

Moderators' Report/
Principal Moderator Feedback

Summer 2012

GCE Design & Technology: Food
Technology (6FT04)
Paper 01 Commercial Design

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson.

Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2012

Publications Code UA031985 *

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2012.

Principle Moderator's Report Summer 2012

GCE A2 Design & Technology: Commercial Design

Food Technology Unit 6FT04

General Observations

Most centres have continued to make steady progress with the specification, and the coursework was better organised with a greater degree of clarity, detail and justification. The choice of design problem should have a real commercial use, where it is useful to a wider range of users beyond an individual.

An interesting and topical range of commercial design work was presented on a wide variety of topics including the Diamond Jubilee and London 2012 Olympics, festival and street foods, farm shops and cafes, deli food boxes, pop up restaurants, menu kits/boxes, celebration foods and luxury food products for a specific event or point of sale. Coursework foods products are becoming more inventive as a wide range of cultural cuisines from around the world are being used as inspiration for commercial design projects. All centres submitted candidate's work that was potentially suitable for course requirements, with a range of levels of outcome.

Candidates are required to adopt a commercial design approach to their work, reflecting how a professional designer might deal with a design proposal and its resolution when working for a client/user group. This means that consultation between designer and client should take place at key points in the design/make process. Where this designer/client relationship was well developed, the whole design and make process was enhanced and justified. A client/user group must be integral within the coursework to allow focus and feedback throughout the coursework. Unfortunately, for many candidates, it was seen only as a necessity for meeting the requirements of the assessment criteria, and remained a passive activity with little purpose or function.

Administration.

- Annotation in the CABs remains very helpful for moderation.
- The quality of photographic evidence of the finished product(s) continues to be variable.
- As a guide, the A2 Commercial Design project should not exceed 30 pages of A3 paper.
- Practical work must be technical, creative, challenging and demanding, showing accuracy and precision. It would benefit centres to consider the number of components within a food product when considering the challenge and demand of a product. At A2, a wide range of different components should be presented within a food product. The use of finishing techniques for the final presentation of food products is a prerequisite for high level making marks. The photograph in the CAB is the starting point of the moderation process for each candidate.
- Centre assessment was generally pleasing and there is evidence that most centres have a good understanding of the assessment criteria.
- The moderating team report that the overall presentation, layout, organisation and quality of the written A2 portfolios was of a very high standard and it is clear that centres are putting considerable time and effort into their teaching, to produce some outstanding work.
- Several centres produced some truly spectacular practical work, and of the highest standard seen at A2 level.

Section A: Research and analysis

This section was one of the most variable in assessment in 2012. There were a number of design briefs this year with no commercial design approach to coursework, with family members being introduced as the client for the celebration event that was taking place in a domestic context, or presented as a contrived event with no real evidence of industrial application, client involvement or user group testing, and so did not meet the requirements of the specification.

However, most candidates introduced the client /user group at this initial stage, and could identify how their client would be able to offer critical feedback at various stages during the design process. The client needs to be used to identify the main issues for study, to allow good analysis and focussed research. Many candidates utilised their client's knowledge and expertise by asking relevant, probing questions that enabled candidates to consider some of the technical implications for analysis and research for example commercial equipment and facilities, safety, quality, time and temperature controls required for commercial manufacture, stock control and relevant sustainability issues for the product linked to the proposed use, venue or topic. Analysis should clarify design needs, to aid the selection and use of research.

There was some improvement on the selection, relevance and type of research activities conducted this year, with a trend towards succinct, focussed research activities that were more appropriate for the design brief, ensuring that information gathered was useful and relevant to the client /user group's needs, identified and finalised during the analysis. Research does not need to exceed three pages of A3 paper. However, some candidates continue to produce lists of visits and many menus from various catering establishments, but with no analysis or annotation of the relevance or findings from this research. Research must be useful and purposeful, to aid the writing of the specification and planning product design and development work.

The use of product analysis and existing product research should be instrumental in this section. Where candidates had applied their understanding of technical specifications (form, function, performance requirements, manufacturing implications and environmental considerations) to the range of food products chosen for product analysis and disassembly, they invariably retrieved a far better depth of understanding about these products and could usefully apply this information to the writing of their specification. In most instances, disassembly needs to be for more than one product within a product range, to allow candidates to uncover the work of a professional designer and how they can solve a design need, by identifying the main technical considerations for these products, as well as identifying any potential problems and applying this information to their design work. Sustainability was addressed by most candidates.

A summary of the main findings of research is desirable as it allows candidates to analyse their research in order to write a product specification that is relevant, meaningful and measurable.

Section B: Product specification

This section requires some attention from centres, as it proved to be the weakest area within the assessment criteria this year. Where candidates used the technical specification points (detailed in the Edexcel guidance document) to organise the product specification with technical, realistic and measurable criteria, it was possible to justify their inclusion from the summary of research findings. Relevant sustainability issues were evidenced where existing product research had been used effectively in the previous section. The specification must be informed by research findings and written in consultation with the client/user group to ensure that the criteria meet the needs identified earlier. Where candidates had ensured that their specifications were technical and measurable, testing and evaluating in section F was far more successful.

Section C: Design and development - Design

The moderating team report on a noticeable improvement in this section, with many candidates managing to produce a range of 4-6 technical design ideas, including reasons for the selection, the working characteristics of ingredients, techniques and processes, third party feedback and development opportunities supported by research information, which address the needs identified in the specification. However, the annotation of this information varied enormously in depth and understanding. Challenge and complexity of food products must be established at this point to support making marks later in section E. It would benefit centres to consider the number of components within a food product when considering the challenge and demand of a product. At A2, a wide range of different components (a minimum of four) should be presented within a food product.

