was clear in the need to establish the case FOR proportional representation, not the case against. AO2 marks were in the assessment and analysis of the alleged strengths of that case.

Question 4(a)

This question was not 'new' and it was often well handled by candidates. The better candidates could illustrate their response with accurate examples. Again the recent General Elections served to advance responses with clear detail.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 13.

Put a cross in the box ☒ indicating the first question that you have chosen.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒
and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen Question Number: **Question 1** ☒ **Question 2** ☐
Question 3 ☐ **Question 4** ☒

(a) One function of elections is to transfer Sovereignty to the people. In representative democracies and liberal Democracies the electorate decides who they want to represent them, in Britain this would be in Parliament. The British Electorate decides who they would want to represent them in their constituency, in turn passing Sovereignty back to Parliament – 'Parliamentary Sovereignty'.

Another function of elections is to hold the incumbent government to account for its tenure as the ruling party.

An example of this can be seen in Britain's latest election which showed voter apathy towards the Labour party to which they lost nearly one hundred seats. This

shows the government has been held accountable at this latest election through this function of elections.

**ResultsPlus**

Examiner Comments

This response achieves full marks for clarity and detail.

**ResultsPlus**

Examiner Tip

When asked for 'functions', in essence it is the purpose, how things operate: here we need to know what elections 'do'.

Question 4(b)

This part (b) presented no difficulties. Discrimination came in the extent and depth of the response. In short, how clear and detailed were the alleged advantages of first past the post.

(b) The 'First Past the Post' (FPTP) is an example of an electoral system. It is the system used for UK general elections.

One advantage of the FPTP system is that it allows people to vote for who they want to represent them locally. It is so argued that other electoral systems, such as Proportional Representation, do not allow this, and similar electoral systems may allow the Political Parties to allocate Members of Parliament that have no link to a local area whatsoever. The FPTP system, however, has candidates elected from 650 constituencies, and so these candidates know about the issues of the local people and these people feel that their local issues are given a voice at Westminster.

Another advantage is that the FPTP System generally produces a strong government. This is because the party with the most seats in Parliament ~~is~~ then forms a government, and this Party usually will have a majority in the House of Commons. This means Bills and legislation should be easily passed through Westminster, and the

((b) continued) winning Party should be able to implement policies outlined in the pre-election Manifesto. The recent 2010 election has shown that this is not always the case, though.

A third advantage is that ~~no~~ radical parties find it very difficult to gain seats in the Houses of Parliament in the FPTP system. This can be seen as an advantage because far-right groups such as the British National Party are not represented at Westminster, and many people would view this as an advantage and benefit of the FPTP system.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

Here three are detailed and the response moved into level 3. It does not maximise marks but is nonetheless a good response.


ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

As noted the recent General Election will have ignited interest in the subject and assisted candidates. It is impressive if key facts are linked and cited in answers.

Question 4(c)

As indicated previously, candidates lost impetus by concentrating on first past the post as opposed to proportional representation. Furthermore some failed to directly answer the question and address the question by 'making out a case in favour of'.

((c) continued)

There has ~~be~~ for a long time been a debate about whether the F.P.T.P. system is really democratic and whether it should be replaced. Proportional Representation systems have certain advantages which FPTP lacks.

First of all, there is evidence to suggest that there is widespread discontent with the current system. Election turn-out has been relatively low over the recent years with 61% in 2005 and 65% in ~~2010~~ ²⁰¹⁰. This suggests people may be discouraged to vote by the fact that their votes will not be accurately represented. However, despite the closed list (P.R.) system used in the European Parliament elections, ~~the~~ turn-out for this is usually relatively low with 38.5% in 2005. Nevertheless, when Gordon Brown announced constitutional reform in 2008, 76% of the people were in favour of electoral change. This shows that ^{the} public ~~opinion~~ ~~are~~ are discontent with F.P.T.P. ~~and~~

The current system therefore fails to represent people's wishes accurately. The 2010 election is a clear example. Labour, with 29% of the votes was able to achieve 258 seats whereas the Liberal Democrats, with 23% of the votes only achieved 57. This may clearly be a reason why people not voting for the 2 mainstream parties may be

((c) continued) discouraged to vote as they may feel their vote does not count. In addition, such a system may encourage tactical voting which would not show real public wishes and would thus further be a threat to democracy. Under proportional representation, however, a party would be accurately represented in parliament, and therefore people voting for minority parties would be encouraged to participate.

Another advantage for P.R. is that it increases the legitimacy of the government. Under F.P.T.P. a party does not need a majority of the popular vote to rule. This was shown in 2005 when Labour came to power with only 35% of the votes. This suggests the legitimacy of the party in power may be questioned as often not even half of the population voted them in. This cannot happen under P.R. as a party without 50% of the votes would form a coalition in order for the government to become legitimate and have the mandate to rule.

It has been a concern that if the FPTP system ~~were~~ were removed, the unique link between an MP and their constituency would be lost. However some proportional representation systems such as the Single Transferable Vote provide larger multi-member constituencies. This is the case in Northern Ireland where there are 18 constituencies.

((c) continued) and 6 members in each. In this way there is a wider choice of parties and candidates to represent the people, as opposed to with F.P.T.P. where people only have one MP to represent them despite the fact they may not have voted for them.

It has also been one of the main advantages of F.P.T.P. that it effectively prevents extremist parties such as UKIP and the B.N.P. from gaining political representation and therefore not threatening democracy. However, other proportional representation groups such as the regional list system include a threshold (usually 5% - 10%) which a party must reach in order to achieve any representation. This keeps out small extremist parties.

In conclusion, the introduction of PR to the Westminster elections ~~where~~ would have a positive effect on democracy as it ^{seems} ~~would~~ very likely it would increase voter turn-out. In addition, the government in power would be more legitimate. Lastly, by introducing PR it is still possible to maintain F.P.T.P. benefits such as constituency and keeping out extremist parties.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This falls partly into the above trap. A lot of time and effort is wasted in the realms of first past the post as opposed to the demands of proportional representation. The question is addressed but vital time and effort is lost in the initial stages. As a consequence this remains in level 2.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

Always ensure that you have addressed the question. Candidates often underperform not because they know little about politics, but more because they do not focus on the question.

Grade Boundaries:

N:\Non-secure Publications\2010\Examiner Reports\June\6GP01 01 LINNEYS\6GP01 Gov and Politics v2

Grade	Max. Mark	A	B	C	D	E	N	U
Raw mark boundary	80	49	44	39	34	30	26	0
Uniform mark scale boundary	100	80	70	60	50	40	30	0

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN
Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481
Email publications@linneydirect.com
Order Code US024023 June 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit
www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH

Ofqual



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government

