

Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2016

Pearson Edexcel GCSE in Religious Studies (5RS03/01) Unit 3: Religion and life based on a study of Roman Catholic Christianity

#### **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="https://www.btec.co.uk">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

#### Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

Summer 2016
Publications Code 5RS03\_01\_1606\_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

### **General Marking Guidance**

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Although the assessment objectives are weighted separately, they are inter-related.

AO1 requires informed insight and awareness of differing viewpoints, and AO2 requires knowledge and understanding of the specification content.

## **Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar Marking Guidance**

- The spelling, punctuation and grammar assessment criteria are common to GCSE English Literature, GCSE History, GCSE Geography and GCSE Religious Studies.
- All candidates, whichever subject they are being assessed on, must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Spelling, punctuation and grammar marking criteria should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have demonstrated rather than penalised for errors.
- Examiners should mark according to the marking criteria. All marks on the marking criteria should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the marking criteria are designed to be awarded.
   Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the marking criteria.
- Examiners should be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the marking criteria.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the marking criteria to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked unless the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.
- Handwriting may make it difficult to see if spelling, punctuation and grammar are correct. Examiners must make every effort to assess spelling, punctuation and grammar fairly and if they genuinely cannot make an assessment, the team leader must be consulted.
- Specialist terms do not always require the use of complex terminology but the vocabulary used should appropriate to the subject and the question.
- Work by candidates with an amanuensis, scribe or typed script should be assessed for spelling, punctuation and grammar.
- Examiners are advised to consider the marking criteria in the following way:
  - o How well does the response communicate the meaning?
  - o What range of specialist terms is used?
  - o How accurate is the spelling, punctuation and grammar?

Unit 3: Religion and Life Based on a Study of Roman Catholic Christianity

| Question<br>Number | Correct Answer   | Partially Correct<br>Answer  | Reject   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|--|--|------|
| 1(a)<br>AO1        | Two examples from anything that is suffering caused by humans e.g.  • murder  • stealing  • war  • aggression  • terrorism  • bullying | <ul> <li>one example         of a moral evil</li> <li>a definition of         moral evil</li> </ul> Any alternative     wording of the     above points is | Examples of natural evil (not caused by humans)  Answers which define a different key word | 2    |
|                    | Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.   | acceptable. (1)  | (0)  |      |

| Question<br>Number | Answer   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|------|
| 1 (b)<br>AO2       | <ul> <li>Indicative content</li> <li>Answers which think that all Roman Catholics should agree with the scientific explanation of the origins of the world are likely to use such reasons as: <ul> <li>the Church recognises the importance of science in helping to understand the origins of the world</li> <li>they might say that God caused the Big Bang</li> <li>they might argue that God is the designer behind the scientific origins</li> </ul> </li> <li>Answers which do not think that all Roman Catholics should agree with the scientific explanation of the origins of the world are likely to use such reasons as:</li> </ul> | 4    |
|                    | <ul> <li>the Bible says God created the world in six days</li> <li>scientific ideas are only theories</li> <li>God is able to create the world from nothing</li> </ul> Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  |      |
|                    | Award marks as follows:  |      |
|                    | For a personal response with:  • one brief reason (e.g. they might say that God caused the Big Bang)   |      |
|                    | <ul> <li>1 mark</li> <li>For a personal response with: <ul> <li>two brief reasons</li> <li>or one developed reason (e.g. they might say that God caused the Big Bang, which is when God said 'Let there</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |      |
|                    | be Light' in the Bible)  2 marks   |      |
|                    | For a personal response with:  • two reasons with one developed  For a personal response with:  3 marks  |      |
|                    | two developed reasons     two developed reasons  |      |

| Question     | Indica  | itive content   |  |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Number       |   | quality of written communication will be assessed in this   |  |
|              | answ  | er (strands i, ii and iii)  |  |
| 1 (c)<br>AO1 | <ul> <li>The main ways include:</li> <li>people cannot understand God's reasons for allowing evil and suffering</li> <li>evil and suffering might be part of a wider plan</li> <li>Jesus suffered to save people from sin</li> <li>God gave people free will, they abuse it</li> <li>people may pray for those who are suffering</li> <li>people may do charity work</li> </ul> |   |  |
|              |   | approaches are possible and must be marked according to the . If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to v.   |  |
| Level        | Mark  |   |  |
|              | 0   | No rewardable material.   |  |
| Level 1      | 1-2   | <ul> <li>Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:</li> <li>giving a way</li> <li>not saying how but only describing the problem of evil</li> <li>not saying how only saying why</li> <li>The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing</li> </ul>   |  |
|              |   | may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.   |  |
| Level 2      | 3-4   | <ul> <li>Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</li> <li>using two brief ways</li> <li>or a developed way</li> <li>The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</li> </ul> |  |
| Level 3      | 5-6   | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using three brief ways  • or a fully developed way  • or two ways with one developed.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.                   |  |

| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using four brief ways  • or two developed ways |
|---------|-----|---|
|         |     |   |
|         |     | •or two ways, one brief and one fully developed   |
|         |     | or three ways with one developed  |
|         |     | or a comprehensive explanation using one way only.  |
|         |     | The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and   |
|         |     | correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary  |
|         |     | where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing  |
|         |     | extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very  |
|         |     | few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent  |
|         |     | organisation and planning.  |

| Question<br>Number | Answer  | Mark |
|--------------------|---|------|
| 1 (d)<br>AO2       | Indicative content Reasons for supporting this statement could be:  • the Catechism states that God designed the world • the world is so complex, it must be designed • only God could design the world especially for human beings  Reasons for not supporting this statement could be: • the world is not designed as it is not perfect • humans see design which is not really present • design proves the existence of a designer, not of God  Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  Award marks as follows:  Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d). |      |
|                    | (i) Own opinion  1 mark for  • a simple reason  2 marks for  • a developed reason  • or two simple reasons  3 marks for  • three simple reasons  • or two developed reason  • or a fully developed reason  (ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion  1 mark for  • a simple reason  2 marks for  • a developed reason  • or two simple reasons  • or two developed reasons  • or a fully developed reason   | 3    |

| Question 1               | Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar |   |   |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| (a, b, c, d)             |                                   | 0   | Errors severely hinder the meaning of the   |
|                          |                                   | marks   | response or candidates do not spell, punctuate or use the rules of grammar within the context of the demands of the question.   |
| Threshold performance    | Level<br>1                        | 1 mark Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy in the context of the demands of the question. Any errors do not hinder meaning in the response. Where required, they use a limited range of specialist terms appropriately. |   |
| Intermediate performance | Level<br>2                        | 2-3<br>marks  | Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with considerable accuracy and general control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a good range of specialist terms with facility.              |
| High performance         | Level<br>3                        | 4<br>marks  | Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with consistent accuracy and effective control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a wide range of specialist terms adeptly and with precision. |

| Question<br>Number | Correct Answer   | Partially Correct<br>Answer  | Reject   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|--|--|------|
| 2 (a)<br>AO1       | <ul> <li>(the belief that)         God is all         powerful</li> <li>all powerful</li> <li>having         unlimited power</li> <li>being able to do         anything</li> <li>give 2 marks if         all the         characteristics         are included in         the list</li> <li>Any alternative         wording of the         above points is         acceptable.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>powerful</li> <li>a characteristic of God</li> </ul> Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. (1) | Answers which define a different key word  (0) | 2    |

| Question<br>Number | Answer  | Mark |
|--------------------|---|------|
| 2 (b)<br>AO2       | Indicative content  Answers which think the causation argument proves God exists, are likely to use such reasons as:  • it provides a logical argument for the existence of God  • there must be a first cause of the universe  • only God is eternal so only God could cause the universe  Answers which do not think the causation argument proves God exists, are likely to use such reasons as:  • some things might not need to be caused  • science proves how the world was caused  • religious experiences prove God exists | 4    |
|                    | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  Award marks as follows:  For a personal response with:  |      |
|                    | <ul> <li>one brief reason (e.g. it provides a logical argument for<br/>the existence of God)</li> </ul>   |      |
|                    | <ul> <li>1 mark</li> <li>For a personal response with: <ul> <li>two brief reasons</li> <li>or one developed reason (e.g. it provides a logical argument for the existence of God, only God is powerful enough to cause the universe to exist)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |      |
|                    | 2 marks For a personal response with:   |      |
|                    | <ul> <li>two reasons with one developed</li> <li>For a personal response with:</li> </ul>   |      |
|                    | two developed reasons     4 marks   |      |