Client feedback, good quality photographic evidence and critical evaluation using the specification points must be included to access the higher marks. Weaker candidates tended to present irrelevant tick boxes, simple ingredients lists and methods of making with similar, simplistic design proposals and minimal communication of their design thinking, third party feedback or relevance to commercial design.

Many candidates' embraced flair and creativity in this section with some outstanding practical work applied to realistic and workable ideas, by creating food products with a wide range of skilful components, preparation, processing and finishing techniques, that was evidenced in their written portfolios as design decisions. This was rewarded with high marks.

Review

It was pleasing to see most candidates presenting this as a separate review section in a tabulated format, to objectively assess the suitability of each design idea for the intended purpose, analyse development opportunities, consolidate their review against the specification with client feedback and make some important development decisions. After this selection and rejection process, a summary is helpful to communicate which design idea is being taken forward to the development stage, and aids the 'design story'. Photographic evidence supported decision making.

Develop

Developments were mostly appropriate, but there were still some very cosmetic and superficial developments. Development means 'change', and this should be shown in candidates' work through their ability to use the results from the review and bring together the best or most appropriate features of their design ideas into a coherent and refined final design proposal that meets all of the requirements of the product specification and matches the client/user group needs. It is not acceptable to simply take an initial idea and make superficial or cosmetic changes to it and then present it as a final developed proposal.

Evidence of three good quality developments that could be compared, reviewed and evaluated against the relevant design criteria, allowed candidates to demonstrate their technical knowledge and understanding of ingredients, components, techniques and processes within commercial design. Summaries in table form were effective at each stage of design and development.

The final developed design proposal should be presented as either a manufacturing specification or final design proposal, evaluated objectively against the points of specification and the client/user group needs to justify the design decisions taken and be recorded in detail by candidates. Client feedback should be referenced in detail at this point in order to justify and clarify final design details that may be compromises between the student's ideals and the client's preferences. There should be enough technical information (specific tolerances and

dimensions) present to enable a skilled third party to manufacture the product as part of the commercial design methodology.

Communicate

Most candidates achieved significant marks in this section and some displayed excellent standards for a range of communication skills. Annotation was used to convey design and development work, with good explanation and detailed technical information. Google sketchup (CAD) and some highly technical drawing skills with rendering techniques were a welcome enhancement to the design and develop sections. Most candidates presented a final design proposal with sufficient information to allow third party manufacture.

Section D: Planning

Planning was generally pleasing with a tabulated production plan conveying detailed consideration of realistic time scales, sequence of manufacture, quality control, safety checks and deadlines for the scale of production. Justification of safety and quality checks must be evidenced in order to attain the top box marks.

Some quality and safety checks presented by candidates were very generic, repetitive or vague with limited reference to critical control points, and this reduced their potential of achieving the top box marks.

Section E: Use of equipment

Manufacture in the test kitchen varies enormously in terms of quality, technicality and complexity. Where candidates had selected simplistic, unchallenging practical work it was not possible to demonstrate their ability to use a range of equipment, even if this was with skill and accuracy. Health and safety issues and inherent risks pertinent to food handling or production were generally acknowledged through the production plan.

Quality

There was evidence of some very high level work seen containing many components and skills that allowed candidates to demonstrate creativity, flair, accuracy and precision. The importance of high quality photographic evidence throughout the design, development and manufacture work is obvious. Food styling, structure and quality of photographic evidence are making steady progress and many centres are adept at insisting that candidates comply with this requirement.

However, low level making processes lacking A2 technical skill or finishing techniques continues to be an issue. In many cases, the addition of an extra component or two could have turned an average product into something more skilful and interesting. Marking continues to be quite lenient in this section. Some work was presented and photographed very poorly. It was disappointing when the final product lacked the skills that had been trialled, developed and tested in the design and development stages.

Candidates who demonstrated their technical knowledge of techniques, ingredients, components and processes with annotation, clarity and justification with reference to their specification were rewarded with high marks.

Demanding high level practical skills and techniques with a quality finish continues to need focus for GCE A2 level.

Complexity/Demand

As before, this varied enormously, ranging from simplistic, unchallenging design and manufacture work to high level advanced skills, worthy of A2 level showing challenge, demand, accuracy and precision in their use and execution within food products.

Section F: Test and evaluate

Where candidates had ensured that their specifications were technical and measurable in section B, testing and evaluating in section F was far more successful.

The link between Criterion B and F remains an area requiring some attention, as simple specification statements presented in criterion B could not be used effectively to test the quality and performance of the final product, because they lacked technical detail (e.g. products must be of individual size) or were immeasurable (e.g. it must have a long shelf life) or were unrealistic (e.g. suitable for all adults). Testing was simplistic or superficial in these cases. Many centres simply evaluated their work against the design criteria, with subjective comments or a brief summary of work completed for the task.

Relevant, measurable points of the design brief/criteria must be objectively referenced, to achieve the top box marks, with third party feedback from the client and/or user group. A description and justification of a range of tests that will be used to check the performance or quality of the products must be included in this section. This might include a range of different sensory tests, storage life tests, transportation testing, viscosity tests, and tolerance testing against a manufacturing specification and nutritional analysis where relevant to the design brief. Candidates must use the information from client feedback, third party testing and evaluation to make suggestions for possible modifications and future improvements to the product, linked to the quality and/or performance of the product.

Life cycle assessment (LCA) of the final design proposal saw a significant improvement this year, with excellent application of sustainability presented as a flow chart with relevant comments linked to the environmental impact of the product throughout its manufacture.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:
<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467

Fax 01623 450481

Email publication.orders@edexcel.com

Order Code UA031985 summer 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit
www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE

Ofqual



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government

