

Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2013

Applied GCE ICT 6959

Unit 9: Communications and Networks



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u> for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: <u>www.edexcel.com/teachingservices</u>.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at <u>www.edexcel.com/ask</u>. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2013 Publications Code UA034228 All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2013

Contents

General Comments	4
Activity 1 – Research. Network Architecture	4
Activity 2 – Network connectivity	5
Activity 3 – Components of a network	5
Activity 4 – Network design	5
Activity 5 – Benefits of networks	6
Standard Ways of Working	6
Grade Boundaries	7

General Comments

The case study is released well before the examination and many made good use of this. However, it remains the case that a large number of candidates did not write their answers in context, even when specifically asked to do so.

Comments on individual questions

Activity 1 – Research. Network Architecture.

(a)

A set of notes for Alan explaining the role of virtualisation in creating multiple emulations on one computer.

Most candidates mentioned the museum setting, but then proceeded to give a general definition of virtualisation and emulation, rather than answer the question. This resulted in numerous one and two mark answers but very few that were worthy of three.

(b)

A document for Alan giving appropriate information on file recovery.

For floppy disks, most candidates missed the fact that the disk format was unreadable by modern computers. This resulted in many non-scoring answers that explained how to connect up an external floppy drive.

For tapes, candidates were able to score by explaining how to connect a cassette player but few explained the next step of converting the sound file to something executable.

(c)

A document for Alan giving appropriate information on legal issues.

Many candidates had not done sufficient research for this activity. While it is true that under U.S. Law, for individuals, downloading abandonware would be illegal in most cases, there are significant exemptions for museums, libraries, archives, etc. UK / EU law also allows greater freedom for downloading. These matters were rarely mentioned, with most candidates sticking to the "it's all piracy" line that tends to be given on the web sites that appear at the top of search engine listings.

Activity 2 – Network connectivity.

A document for Alan describing the architecture, benefits and drawbacks of each solution.

This question produced a good range of answers. Most candidates attempted the diagrams, although some of these were poorly drawn and badly labelled.

The distinguishing point between answers was context. There were numerous answers at the lower end of the mark range that gave generic benefits and drawbacks, which, although technically correct, had little or nothing to do with the scenario.

Better candidates were able to relate each solution to how it might work in the museum and gave context related benefits and drawbacks.

Activity 3 – Components of a network.

(a)

An estimate for the server and games stations for each solution.

The split here was between those candidates who gave details, make, model number, basic specification / capability, and those candidates who wrote e.g. 30 x PC at $\pm 100 = \pm 3000$.

Justification of purchases was not required, but evidence that appropriate choices had been made was.

(b)

A table for Alan which identifies the purpose, quantity and cost of each component.

The network was a little simpler for this scenario than most previous ones and many candidates scored well on this question. Marks were generally missed by not giving sufficient detail or having inadequate quantities of some items.

Activity 4 – Network design.

A design for the network with notes justifying each major decision. Most diagrams were clear and well labelled, although a few candidates lost marks by not indicating locations. There were still instances of servers being used as hubs and of the printer being attached to an individual PC rather than networked as needed.

Most candidates produced something that could work but lost marks by simple omissions such as not labelling items and missing out the Internet access. As in previous examinations, the notes justifying each major decision regarding the positioning of network devices and equipment, frequently ended up being notes describing the layout or repeating what the case study said should be done.

Activity 5 – Benefits of networks.

(a)

A set of 5 cards, in context, explaining the TCP/IP model.

This question produced a good range of answers. As with Activity 2, the distinguishing point between good and bad answers was usually context.

There were numerous answers at the lower end of the mark range that gave a basic account of TCP/IP but failed to relate it to the scenario. Diagrams in these cases were usually restricted to the generic TCP/IP stack.

Better candidates were able to relate the cards to how TCP/IP might work in the museum and gave context descriptions and diagrams.

Most candidates were able to score the lower end marks for creating something in A4 landscape and using suitable language. Better candidates used artwork, box-outs and other techniques to produce something that really looked like a set of museum cards.

(b)

Advice on the DHCP problem.

Most candidates answered this question rather poorly, misunderstanding how DHCP works.

It ended up being an all-or-nothing response. Those candidates who understood what was happening tended to get all or most of the marks, the rest sometimes picked up one mark by including some technical information about how leases work in an otherwise wrong answer.

Standard Ways of Working

Most candidates gained both marks. Only a handful of candidates lost one mark by putting work in the wrong order or including extra pages.

Before every examination series an Instruction for the conduct of examination (ICE) document is published on the Edexcel website. This document gives guidance to centres about the location of datafiles and the conduct of exams. Centres must read this document before the examination window.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UA034228 January 2013

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit

www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