| Question<br>Number | Indicative content The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)   |   |  |  |
|--------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 2 (c)<br>AO1       | <ul> <li>The main ways include:</li> <li>children will believe what their parents tell them</li> <li>Catholic children will go to Mass</li> <li>Catholic parents teach their children to pray which may lead to belief in God</li> <li>Catholic parents will send their children to a Catholic school</li> <li>they will participate in religious festivals</li> </ul> Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to |   |  |  |
| Level              | review<br>Mark  | Descriptor  |  |  |
|                    | 0   | No rewardable material.   |  |  |
| Level 1            | 1-2   | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  • giving a brief way  The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.   |  |  |
| Level 2            | 3-4   | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using two brief ways  • or a developed way  The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.                       |  |  |
| Level 3            | 5-6   | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using three brief ways  • or a fully developed way  • or two ways with one developed.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |  |  |

| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  using four brief ways |
|---------|-----|--|
|         |     | or two developed ways  |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or two ways, one brief and one fully developed</li> </ul>               |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or three ways with one developed</li> </ul>                             |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or a comprehensive explanation using one way only.</li> </ul>           |
|         |     | The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and                  |
|         |     | correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary             |
|         |     | where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing                       |
|         |     | extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few               |
|         |     | syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent                       |
|         |     | organisation and planning.   |

| Question     | Answer  |   |  |  |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|
| Number       |   |   |  |  |
| 2 (d)<br>AO2 | <ul> <li>Indicative content</li> <li>Reasons for supporting this statement could be: <ul> <li>if God was real he would answer prayers</li> <li>some religious people who pray may still suffer so there cannot be a God</li> <li>no one can hear God talk to them when they pray</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |   |  |  |
|              | <ul> <li>Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:</li> <li>prayers are unanswered because people pray for wrong things</li> <li>God may answer prayers in an unexpected way</li> <li>if God gave people all they prayed for the result would be chaos</li> </ul>                             |   |  |  |
|              | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  |   |  |  |
|              | Award marks as follows:   |   |  |  |
|              | Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).   | 3 |  |  |
|              | (i) Own opinion  1 mark for   |   |  |  |
|              | a simple reason   |   |  |  |
|              | 2 marks for   |   |  |  |
|              | a developed reason  |   |  |  |
|              | or two simple reasons   |   |  |  |
|              | <ul><li>3 marks for</li><li>three simple reasons</li></ul>  |   |  |  |
|              | or two developed reasons  |   |  |  |
|              | or a fully developed reason   | 3 |  |  |
|              | (ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion  |   |  |  |
|              | 1 mark for  |   |  |  |
|              | <ul><li>a simple reason</li><li>2 marks for</li></ul>   |   |  |  |
|              | a developed reason  |   |  |  |
|              | or two simple reasons   |   |  |  |
|              | 3 marks for   |   |  |  |
|              | three simple reasons  |   |  |  |
|              | or two developed reasons  |   |  |  |
|              | or a fully developed reason   |   |  |  |

| Question 1<br>(a, b, c, d) | Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar |   |   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
|                            |                                   | 0<br>marks  | Errors severely hinder the meaning of the response or candidates do not spell, punctuate or use the rules of grammar within the context of the demands of the question.   |
| Threshold performance      | Level<br>1                        | 1 mark Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy in the context of the demands of the question. Any errors do not hinder meaning in the response. Where required, they use a limited range of specialist terms appropriately. |   |
| Intermediate performance   | Level<br>2                        | 2-3<br>marks  | Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with considerable accuracy and general control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a good range of specialist terms with facility.              |
| High<br>performance        | Level<br>3                        | 4<br>marks  | Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with consistent accuracy and effective control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a wide range of specialist terms adeptly and with precision. |

| Question<br>Number | Correct Answer   | Partially Correct<br>Answer   | Reject   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|---|--|------|
| 3 (a)<br>AO1       | <ul> <li>the belief that after death the body stays in the grave until the end of the world when it is raised</li> <li>rising from the dead</li> <li>the rising again of the dead at the Last Judgement</li> <li>Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>getting a new body</li> <li>life after death</li> <li>coming back to life</li> <li>Jesus coming back to life</li> </ul> Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. <ul> <li>(1)</li> </ul> | Answers which define a different key word  (0) | 2    |

