

Principal Moderator Feedback

January 2013

Applied GCE ICT 6956

Unit 6: Technical Support

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2013

Publications Code UA034223

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2013

Contents

General comments	4
Strand (a)	4
Strand (b)	4
Strand (c)	5
Strand (d)	5
Grade Boundaries	6

General comments

There were only a small number of entries for this winter's moderation series and many of the points raised in previous reports are still valid.

It was pleasing to note that most centres had taken into consideration points raised in previous reports and the evidence produced indicated that the requirements of all aspects of the unit were being more fully appreciated by the centres and candidate work seen supported this.

However, it is still important that candidates show sufficient annotated evidence for strand a there is still a tendency for candidates to be shown sitting in front of an open casing but little actual evidence to show their progress in installing an upgrade. Additional software consisted in some instances of a few screen shots with little or no explanation,

Lack of proof reading was still very evident throughout a high number of submitted portfolios with alarmingly many examples of evidence containing uncorrected errors. The introduction of Quality of Written Communication to strand d makes it important that candidates are recommended to proof read their work thoroughly.

Assessors are making better use of the e-sheet to explain where evidence is located and how marks were awarded, and if the candidate worked independently this latter point is a requirement of the higher mark bands but there is still a tendency for some assessors to offer praise but no constructive advice.

Strand (a) – Upgrade

Again the most common upgrades were the installation of more RAM or a larger Hard Disk or DVD|CD-ROM Drive and the installation of an anti virus package or service pack but even after comments in previous reports it was evident that a number of candidates still were not explaining what the rationales behind the upgrades were. Testing of functionality and optimising the system are requirements at the higher mark band, evidence showing real understanding of testing is more important than pages of similar test evidence. Candidates' did not always demonstrate standard ways of working notably safety precautions undertaken prior to and whilst performing the upgrade to the hardware components.

Strand (b) - On-screen Support Manual

Again unfortunately a minority of candidates still failed to recognise the fact that the manual was to be produced in a format which would enable it to be viewed on screen, a requirement for marks at the top of mark band 1, which resulted in the reader having to continually scroll up and down and in some instances from side to side.

Whilst this strand in the main was reasonably well covered it is important that candidates' are aware of the different user categories the manual is aimed at, in mark band 2 the level of user is an ICT Technician and in mark

band 3 the audience for the manual is someone who should be able to use the information provided without having to refer to others for assistance. The inclusion of step-by-step trouble shooting strategies for **several** potential problems was still weakly covered. The lack of a realistic and suitable maintenance schedule prevented many candidates moving into mark band three.

Strand (c) - Collaborative Working Tools

Candidates were, in a large majority of eportfolios, able to identify and describe, at times somewhat briefly, four collaborative working tools. There were once again major omissions from the evidence produced in that many candidates failed to indicate significant points relating to the capabilities and limitations of the tools chosen. To enable the candidate to access the top of mark band 1 and move into mark band 2 the candidate must make some comparisons between the chosen collaborative tools. These omissions were not always reflected in the grading of this strand by centre assessors. As stated in previous Principal Moderators Reports and the unit specification it is essential that candidates who wish to gain marks in mark band 3 must have used a range (at least 3) well chosen examples which fully evaluate the key features of each of the four chosen tools. At this level they must be able to show that the chosen tools are totally suitable for particular tasks and fully describe the processes involved in setting up and using a particular tool. This was once again the major omission from the evidence presented for moderation.

Strand (d) - Communication needs of a small business

This strand requires candidates to select a small to medium sized organisation on which they will carry out an investigation into its communications needs and then produce a report, in relatively simple and non-technical language, with justified recommendations for internet connectivity, security procedures, an internet policy and the use of email. Even after reinforcing and repeating the comments in previous Principal Moderators Report a number of centres are still allowing candidates to produce a generic report rather than undertake an investigation into communication needs of a specified small business.

It was pleasing to see that a majority of candidates were able to produce recommendations for each of the points mentioned in the first paragraph, which is a requirement to reach the top of mark band 1. There was however still centres giving high marks when one or more of the four major points were omitted.

Those candidates' who gained marks in mark band two produced sufficient, detailed evidence of an SME's communication needs and were able to make detailed recommendations for all the required topics. At mark band three it is essential that the report includes some future-proofing elements with a full and detailed justification of the SME's communications needs. Quality of Written Communication was judged in this strand but the standard was in the main corresponding to the mark band awarded.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467

Fax 01623 450481

Email publication.orders@edexcel.com

Order Code UA034223 January 2013

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit
www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE

Ofqual



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government