| Question     | Answer  | Mar |
|--------------|---|-----|
| Number       | Indicative content  | k   |
| 3 (b)<br>AO2 | <ul> <li>Indicative content</li> <li>Answers which think that euthanasia should be allowed in the UK are likely to use such reasons as:</li> <li>people should try to reduce suffering</li> <li>it might be the lesser of two evils</li> <li>it might be the most loving thing to do</li> </ul> | 4   |
|              | Answers which do not think that euthanasia should be allowed in the UK are likely to use such reasons as:  • it is against one of the Ten Commandments  • it goes against the sanctity of life  • only God should end a life  |     |
|              | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  |     |
|              | Award marks as follows:   |     |
|              | For a personal response with:  • one brief reason (e.g. it might be the most loving thing to do)  |     |
|              | 1 mark  |     |
|              | For a personal response with:  • two brief reasons  • or one developed reason (e.g. it might be the most leving   |     |
|              | <ul> <li>or one developed reason (e.g. it might be the most loving<br/>thing to do for example, the person might have no quality of<br/>life)</li> </ul>  |     |
|              | 2 marks   |     |
|              | For a personal response with:   |     |
|              | <ul> <li>two reasons with one developed</li> <li>3 marks</li> </ul>   |     |
|              | For a personal response with:   |     |
|              | <ul> <li>two developed reasons</li> <li>4 marks</li> </ul>  | 1   |

| Question     | Indica   | itive content  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Number       | The c  | uality of written communication will be assessed in this   |  |  |  |
|              | answ   | er (strands i, ii and iii)   |  |  |  |
| 3 (c)<br>AO1 | <ul> <li>Indicative content</li> <li>The main reasons include: <ul> <li>the media need to educate the general public</li> <li>the media have free speech in a democracy</li> <li>the media can provide a balance to the religious perspective about the issues raised in this section</li> <li>the media might be needed to point out contradictions in religious teachings about life and death</li> </ul> </li> <li>Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |
|              | levels<br>reviev   | . If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to   |  |  |  |
| Level        |  | Descriptor   |  |  |  |
| 20101        | 0  | No rewardable material.  |  |  |  |
| Level 1      | 1-2  | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  • giving a brief reason  • not explaining but only describing the issue.   |  |  |  |
|              |  | The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.  |  |  |  |
| Level 2      | 3-4  | <ul> <li>Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</li> <li>using two brief reasons</li> <li>or a developed reason.</li> <li>The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| Level 3      | 5-6  | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using three brief reasons • or a fully developed reason • or two reasons with one developed.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.                   |  |  |  |

| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using four brief reasons |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
|         |     | or two developed reasons  |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed</li> </ul>                 |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or three reasons with one developed</li> </ul>                               |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only.</li> </ul>             |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and                       |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | prrect style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary                   |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing                            |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few                    |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent                            |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | organisation and planning.  |  |  |  |  |

| Question               | Answer  | Mark |
|------------------------|---|------|
| Number<br>3 (d)<br>AO2 | Indicative content Reasons for supporting this statement could be:  Ilife after death is just an idea to comfort people there is no place where life after death could take place life after death is a logical contradiction  Reasons for not supporting this statement could be: the Church teaches there is life after death Jesus rose from the dead there is paranormal evidence for life after death  Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must |      |
|                        | Award marks as follows: Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).  (i) Own opinion  1 mark for  • a simple reason  2 marks for  • a developed reason  • or two simple reasons  3 marks for  • three simple reasons  | 3    |
|                        | <ul> <li>tillee simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> </ul> (ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion <ul> <li>1 mark for</li> <li>a simple reason</li> </ul> 2 marks for <ul> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> </ul> 3 marks for <ul> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> </ul>  | 3    |

| Question<br>Number | Correct Answer   | Partially Correct<br>Answer   | Reject   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|---|--|------|
| 4 (a)<br>AO1       | <ul> <li>providing a seriously ill person with the means to commit suicide</li> <li>suicide of a terminally ill person with the help of another</li> <li>helping someone to kill themselves</li> <li>Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>killing oneself</li> <li>helping someone to die</li> <li>assisting someone to commit suicide</li> <li>Any alternative wording of the above point is acceptable.</li> </ul> | Answers which define a different key word  (0) | 2    |

| Question  | Answer  | Mark |
|---|---|------|
| Number<br>4 (b)<br>AO2  | Indicative content  Answers which think that non-religious people should believe in life after death are likely to use such reasons as:  • paranormal evidence backs up ideas of life after death  • it is a reward for a good life  • it makes the idea of death less scary  Answers which do not think that non-religious people should   |      |
|   | <ul> <li>believe in life after death are likely to use such reasons as:</li> <li>it is a religious belief</li> <li>there is no scientific evidence for life after death</li> <li>there is no place for life after death to take place</li> </ul> Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review. |      |
|   | Award marks as follows:  For a personal response with:  |      |
| a ghost)  2 marks  For a personal response with:  • two reasons with one developed  For a personal response with:  • two developed reasons  4 marks |   |      |

| Question<br>Number |                  | ative content  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Number             |                  | quality of written communication will be assessed in this er (strands i, ii and iii)   |  |  |  |  |
| 4 (c)<br>AO1       | The m            | Indicative content  The main reasons include:  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | levels<br>reviev | . If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to v.  |  |  |  |  |
| Level              | Mark             | Descriptor   |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 0                | No rewardable material.  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 1            | 1-2              | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  • giving a brief reason  • not explaining but only describing the issue.  The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors   |  |  |  |  |
| Level 2            | 3-4              | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using two brief reason  • or a developed reasons  The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.                          |  |  |  |  |
| Level 3            | 5-6              | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using three brief reasons  • or a fully developed reason  • or two reasons with one developed.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |  |  |  |  |

| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by: • using four brief reason |
|---------|-----|---|
|         |     | or two developed reasons  |
|         |     | or two ways, one brief and one fully developed                                      |
|         |     | or three reasons with one developed   |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only.</li> </ul>           |
|         |     | The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and                     |
|         |     | correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary                |
|         |     | where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing                          |
|         |     | extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few                  |
|         |     | syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent                          |
|         |     | organisation and planning.  |

| Question<br>Number | Answer   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|------|
| 4 (d)<br>AO2       | Indicative content Reasons for supporting this statement could be: <ul> <li>life starts at conception</li> <li>only God should end a life</li> <li>the Church teaches that abortion is wrong</li> </ul> <li>Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:         <ul> <li>life begins when the baby is born</li> <li>Christians should try to prevent suffering</li> <li>people are given free will to make these decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.</li> <li>Award marks as follows: Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in</li> |      |
|                    | either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).  (i) Own opinion  1 mark for  • a simple reason  2 marks for  • a developed reason  • or two simple reasons  3 marks for  • three simple reasons  • or two developed reasons  • or a fully developed reason  | 3    |
|                    | <ul> <li>(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion</li> <li>1 mark for <ul> <li>a simple reason</li> </ul> </li> <li>2 marks for <ul> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> </ul> </li> <li>3 marks for <ul> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | 3    |

| Question<br>Number | Correct Answer   |     | Partially Correct<br>Answer                                     |     | Reject                                    | Mark |
|--------------------|--|-----|---|-----|---|------|
| 5 (a)<br>AO1       | <ul><li>sex before marriage</li><li>sex without</li></ul>  |     | <ul><li>cohabitation</li><li>sex outside<br/>marriage</li></ul> |     | Answers which define a different key word | 2    |
|                    | Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. | (2) | Any alternative wording of the above point is acceptable.       | (1) | (0)                                       |      |

| Question<br>Number | Answer  | Mark |
|--------------------|---|------|
| 5 (b)<br>AO2       | Indicative content  Answers which think attitudes towards divorce have changed in the UK are likely to use such reasons as:  • fewer people follow religious teachings  • there are many more divorces than in the past  • it is acceptable for high profile couples to divorce  Answers which do not think attitudes towards divorce have changed in the UK are likely to use such reasons as:  • it is still frowned upon  • it breaks up families  • it is still against some religious teachings  Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  Award marks as follows: | 4    |
|                    | For a personal response with:         • one brief reason (e.g. it is acceptable for high profile couples to divorce)  |      |
|                    | <ul> <li>two developed reasons</li> <li>4 marks</li> </ul>  |      |

| Question | Indicative content  |
|----------|---|
| Number   | The quality of written communication will be assessed in this |
|          | answer (strands i, ii and iii)                                |

| 5 (c)<br>AO1 | Indicative content: The main reasons include:         • it allows a couple to express their love without the worry of having a baby         • the Church of England said it was up to the couple's conscience         • it allows a couple to plan when they have children     |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|              | <ul> <li>it can protect the health of the couple</li> <li>God gave free will for people to make such decisions</li> </ul> Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review. |  |  |  |  |

| Level   | Mark | Descriptor   |
|---------|------|--|
|         | 0    | No rewardable material.  |
| Level 1 | 1-2  | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:         • giving a brief reason         • not explaining but only describing the issue.  The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.  |
| Level 2 | 3-4  | <ul> <li>Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by: <ul> <li>using two brief reasons</li> <li>or a developed reason.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</li> </ul> |
| Level 3 | 5-6  | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using three brief reasons  • or a fully developed reason  • or two reasons with one developed.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.                             |

# **Level 4** 7-8 A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:

- using four brief reasons
- or two developed reasons
- or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed
- or three reasons with one developed
- or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only

The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.

| Question         | Answer   | Mark |
|------------------|--|------|
| Number 5 (d) AO2 | Indicative content Reasons for supporting this statement could be:         • adultery is forbidden in the Ten Commandments         • sex before marriage is forbidden in the Bible         • it creates unwanted pregnancies  Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:         • it is natural         • it is a good way to show love to a partner         • people have free will           |      |
|                  | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  Award marks as follows: Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).  |      |
|                  | <ul> <li>(i) Own opinion</li> <li>1 mark for</li> <li>a simple reason</li> <li>2 marks for</li> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> <li>3 marks for</li> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> </ul>  | 3    |
|                  | <ul> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> <li>(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion</li> <li>1 mark for <ul> <li>a simple reason</li> </ul> </li> <li>2 marks for <ul> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> </ul> </li> <li>3 marks for <ul> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | 3    |

| Question<br>Number | Correct Answer   | Partially Correct<br>Answer   |     | Reject   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|---|-----|--|------|
| 6 (a)<br>AO1       | <ul> <li>making a new life</li> <li>reproduction</li> <li>to have offspring</li> <li>to produce more individuals</li> <li>making babies</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>sex</li> <li>creating</li> </ul> Any alternative wording of the above point is |     | <ul> <li>creation of the<br/>world</li> <li>Answers which<br/>define a different<br/>key word</li> </ul> | 2    |
|                    | Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. (2)   | acceptable.   | (1) | (0)  |      |

| Question     | Answer  | Mark |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|------|--|--|--|
| Number       |   |      |  |  |  |
| 6 (b)<br>AO2 | Indicative content  Answers which think that attitudes towards family life have changed in the UK are likely to use such reasons as:  • it is more acceptable to be a single parent family  • same sex parents are more common  • divorce and remarriage is more likely   |      |  |  |  |
|              | Answers which do not think that attitudes towards family life have changed in the UK are likely to use such reasons as:  • the Church still encourages traditional family life  • it is still regarded as the place where children are raised  • divorce is still frowned upon  Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to |      |  |  |  |
|              | the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  Award marks as follows:  For a personal response with:  • one brief reason (e.g. same sex parents are more  |      |  |  |  |
|              | common)  1 mark  For a personal response with:  • two brief reasons   |      |  |  |  |
|              | or one developed reason (e.g. same sex parents are more common and adoption agencies treat them the same way as heterosexual couples)  2 marks  |      |  |  |  |
|              | For a personal response with:   |      |  |  |  |
|              | <ul> <li>two reasons with one developed</li> <li>For a personal response with:</li> </ul>   |      |  |  |  |
|              | two developed reasons     4 marks   |      |  |  |  |

| Question     | Indicative content |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Number       | -                  | The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)   |  |  |  |  |
| 6 (c)<br>AO1 | Other              | <ul> <li>divorce is acceptable for adultery</li> <li>Jesus allowed divorce</li> </ul> Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to   |  |  |  |  |
| Level        | Mark               | Descriptor   |  |  |  |  |
|              | 0                  | No rewardable material.  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 1      | 1-2                | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:   |  |  |  |  |
| Level 2      | 3-4                | <ul> <li>Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by: <ul> <li>using two brief reasons</li> <li>or a developed reason.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |
| Level 3      | 5-6                | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using three brief reasons • or a fully developed reason • or two reasons with one developed.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.                               |  |  |  |  |

| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using four brief reasons                |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
|         |     | <ul><li>or two developed reasons</li><li>or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed</li></ul> |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or three reasons with one developed</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | <ul> <li>or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only.</li> </ul>                            |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and                                      |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary                                 |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing   |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few                                   |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent   |  |  |  |  |
|         |     | organisation and planning.   |  |  |  |  |

| Question     | Answer  | Mark |
|--------------|---|------|
| Number       |   |      |
| 6 (d)<br>AO2 | <ul> <li>Indicative content</li> <li>Reasons for supporting this statement could be: <ul> <li>homosexuals are still victims of prejudice and discrimination</li> <li>homosexuals cannot get married in some churches</li> <li>some Churches still teach that homosexual sexual activity is wrong</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |      |
|              | Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:   |      |
|              | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  |      |
|              | Award marks as follows: Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).   | 3    |
|              | <ul> <li>(i) Own opinion</li> <li>1 mark for</li> <li>a simple reason</li> <li>2 marks for</li> </ul>   | 0    |
|              | <ul> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> <li>3 marks for</li> <li>three simple reasons</li> </ul>  |      |
|              | <ul> <li>or two developed reasons</li> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> <li>(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion</li> <li>1 mark for</li> <li>a simple reason</li> </ul>  | 3    |
|              | <ul> <li>a simple reason</li> <li>2 marks for</li> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> </ul>   |      |
|              | <ul> <li>3 marks for</li> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> </ul>  |      |

| Question     | Correct Answer  | Partially Correct  | Reject  | Mark |
|--------------|---|--|---|------|
| Number       |   | Answer   |   |      |
| 7 (a)<br>AO1 | <ul> <li>many different religions living together in one society</li> <li>people of many religions living together</li> <li>people of many</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>different religions</li> <li>an example of a multi-faith society</li> </ul> | Answers which define a different key word (0) | 2    |
|              | beliefs / faiths<br>living together   | Any alternative wording of the above point is acceptable.                            |   |      |
|              | Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.  | (1)  |   |      |

| Question<br>Number | Answer  | Mark |
|--------------------|---|------|
| 7 (b)<br>AO2       | Indicative content  Answers which think the government can help community cohesion are likely to use such reasons as:  • they can pass laws against racial and religious hatred • they can ensure schools promote community cohesion • they can fund anti-racism campaigns  Answers which do not think the government can help community cohesion are likely to use such reasons as: • people have free will to do what they want • differences between people may cause conflict • the government cannot change how people feel  Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  Award marks as follows:  For a personal response with: • one brief reason (e.g. they can pass laws against racial and religious hatred)  1 mark  For a personal response with: • two brief reasons • or one developed reason (e.g. they can pass laws against racial and religious hatred, such as the Racial and Religious Hatred Act in 2006) | 4    |
|                    | 2 marks   |      |
|                    | For a personal response with:  • two reasons with one developed  For a personal response with:  3 marks   |      |
|                    | two developed reasons     4 marks   |      |

| Question<br>Number | The o | itive content<br>quality of written communication will be assessed in this<br>er (strands i, ii and iii)   |  |
|--------------------|-------|--|--|
| 7 (c)<br>AO1       | Other | <ul> <li>sex discrimination is now illegal</li> <li>women now have to be paid the same as men for the same job</li> <li>women are now equal to men politically</li> <li>it is now more common for men to take a role in childcare</li> </ul> Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to  |  |
| Level              | Mark  | Descriptor   |  |
|                    | 0     | No rewardable material.  |  |
| Level 1            | 1-2   | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  • using one way The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.  |  |
| Level 2            | 3-4   | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using two ways  • or a developed way The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |  |

| Level 3 | 5-6 | <ul><li>by:</li><li>using three ways</li><li>or a fully developed way</li></ul>   |  |
|---------|-----|---|--|
|         |     | <ul> <li>or two ways with one developed.</li> <li>The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of<br/>English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will<br/>demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective</li> </ul>  |  |
|         |     | extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.  |  |
| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using four ways  • or two developed ways  • or two ways, one brief and one fully developed  • or three ways with one developed  • or a comprehensive explanation using one way only.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning. |  |

| Question     | Answer   | Mark |
|--------------|--|------|
| Number       |  |      |
| 7 (d)<br>AO2 | Indicative content Reasons for supporting this statement could be:   |      |
|              | Award marks as follows: Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).  |      |
|              | <ul> <li>(i) Own opinion</li> <li>1 mark for</li> <li>a simple reason</li> <li>2 marks for</li> </ul>  | 3    |
|              | <ul> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> <li>3 marks for</li> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> </ul>   |      |
|              | <ul> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> <li>(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion</li> <li>1 mark for</li> <li>a simple reason</li> <li>2 marks for</li> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> </ul> | 3    |
|              | <ul> <li>3 marks for</li> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> </ul>   |      |

| Question<br>Number | Correct Answer  | Partially Correct<br>Answer  | Reject  | Mark |
|--------------------|---|--|---|------|
| 8 (a)<br>AO1       | <ul> <li>the right to practise your religion and change your religion</li> <li>being able to practise your religion</li> <li>being able to change your religion</li> <li>worshipping freely</li> </ul> Any alternative wording of the above points is | <ul> <li>doing/thinking what you want within your religion</li> <li>believing whatever you want</li> <li>Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.</li> </ul> | Answers which define a different key word (0) | 2    |
|                    | acceptable. (2)   |  |   |      |

| Question<br>Number | Answer   | Mark |
|--------------------|--|------|
| 8 (b)<br>AO2       | Indicative content  Answers which think that Roman Catholics should help to promote racial harmony are likely to use such reasons as:  • God created all races  • Jesus promoted racial harmony  • Catholics will be judged on how they treat other people | 4    |
|                    | Answers which do not think that Roman Catholics should help to promote racial harmony are likely to use such reasons as:  • people have free will  • it is the role of governments to work for racial harmony  • some people live in single race societies |      |
|                    | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.   |      |
|                    | Award marks as follows:  |      |
|                    | For a personal response with:  • one brief reason (e.g. Jesus promoted racial harmony)   |      |
|                    | 1 mark   |      |
|                    | <ul> <li>two brief reasons</li> <li>or one developed reason (e.g. Jesus promoted racial harmony, he told the parable of the Good Samaritan to show it was wrong)</li> </ul>  |      |
|                    | 2 marks  |      |
|                    | For a personal response with:  • two reasons with one developed  Sometimes of the second response with:  |      |
|                    | For a personal response with:  • two developed reasons  4 marks  |      |

| Question<br>Number | Indicative content The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)   |   |  |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| 8 (c)<br>AO1       | The main ways include: <ul> <li>discrimination against faith</li> <li>conversion from one faith to another</li> <li>arguments about religion within families</li> <li>children will mix with faiths other than their own</li> <li>interfaith marriages</li> </ul> <li>Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.</li> |   |  |
| Level              | Mark  | Descriptor  |  |
|                    | 0   | No rewardable material.   |  |
| Level 1            | 1-2   | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  • using one way  The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.  |  |
| Level 2            | 3-4   | <ul> <li>Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</li> <li>using two ways</li> <li>or a developed way</li> <li>The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</li> </ul> |  |
| Level 3            | 5-6   | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  • using three ways  • or a fully developed way  • or two ways with one developed.  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.                   |  |

## Level 4 7-8 A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by: • using four ways • or two developed ways • or two ways, one brief and one fully developed • or three ways with one developed • or a comprehensive explanation using one way only. The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.

| Question     | Answer   | Mark |
|--------------|--|------|
| 8 (d)<br>AO2 | Reasons for supporting this statement could be:  • men and women were both created in God's image  • the Bible teaches that men and women are equal in the eyes of God  • St Paul said men and women are all 'one in Christ'  Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:  • women are different to men so should have different roles  • St Paul said women should be silent                                    |      |
|              | <ul> <li>some scriptures teach that women should have different roles</li> <li>Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.</li> <li>Award marks as follows:</li> <li>Candidates who do not refer to Roman Catholic Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).</li> </ul> |      |
|              | <ul> <li>(i) Own opinion</li> <li>1 mark for <ul> <li>a simple reason</li> </ul> </li> <li>2 marks for <ul> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> </ul> </li> <li>3 marks for <ul> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> </ul> </li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> </ul>  | 3    |
|              | <ul> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> <li>(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion</li> <li>1 mark for</li> <li>a simple reason</li> <li>a developed reason</li> <li>or two simple reasons</li> <li>3 marks for</li> <li>three simple reasons</li> <li>or two developed reasons</li> <li>or a fully developed reason</li> </ul>  | 3    |

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London WC2R 0RL  $\,$